



## ABOUT MSCI

MSCI Inc. is a leading global provider of investment decision support tools, including indices, portfolio risk and performance analytics and corporate governance products and services for use by a diverse client base including asset owners, such as pension funds, endowments, foundations, central banks, family offices and insurance companies; institutional and retail asset managers, such as managers of pension assets, mutual funds, exchange traded funds, hedge funds and private wealth; financial intermediaries, such as banks, broker-dealers, exchanges, custodians and investment consultants; and corporate clients.

Our performance and risk products are used in many areas of the investment process, including portfolio construction and rebalancing, performance benchmarking and attribution, risk management and analysis, index-linked investment product creation, asset allocation, assessment of social responsibility, environmental stewardship and the effects of climate change on investments, investment manager selection and investment research.

Our governance products and services facilitate the voting of proxies by institutional investors, provide in-depth research and analysis to help inform voting decisions and identify issuer-specific risk, and assist corporate clients with learning about and improving their governance practices.

## Financial Highlights & Selected Data

### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

Year Ended November 30

In thousands, except per share data	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Operating revenues	\$ 662,901	\$ 442,948	\$ 430,961	\$ 369,886	\$ 310,698
Operating expenses	\$ 456,778	\$ 291,956	\$ 295,171	\$ 239,927	\$ 227,649
Operating income	\$ 206,123	\$ 150,992	\$ 135,790	\$ 129,959	\$ 83,049
% Margin	31.1%	34.1%	31.5%	35.1%	26.7%
<b>Net income</b>	<b>\$ 92,170</b>	<b>\$ 81,801</b>	<b>\$ 68,268</b>	<b>\$ 81,111</b>	<b>\$ 71,445</b>
Earnings per share:					
Basic	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.85
Diluted	\$ 0.81	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.85
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 226,575	\$ 176,024	\$ 268,077	\$ 33,818	\$ 24,362
Cash deposited with related parties	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 137,625	\$ 330,231
Short-term investments	\$ 73,891	\$ 295,304	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Long-term debt, including current maturities	\$ 1,262,797	\$ 379,710	\$ 401,795	\$ 425,000	\$ 0
Total shareholders' equity	\$ 1,080,117	\$ 507,056	\$ 286,382	\$ 200,021	\$ 825,712


### SELECTED QUARTERLY FINANCIAL DATA (Unaudited)

In thousands, except per share data	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Year Ended November 30
Operating revenues					
FY2010	\$ 121,680	\$ 125,170	\$ 202,733	\$ 213,318	\$ 662,901
FY2009	\$ 105,915	\$ 109,375	\$ 108,868	\$ 118,790	\$ 442,948
FY2008	\$ 104,951	\$ 108,195	\$ 110,399	\$ 107,416	\$ 430,961
Operating income					
FY2010	\$ 47,257	\$ 46,697	\$ 41,449	\$ 70,720	\$ 206,123
FY2009	\$ 32,784	\$ 36,654	\$ 37,798	\$ 43,756	\$ 150,992
FY2008	\$ 35,162	\$ 32,907	\$ 37,519	\$ 30,202	\$ 135,790
Net income					
FY2010	\$ 27,518	\$ 24,067	\$ 10,319	\$ 30,266	\$ 92,170
FY2009	\$ 16,724	\$ 19,618	\$ 20,924	\$ 24,535	\$ 81,801
FY2008	\$ 17,934	\$ 18,631	\$ 18,878	\$ 12,825	\$ 68,268
Basic earnings per share					
FY2010	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.82
FY2009	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.80
FY2008	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.66
Diluted earnings per share					
FY2010	\$ 0.26	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.81
FY2009	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.20	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.80
FY2008	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.66

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. These forward looking statements are not historical facts and represent only MSC's beliefs regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and beyond our control. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict and may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements and from management's current expectations. Such risks and uncertainties include those set forth under "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the 2010 fiscal year. The forward-looking statements in this report speak only as of the time they are made and do not necessarily reflect our outlook at any other point in time. We undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or for any other reason.

## To Our Shareholders

### Operating Revenue (in thousands)



MSCI reported fiscal year 2010 revenues of \$663 million, an increase of 50% from fiscal year 2009. Most of the increase came from the acquisitions of RiskMetrics and Measurisk, which together contributed \$157 million, or 36%, to our revenue growth. Excluding the impact of those acquisitions, MSCI's underlying revenues grew by 14%. The costs associated with the acquisitions, including higher interest and amortization expense and an increased share count, have temporarily offset the impact of higher revenues, holding the growth in our earnings per share to 1%.

2010 was a transition year for MSCI. Our revenue growth accelerated and demand for many of our products, underpinned by long-term investment trends, was strong. The continued recovery in global financial markets in 2010 had a positive impact on demand for our index and risk management products. Sales of these products were robust and our retention rates improved. Results in our smaller portfolio management analytics business and our governance businesses were disappointing. These businesses continued to feel the effect of tighter client budgets and intensified competition.

2010 was a year of change at MSCI. The acquisition of RiskMetrics Group Inc., which was completed in June, transformed our risk management analytics business from a small, but rapidly growing, part of our company into an industry leader in risk management analytics. The acquisition also positions the risk management analytics business to be a second major growth engine for MSCI alongside our index products.


Despite the lingering effects of the financial crisis and subsequent global economic downturn, MSCI is well-positioned to continue to grow. We have built MSCI to take advantage of several major investment trends which we think can propel our growth for the next decade or more. These trends have only been reinforced by the recent financial crisis.

The first notable trend is the globalization of investing. Investors, aided by a rapid decline in global information barriers, are broadening their search for returns outside their domestic financial markets. More often than not, MSCI's global equity indices are used as the benchmark and guide for cross-border investing. Our analytical tools also enable investors to narrow the universe of global opportunities to find those that fit their investment criteria. A broader portfolio is also subject to a wider variety of market risks, making it more imperative that managers understand how these risks might impact their performance.

The second major trend contributing to MSCI's growth is the increasing popularity of passively managed, or index-tracking, investments. This trend places our intellectual property, in the form of both market indices and quantitative portfolio management tools, at the heart of an investment strategy. The rapid growth in the assets of exchange-traded funds, or ETFs, has been the most dramatic example of this trend. ETFs linked to our indices have become an important source of revenue for MSCI and are an area where we see additional opportunities for growth.

The third significant trend driving our growth is the expanding need to understand, measure, manage, and report risk. The financial crisis has led more asset managers, hedge funds and asset owners to recognize the need for a more formal and sophisticated review of the risk in their portfolios. These clients are increasingly turning to MSCI to augment or replace their internal systems and processes. The acquisition of RiskMetrics significantly strengthened our presence in this key growth market.

### Operating Income (in thousands)



A fourth investment trend, which is at an earlier stage of development, is the increasing focus on corporate sustainability issues. Investors are asking for more research and analysis about a company's or an industry's environmental footprint, corporate governance policies and sources of capital and labor. For some investors, this is a question of personal values and ethics. Others are increasingly concerned about the long-term costs associated with these non-financial measures, whether from future regulation, pressure from customers, or the potential for catastrophic accidents. MSCI's environmental, social and governance, or ESG, business and our ISS business offer critical tools for investors seeking to incorporate these issues into their investment processes and proxy voting decisions.

Over the past thirteen years since I became CEO of what was then a small joint venture between Morgan Stanley and The Capital Group, MSCI has made several acquisitions, including the acquisition in 2004 of Barra for over \$800 million. Last year's acquisition of RiskMetrics was the largest that we have done and the first since our initial public offering.

We see acquisitions as a means to extend MSCI's organic growth strategy. We believe we have tremendous growth opportunities in our existing businesses. When an opportunity arises to accelerate the organic growth of our core businesses through an acquisition, we will move aggressively to evaluate it. Focusing our acquisition strategy on businesses that we know and understand minimizes the risks and increases the potential synergies that we can realize in the combination.

By and large, we prefer to focus on larger acquisitions. The first reason for that preference is that we are seeking to acquire leadership positions in our businesses, which generally implies businesses with higher revenues and higher profitability, two factors that can increase the value of an acquisition. The second reason is we know that making a successful acquisition requires a significant investment of senior management time and attention, two resources that are best focused on deals that can have a significant impact on our total business.


Becoming a market leader in third party risk management and reporting systems has been a core part of MSCI's growth strategy in recent years. Our success with the BarraOne product, which we launched as an institutional multi-asset class risk management and reporting tool in 2005 and grew to \$30 million of annual revenues by 2009, has given us confidence that this is a large and rapidly-growing market. We made two acquisitions in 2010 that significantly accelerated our organic growth plans.

In June 2010, we paid \$1.6 billion in cash and MSCI shares to acquire RiskMetrics. The acquisition immediately increased our risk management analytics revenues fivefold and gave us a leading position in the market. The combination has increased the breadth of our product offering, giving us more products to sell to a wider set of investment clients around the world. We believe the increased scale and scope of our operations will enable us to leverage our existing cost base and improve our margins. In turn, that will enable us to invest more in developing new risk management products and capabilities, which should translate into accelerated revenue growth and enhanced returns to our shareholders.


A much smaller investment in risk management analytics was the acquisition of Measurisk in July 2010. The Measurisk business helps institutional investors better understand potential risk across their portfolio of hedge fund holdings. This acquisition added \$4 million to our revenues and broadened our risk management product offering.

As a result of our acquisition of RiskMetrics, MSCI has also become a market leader in corporate governance products and services. The biggest part of our corporate governance product line is the proxy research and proxy voting unit, which operates under the ISS brand. This business advises institutional investors on how to vote their shares in public companies and helps them in the processing of those votes. A separate unit, ISS Corporate Services, provides corporate governance advisory services, mostly regarding compensation issues, to corporate clients. Our governance unit also


## Operating Margin



## Net Income (in thousands)



## Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-term Investments (in thousands)



includes a business to help institutional investors recover money from class action suits and a unit that offers investment research.


We also acquired a leading presence in the environmental, social and governance business as a result of the acquisition of RiskMetrics. We now offer ESG indices and research products under the MSCI brand. These products include data, screening and modeling tools that enable institutional investors to align their investments with a set of ESG values. MSCI ESG research also provides ESG ratings and analysis on thousands of companies worldwide.

I would now like to provide more detail on the performance of each of our four largest product lines in fiscal year 2010.

Index and ESG product revenues were \$330 million in 2010, or 50% of our total revenues. Our MSCI-branded equity indices are used to help measure the performance of equity investments and aid in the construction of equity portfolios. Our environmental, social and governance products help investors integrate ESG factors into their investment decisions. Revenues from index and ESG products rose 27% in 2010. Excluding the impact of the RiskMetrics acquisition, which added the ESG products to this product category, revenues grew 23%. The biggest driver of our growth was a sharp increase in asset-based fees, which are tied to assets under management in passive investments linked to our indices. Our revenues benefited from continued strong cash inflows into ETFs linked to our indices, supplemented by the appreciation of global equity markets during 2010. Also contributing to growth was an increase in equity index subscription fees, which have continued to benefit from a post-crisis rebound in demand.

MSCI remains committed to supporting the evolving needs of its index clients. During 2010, we deepened our coverage of global equity markets by launching the MSCI All Cap Indices, which expanded our coverage to 99.8% of the global developed markets equity universe by adding micro-capitalization stocks to our existing developed markets indices. We also rolled out an innovative series of indices that are weighted by fundamental accounting variables such as sales, earnings and book value rather than by market capitalization.

## Debt (in thousands)



Risk management analytics revenues were \$135 million, or 20% of our total revenues. Our RiskMetrics and Barra-branded products consist of quantitative models, proprietary data and software that help asset managers and asset owners manage and monitor market risks in a variety of asset classes and across multiple portfolios. Revenues from risk management analytics products grew by 257% in 2010. Excluding the impact of the acquisitions of RiskMetrics and Measurisk, revenues grew by 21%. Growth in demand for our risk management products was particularly strong in the Americas region, especially among large asset management and wealth management firms. Our business also benefited from strong demand from hedge funds, many of which are beginning to utilize a third party risk system at the request of their investors.

Portfolio management analytics revenues were \$123 million, or 19% of our total revenues. Our portfolio management analytics products consist largely of Barra-branded quantitative models, proprietary data and software that aid in the construction and monitoring of equity portfolios. The biggest users of these products are portfolio managers who use quantitative analyses in support of their investment decisions. Revenues fell by 5% as demand remained impacted by the financial crisis, which has reduced the assets under management for quantitative investors and forced fundamental managers to reduce their spending on quantitative tools. Those same cyclical pressures have caused competition to intensify. Despite these headwinds, we continue to invest in our portfolio management analytics products. During 2010, we launched several new models, including the first ever Asia-Pacific market model, and introduced our next generation software product, Barra Portfolio Manager.

Governance revenues were \$59 million in 2010, or 9% of our total revenues. The biggest part of our governance segment, the proxy research and voting services business, remained under significant pressure as many clients reduced their spending on proxy voting services. Revenues from our sale of

governance advisory services to corporate clients rose slightly versus year ago levels. The securities class action business recorded strong revenue growth.

A major focus of our activities in 2010 was the integration of the RiskMetrics and Measurisk acquisitions. I am pleased to report that we have made very good progress on this front. Prior to the deal closing, we formed more than 30 joint committees to develop detailed plans for the integration. That planning paid off as we completed the integration of our front office sales, client service, consulting and marketing teams within 60 days of closing the acquisition. We are now in the second phase of our integration, which is the integration of our back office systems and processes in areas like human resources, accounting and information technology. When we are finished with this second phase, the integration process that we laid out as part of achieving our synergy targets will be complete. The final phase, for which planning has already begun, will be the integration of our risk product offering on a combined and integrated technology platform. We expect this last step will take several years to complete.

One of the key reasons we have been successful in growing MSCI over the past decade has been our investment in our people and products. 2011 will be no exception. One focus of our investment plans is our sales and client service teams. Simply put, we want to reach out to more clients, in more locations, and continue to offer them a superior client service experience. Another focus for MSCI is on expanding our product offering by enhancing our existing products and rolling out innovative new tools for our clients. Finally, we plan to add to our existing infrastructure to support future growth. This investment will boost our physical infrastructure by enlarging capacity in our data centers and adding incremental computing power, enabling our risk analytics products and services to handle bigger and more complex portfolios. We are also adding to our administrative infrastructure to enable us to expand our employee base and increase the flow of information to our decision-makers.

The near-term goal of these investments is straightforward. We need to invest to continue to support the growth of our two largest businesses, the index and ESG products unit and the risk management analytics unit. At the same time, we need to make investments to improve the performance of those businesses that are lagging, most notably our portfolio management analytics business and our proxy research and voting business. If we are successful in reaching our objectives then we will have put MSCI on course to accelerate its top line growth in 2012 and beyond.


2010 was a successful year for MSCI. We continued to take advantage of an improving business environment and completed a series of acquisitions that dramatically expanded our risk management analytics product line. Thanks to the hard work and dedication of our employees, MSCI enters 2011 well-positioned to continue its long history of growth. We have the right product mix, well-recognized brands and the global distribution needed to take advantage of the long-term investment trends that are driving our business. With the continued support of our Board of Directors, I am confident that we can execute on these opportunities and create meaningful value for our shareholders.

Sincerely,



**Henry A. Fernandez**  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

## Employees



## Office Locations

### Americas

#### NEW YORK (Global Headquarters)

One Chase Manhattan Plaza  
44th Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
United States

#### NEW YORK

Wall Street Plaza  
88 Pine Street, 2nd Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
United States

#### ANN ARBOR

924 North Main Street  
Suite One  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
United States

#### BERKELEY

2100 Milvia Street  
Berkeley, CA 94704  
United States

#### BOSTON

121 High Street  
4th Floor  
Boston, MA 02110  
United States

#### BOSTON

2 Oliver Street  
10th Floor  
Boston, MA 02109  
United States

#### CHICAGO

311 South Wacker Drive, Suite 1125  
Chicago, IL 60606  
United States

#### EDISON

379 Thornall Street, 5th Floor  
Edison, NJ 08837  
United States

#### MONTERREY

Equus Torre Norte  
Av. Ricardo Margain #444, Piso 8  
Col. Valle del Campestre  
San Pedro Garza García, NL  
C.P. 66268 Monterrey,  
Mexico

#### NORMAN

201 David L. Boren Boulevard, Suite 300  
Norman, OK 73072  
United States

#### ROCKVILLE

2099 Gaither Road  
Rockville, MD 20850-4045  
United States

#### SAN FRANCISCO

50 Fremont Street  
Suite 2430  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
United States

#### SÃO PAULO

Condomínio Edifício Iguatemi Offices Building  
R. Iguatemi, 192 - conjunto 204  
01451-010 - Itaim Bibi - São Paulo - SP  
Brazil

#### STAMFORD

Two Landmark Square, Suite 207  
Stamford, CT 06901  
United States

#### TORONTO

67 Yonge Street  
Toronto, Ontario  
Canada M5E 1J8

### Europe, Middle East and Africa

#### LONDON

Ninth Floor  
Ten Bishops Square  
London E1 6EG  
United Kingdom

#### BRUSSELS

Ch. De la Hulpe 181 b.24  
B-1170  
Brussels  
Belgium

#### BUDAPEST

1138 Budapest  
Népfürdő utca 22  
Hungary

#### CAPE TOWN

2nd Floor Kildare House  
The Oval, 1 Oakdale Road  
Newlands 7700  
South Africa

#### DUBAI

Office 36, Level 3  
Gate Village No: 10  
Dubai International Financial Centre  
PO Box 125115  
Dubai, UAE

#### FRANKFURT

Goethestrasse 9  
D-60313 Frankfurt am Main  
Germany

#### GENEVA

Rue de la Confederation 8-10, 3rd Floor  
CH 1204 Geneva  
Switzerland

#### MILAN

Via Larga, 7  
2nd Floor  
20122 Milan  
Italy

#### PARIS

32 Boulevard Haussmann  
75009 Paris  
France

### Asia Pacific, Japan and India

#### HONG KONG

12th Floor, Nexus Building  
41 Connaught Road Central  
Hong Kong

#### BEIJING

Excel Centre, Suite 1101- 1102A  
No. 6 Wudinghou Street  
Xicheng District, Beijing 100033  
PRC

#### MANILA

15 /F Solaris One Building  
No. 130 De La Rosa St.  
1229 Makati City,  
Philippines

#### MELBOURNE

Level 4, 190 Queen Street  
Melbourne VIC 3000  
Australia

#### MUMBAI

202 & 302, Raheja Titanium  
Opp. J.V.L.R.  
Western Express Highway  
Goregaon (East)  
Mumbai 400063  
India

#### SHANGHAI

Suite D, 16/F, Mirae Asset Tower  
No. 166 Lu Jia Zui Ring Road  
Shanghai, 200120  
PRC

#### SINGAPORE

501 Orchard Road  
Wheelock Place, 8/F, Unit 1  
Singapore 238880

#### SYDNEY

Level 9, 1 Castlereagh Street, Suite 901  
Sydney, NSW 2000  
Australia

#### TOKYO

Hanzomon First Building 8F  
1-4 Kojimachi, Chiyoda-ku  
Tokyo 102-0083, Japan



---

---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-K**

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2010

Commission file number 001-33812

**MSCI INC.**

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

**13-4038723**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

**One Chase Manhattan Plaza, 44<sup>th</sup> Floor**  
**New York, New York 10005**  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices, zip code)

**(212) 804-3900**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of Each Class</u>	<u>Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered</u>
<b>Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share</b>	<b>New York Stock Exchange</b>

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.  
YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  
YES  NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).  
YES  NO

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller Reporting Company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).  
YES  NO

The aggregate market value of Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter (based on the closing price of these securities as reported by The New York Stock Exchange on May 31, 2010) was approximately \$3,054,920,949. Shares of Common Stock held by executive officers and directors of the registrant are not included in the computation. However, the registrant has made no determination that such individuals are "affiliates" within the meaning of Rule 405 under the Securities Act of 1933.

As of January 24, 2011, there were 119,699,741 shares of the Registrant's Class A common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding and no shares of Registrant's Class B common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding.

Documents incorporated by reference: Portions of the Registrant's proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders, to be held on May 5, 2011, are incorporated herein by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

---

---

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

**MSCI INC.**  
**FORM 10-K**  
**FOR THE YEAR ENDED NOVEMBER 30, 2010**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PART I**

Item 1.	Business .....	1
Item 1A.	Risk Factors .....	20
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments .....	40
Item 2.	Properties .....	41
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings .....	41

**PART II**

Item 5.	Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities .....	42
Item 6.	Selected Consolidated Financial Data .....	46
Item 7.	Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations .....	47
Item 7A.	Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk .....	80
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data .....	80
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure .....	80
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures .....	80
Item 9B.	Other Information .....	83

**PART III**

Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance .....	83
Item 11.	Executive Compensation .....	83
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters .....	83
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence .....	83
Item 14.	Principal Accounting Fees and Services .....	83

**PART IV**

Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules .....	84
----------	--------------------------------------------------	----

*Except as the context otherwise indicates, the terms “MSCI,” “we,” “our,” and “us” refer to MSCI Inc. together with its subsidiaries. References to “RiskMetrics” refer to RiskMetrics Group, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of ours that we acquired in June 2010 and its subsidiaries, including Institutional Shareholders Inc. and its subsidiaries, which is referred to as “ISS” herein, except that references to ISS products exclude certain KLD and Innovest products, which are included in the index and ESG product category (KLD, Innovest and ESG defined below).*

*When we refer to “fiscal year 2010” or “the fiscal year ended November 30, 2010,” we mean December 1, 2009 through November 30, 2010.*

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

*We have included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and from time to time may make in our public filings, press releases or other public statements, certain statements that constitute forward-looking statements. In addition, our management may make forward-looking statements to analysts, investors, representatives of the media and others. These forward-looking statements are not historical facts and represent only MSCI's beliefs regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and beyond our control.*

*In some cases you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as "may," "might," "should," "anticipates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "seeks," "estimates," "potential," "continue," "believes" and similar expressions, although some forward-looking statements are expressed differently. Statements concerning our financial position, business strategy and plans or objectives for future operations are forward-looking statements. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict and may cause actual results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements and from management's current expectations. Such risks and uncertainties include those set forth under "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The forward-looking statements in this report speak only as of the time they are made and do not necessarily reflect our outlook at any other point in time. We undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or for any other reason. However, readers should carefully review the risk factors set forth in other reports or documents we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC").*

## PART I

### Item 1. *Business*

#### Overview

We are a leading global provider of investment decision support tools, including indices, portfolio risk and performance analytics and corporate governance products and services. Our products and services address multiple markets, asset classes and geographies and are sold to a diverse client base including asset owners, such as pension funds, endowments, foundations, central banks, family offices and insurance companies; institutional and retail asset managers, such as managers of pension assets, mutual funds, exchange traded funds ("ETFs"), hedge funds and private wealth; financial intermediaries, such as banks, broker-dealers, exchanges, custodians and investment consultants; and corporate clients. As of November 30, 2010, we had approximately 5,800 clients across 78 countries. We had 33 offices in 19 countries to help serve our diverse client base, with approximately 53.3% of our revenue from clients in the Americas, 32.4% in Europe, the Middle East and Africa ("EMEA") and 14.3% in Asia and Australia, based on revenues for the year ended November 30, 2010. See "—Clients" below for an explanation of how we calculate our number of clients.

Effective with the acquisition of RiskMetrics, the Company consists of two industry leading businesses: the Performance and Risk business and the Governance business. Together, these businesses offer what we believe is the most comprehensive suite of performance, risk management and corporate governance products and services available in our industry. See "—Company History and Acquisitions" below.

Our Performance and Risk business is a leading global provider of investment decision support tools, including equity indices, portfolio risk and performance analytics, credit analytics and environmental, social and governance ("ESG") products. Our Performance and Risk products are used in many areas of the investment process, including portfolio construction and rebalancing, performance benchmarking and attribution, risk management and analysis, index-linked investment product creation, asset allocation, assessment of social responsibility and environmental stewardship and the effects of climate change on investments, investment manager selection and investment research. The flagship products within our Performance and Risk business are our Global Equity Indices and ESG products marketed under the MSCI brand, our market and credit risk analytics marketed under the RiskMetrics and Barra brands, our portfolio risk and performance analytics covering global equity and fixed income markets marketed under the Barra brand and our valuation models and risk management software for the energy and commodities markets marketed under the FEA brand.

Our Governance business is a leading provider of corporate governance and specialized financial research and analysis services to institutional investors and corporations around the world. Among other things, the Governance business facilitates the voting of proxies by institutional investors and provides in-depth research and analysis to help inform voting decisions and identify issuer-specific risk. The Governance business offers both global security coverage and fully integrated products and services, including proxy voting, policy creation, research, vote recommendations, vote execution, post-vote disclosure and reporting and analytical tools. Within a firewall designed to separate it from the rest of the Governance business, a unit of the Governance business also provides products and services to corporate clients who may use those products and services to learn about and improve their governance and executive compensation practices. The flagship products within our Governance business are our governance research and outsourced proxy voting and reporting services marketed under the ISS brand and our forensic accounting risk research, legal and regulatory risk assessment and due diligence products marketed under the CFRA brand.

Our principal sales model in both of our business segments is to license annual, recurring subscriptions to our products and services for use at specified locations, often by a given number of users or for a certain volume of services, for an annual fee paid up front. Additionally, we have increasing recurring subscriptions to our managed services offering in which our staff oversee the production of risk and performance reports on behalf of our clients. For the year ended November 30, 2010, approximately \$537.8 million, or 81.1%, of our revenues was attributable to annual, recurring subscriptions. Furthermore, \$104.1 million of our revenues comes from clients who use our indices as the basis for index-linked investment products such as ETFs. We also derive revenues from certain institutional clients that use our indices as the basis for passively managed funds and separate accounts. These clients commonly pay us a license fee for the use of our intellectual property based on the investment product's assets. We generate a limited amount of our revenues from certain exchanges that use our indices as the basis for futures and options contracts and pay us a license fee for the use of our intellectual property based on their volume of trades. We also receive revenues from one-time fees related to implementation, historical or customized reports, advisory and consulting services, overages relating to the proxy research and voting services and from certain products and services that are designed for one-time usage.

Our Aggregate and Core Retention Rates for the year ended November 30, 2010 were 87.2% and 88.1% and are lower than pre-financial crisis peaks due to the recent adverse financial environment and increased competition which resulted in client liquidations and consolidations, price pressure and declines in demand for discretionary financial research products. See "Item 7.—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Retention Rates," for definitions of Aggregate and Core Retention Rates.

### **Company History and Acquisitions**

We were a pioneer in developing the market for global equity index products and introduced our first equity index products in 1969. We were incorporated in Delaware in 1998 and until we became a public company in November 2007 our only two shareholders were Morgan Stanley and Capital Group International, Inc. ("Capital Group International").

In June 2004, we acquired Barra, Inc. ("Barra"), a provider of portfolio risk analytics tools that launched its first risk analytics products in 1975, broadening our product range beyond index products.

In November 2007, we completed an initial public offering ("IPO") of approximately 16.1 million shares of our class A common stock. In connection with the IPO, we reclassified our outstanding common stock into shares of class A common stock and class B common stock and immediately following the IPO, Morgan Stanley held approximately 81.0 million shares of our class B common stock and Capital Group International held approximately 2.9 million shares of our class B common stock. Under the terms of our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, when shares of class B common stock convert into shares of class A common stock, they do so on a one-to-one basis.

In May 2008, Morgan Stanley converted approximately 28.0 million shares of our class B common stock into class A common stock by selling such shares in a registered secondary equity offering. Capital Group

International converted approximately 2.9 million shares of our class B common stock, representing all of its equity interest in us, into shares of our class A common stock and transferred them to its affiliate The Capital Group Companies Charitable Foundation (“Capital Group Charitable Foundation”). Capital Group Charitable Foundation sold all of these shares pursuant to the same registered secondary equity offering.

In July 2008, Morgan Stanley converted approximately 25.0 million shares of our class B common stock into shares of class A common stock by selling such shares pursuant to a registered secondary equity offering.

In May 2009, Morgan Stanley converted approximately 27.7 million shares of our class B common stock, representing the remainder of its equity interest in us, into shares of our class A common stock by selling such shares pursuant to a registered secondary offering. Currently, there are no shares of our class B common stock outstanding and management has no intention of issuing any shares of our class B common stock. Although we began the transition to an independent, stand-alone public company at the time of our IPO in November 2007, we became an independent, stand-alone public company following the May 2009 secondary offering.

In November 2009, we issued approximately 3.8 million shares of our class A common stock pursuant to a registered offering completed in conjunction with our inclusion in the S&P MidCap 400 Index.

In June 2010, we acquired RiskMetrics, a leading provider of risk management and governance products and services, in a cash-and-stock transaction valued at approximately \$1,572.4 million. In connection with the acquisition, we issued approximately 12.6 million shares of class A common stock and we also entered into a senior secured credit agreement, which is comprised of (i) a \$1,275.0 million six-year term loan facility and (ii) a \$100.0 million five-year revolving credit facility. See “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources.” RiskMetrics’ operating revenues for the calendar year ended December 31, 2009 were \$303.4 million. Immediately prior to the acquisition, RiskMetrics had over 3,400 clients located in 56 countries and approximately 1,100 employees located in 12 countries. RiskMetrics owns ISS, a pioneer in the development of policy-based proxy voting recommendations. ISS expands our product and service offerings to include a fully-outsourced proxy research, voting and vote reporting service, and corporate governance products and services. RiskMetrics acquired the Center for Financial Research and Analysis (“CFRA”), Innovest Strategic Advisors (“Innovest”) and KLD Research and Analytics, Inc. (“KLD”) in August 2007, March 2009 and October 2009, respectively. The acquisitions of these companies permits us to offer financial research and analysis products that provide our clients with research reports and analytical tools covering many investment criteria that we believe have become increasingly important to investors, including accounting and compensation practices, and environmental, social and governance products and services. As a part of MSCI, we believe RiskMetrics helps (i) increase our already strong operating cash flow, (ii) increase our recurring revenue and (iii) leverage the power of our brand, technology and our global reach. See Note 4, “Restructuring,” for a discussion of certain costs incurred in connection with the integration of RiskMetrics.

In July 2010, we acquired Measurisk, LLC (“Measurisk”), a provider of risk transparency and risk measurement tools for hedge fund investors, to aid us in developing a broad platform and setting the standard for analyzing and reporting hedge fund risk in response to our clients’ demands for increasing levels of transparency from their hedge fund managers.

Over the course of more than 40 years, we believe our organization has accumulated an in-depth understanding of the investment process worldwide. Based on this wealth of knowledge, we have created and continue to develop, enhance and refine sophisticated tools to meet the growing, complex and diverse needs of our clients’ investment processes. Our models and methodologies are the intellectual foundation of our business and include the innovative algorithms, formulas and analytical and quantitative techniques that we use, together with market data, to produce our products. Our long history has allowed us to build extensive databases of proprietary index, risk and governance data, as well as accumulate valuable historical market data, which we believe would be difficult to replicate and which provides us with a substantial competitive advantage.

We have grown significantly, organically and through acquisitions, such as those described above, with respect to our number of employees and revenues. As we have grown, we have increased our operations outside of the United States. We currently have branches or subsidiaries located in the following countries: Australia, Belgium, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Germany, Hungary, India, Italy, Japan, Mexico, the Philippines, Singapore, South Africa, Switzerland, United Arab Emirates, the U.K. and the U.S.

### **Business Segments, Products and Services**

We divide our business operations into two segments: the Performance and Risk business and the Governance business. Business segment revenue, segment income from operations and assets attributable to foreign and domestic operations are set forth in Note 14, “Segment Information,” of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, included herein.

#### **Performance and Risk Business Segment**

Our primary Performance and Risk products consist of indices, portfolio risk and performance analytics, credit analytics and ESG products. We also have product offerings in the areas of energy and commodity asset valuation analytics and fixed income portfolio analytics. Our products are generally comprised of proprietary index data, proprietary risk and analytics data and ESG ratings, analysis and research and delivered via data feeds and proprietary software applications. Our index and risk data are created by applying our models and methodologies to market and fundamental data. For example, we input closing stock prices and other market data into our index methodologies to calculate our index data, and we input fundamental data and other market data into our risk models to produce risk forecasts for individual assets and portfolios of multiple asset classes, including equities, bonds, commodities, foreign exchange, futures, options, derivatives, structured products, interest-rate products and credit products. Our clients can use our data together with our proprietary software applications, third-party applications or their own applications in their investment process. Our software applications offer our clients sophisticated portfolio analytics to perform in-depth analysis of their portfolios, using our risk data, the client’s portfolio data and fundamental and market data. Our equity index products are typically branded “MSCI.” Our portfolio risk, performance analytics and credit analytics are typically branded “Barra” and “RiskMetrics.” In addition to MSCI branded ESG indices, we offer other environmental, social and governance products that are branded “MSCI ESG Research.” Our valuation models and risk management software for the energy and commodities markets are typically branded “FEA.”

#### ***Index and ESG Products***

Our MSCI-branded equity indices are designed to measure returns available to investors across a wide variety of markets (*e.g.*, Europe, Japan or emerging markets), sizes (*e.g.*, small capitalization or large capitalization), styles (*e.g.*, growth or value) and industries (*e.g.*, banks or media). Our MSCI branded ESG indices are designed to help clients incorporate environmental, social and governance factors into their investment decisions. As of November 30, 2010, we calculated over 148,000 indices daily.

In addition to delivering our products directly to our clients, as of November 30, 2010, we also had more than 65 third-party financial information and analytics software providers who distribute our various equity index products worldwide. The performance of our equity indices is also frequently referenced when selecting investment managers, assigning return benchmarks in mandates, comparing performance and providing market and academic commentary. The performance of certain of our equity indices is reported on a daily basis in the financial media.

Our primary index products are:

- *MSCI Global Equity Indices.* The MSCI Global Equity Indices are our flagship index products. They are designed to measure returns available to global investors across a variety of public equity markets. As of November 30, 2010, the MSCI Global Equity Indices covered 76 countries, including, among



others, those in our developed market, emerging market and frontier market categories, as well as various regional and composite indices built from the component country indices, including the well-known MSCI EAFE (Europe, Australasia, and Far East), MSCI World, MSCI ACWI IMI (All Country World Investable Market Index) and MSCI Emerging Market Indices. In addition, the MSCI Global Equity Indices include industry indices, thematic and strategy indices, value and growth style indices and large-, mid-, small- and micro-capitalization size segment indices.

We believe that the MSCI Global Equity Indices are the most widely used benchmarks for cross border equity funds. We continue to enhance and expand this successful product offering. Recently, various pension plans have announced their use of our broad equity index, MSCI ACWI IMI, as the policy benchmark for their equities portion. We have also recently introduced new indices such as the MSCI All Cap Indices, the MSCI Micro Cap Indices for all developed countries and regions, the MSCI Frontier Markets Small Cap Indices and the MSCI Value Weighted Indices.

- *MSCI US Equity Indices.* The MSCI US Equity Indices are designed to reflect the full breadth of investment opportunities within the US equity markets. The MSCI US Equity Indices include value and growth style indices, large-, mid-, small- and micro-capitalization size segment indices and sectors/ industries indices.
- *MSCI Custom Indices.* Over the years we have significantly expanded our capabilities to calculate custom indices. We currently calculate over 5,000 custom indices, which apply a client's customization criteria to an existing MSCI index. Examples of customization criteria include currency, hedging, stock exclusions or special weighting. Custom indices can reflect specific investment criteria, such as socially responsible investment requirements or regulatory constraints, and can be used for back-testing a strategy or developing a specialized investment product, minimizing portfolio tracking error and constructing index-linked products.
- *MSCI ESG Indices.* The MSCI ESG Indices allow clients to more effectively benchmark ESG investment performance and manage, measure and report on their compliance with ESG mandates, as well as to issue index-based ESG investment products. The MSCI ESG Indices include sustainability indices, indices that take into account certain values, norms or ethical standards, environmental-themed indices such as alternative energy or clean technology and custom indices based on clients' unique ESG requirements.
- *Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS).* The Global Industry Classification Standard was developed and is maintained jointly by MSCI and Standard & Poor's Financial Services, LLC ("Standard & Poor's"). We designed this classification system to respond to our clients' needs for a consistent, accurate and complete framework for classifying companies into industries. GICS has been widely accepted as an industry analysis framework for investment research, portfolio management and asset allocation. Our equity index products classify constituent securities according to GICS.

We also offer GICS Direct, a joint product of MSCI and Standards & Poor's. GICS Direct is a database of more than 40,000 active companies and over 45,000 securities classified by sector, industry group, industry and sub-industry in accordance with proprietary GICS methodology.

- *MSCI ESG Research.* MSCI ESG Research products and services help investors integrate ESG factors into their investment decisions. Investors integrate ESG factors to better understand investment risk and opportunities and/or to align investment with a set of ESG values.

Products include research, screening and modeling tools that allow institutional investors and asset managers to align investments with a set of ESG values such as perceptions of certain business activities, religious views or international norms; generate buy/restricted lists of companies that meet those criteria; understand the implications of restrictions on portfolios; and examine company specific profiles.

MSCI ESG Research also provides ESG ratings and analysis on thousands of companies worldwide. These sector based research reports are designed to identify and analyze key ESG issues for the sector, which may include the intersection of a corporations' major social and environmental impacts with its core business operations, thereby identifying potential risks and opportunities for the company and its investors.

### ***Portfolio Management Analytics Products***

Our Barra-branded portfolio management analytics products are designed to assist investment professionals in analyzing and managing risks and returns for equities at both the asset and portfolio level in major equity markets worldwide. Barra equity models identify and analyze the factors that influence equity asset returns and risk. Our most widely used Barra equity products utilize our fundamental multi-factor equity risk model data to help our clients construct, analyze, optimize and manage portfolios. Our multi-factor models identify common factors that influence stock price movements, such as industry and style characteristics, based on market and fundamental data. The proprietary risk data available in our products identifies an asset's or a portfolio's sensitivities to these common factors. Risk not attributable to the common factors is risk unique to the asset.

Our global equity models include the following:

*Barra Global Equity Model ("GEM2").* GEM2 is an investment decision support tool designed for global equity portfolio management and construction. It uses a set of factors that best explain the sources of global equity risk and returns.

*Barra Integrated Model ("BIM").* BIM provides a detailed view of risk across markets and asset classes, including currencies, equities, fixed income assets, commodities, mutual fund assets and hedge fund assets. It begins by identifying the factors that affect the returns of equity and fixed income securities and currencies in each individual country or market. These factors are then combined into a single global model that can forecast the risk of multi-asset class, global portfolios.

Our single country and regional risk models include the following:

*Barra Single Country Equity Models.* Our single country equity models identify the unique set of factors most able to explain sources of risks and returns of portfolios in that country. Examples include the Barra US Equity Model ("USE3") which models risk for U.S. equity assets and portfolios and is our most frequently licensed model, and the Barra UK Equity Model ("UKE7") which models risk for United Kingdom equity assets and portfolios.

*Barra Regional Equity Models.* We produce two regional equity models, the Europe Equity Model ("EUE3") and the Asia-Pacific Equity Model ("ASE1"). These models are designed to be used across a broad range of applications and are available in different versions to reflect local and regional commonalities, as well as short-term and long-term investment horizons. The EUE3 model covers approximately 11,000 stocks in 29 markets, including many emerging and frontier markets in Eastern Europe. The ASE1 model covers approximately 21,600 stocks in 15 markets, including emerging and frontier markets in the region.

When assigning investment mandates to asset managers, institutional asset owners often prescribe investment restrictions for portfolio risk and tracking error that are measured, reported and monitored using Barra products. Our clients can use our portfolio analytics by installing our proprietary software applications and equity risk data in their technology platforms, by accessing our software applications and risk data via the Internet, by integrating our equity risk data into their own applications or through third-party applications, like those provided by FactSet Research Systems Inc. ("FactSet"), that have incorporated our equity risk data and analytics into their offerings.

Our primary portfolio analytics products are:

- *Barra Aegis*. Barra Aegis is our flagship equity risk management and analytics system. It is a sophisticated software application for equity risk management and portfolio analysis that is powered by our proprietary equity risk data. It is deployed by the client as a desktop application. Barra Aegis is an integrated suite of equity investment analytics modules, specifically designed to help clients actively manage their equity risk against their expected returns. It also enables clients to construct optimized portfolios based on client-specified expectations and constraints.

Barra Aegis also provides a factor-based performance attribution module which allows clients to analyze realized returns relative to risk factors by sectors, styles, currencies and regions. Barra Aegis tools also help clients identify returns attributable to stock selection skills. Additionally, using Barra Aegis' advanced automation tools, clients can back-test their portfolio construction strategies over time.

- *Barra Portfolio Manager*. Barra Portfolio Manager is an integrated risk and performance platform that is designed to help fund managers and their teams gain additional portfolio insight, manage a more systematic investment process and make faster, more informed investment decisions. The web-based interactive user interface allows users to quickly and easily analyze risk and return, monitor portfolios and conduct pre-trade what-if analysis across a number of scenarios. The platform supports optional data management services that allow users to outsource the loading and reconciliation of their portfolio and other proprietary data.
- *Barra Equity Models Direct*. Barra Equity Models Direct delivers our proprietary risk data to clients for integration into their own software applications. The proprietary risk data in Barra Equity Models Direct is also available via third-party providers. We offer the proprietary risk data from global, regional and single country Barra risk models and most of these models are available in short-term and long-term time horizons so that clients can select the risk data that best suits their investment processes.
- *Barra Cosmos*. Barra Cosmos enables global fixed income portfolio managers to manage risk and optimize return in a multi-currency, global bond portfolio. This adaptable product integrates specific bond, derivative and currency strategies to reflect each user's investment style, while monitoring the overall risk exposure of the portfolio. Barra Cosmos is deployed by the client as a desktop application.

### ***Risk Management Analytics Products***

Our risk management analytics products offer a consistent risk assessment framework for managing and monitoring investments in a variety of asset classes across an organization. The products are based on our proprietary integrated fundamental multi-factor risk models, value-at-risk methodologies and asset valuation models. They enable clients to identify, monitor, report and manage potential market risks from equities, fixed income, derivatives contracts and alternative investments, and to analyze portfolios and systematically analyze risk and return across multiple asset classes, including equities, bonds, commodities, foreign exchange, futures, options, derivatives, structured products, interest-rate products and credit products. Using these tools, clients can identify the drivers of market and credit risk across their investments, produce daily risk reports, run pre-trade analysis, perform what-if stress-tests and simulation analysis and optimizations, evaluate and monitor multiple asset managers and investment teams and access correlations across a group of selected assets or portfolios.

We have two major products in this area, BarraOne and RiskManager:

- *BarraOne*. BarraOne, powered by the Barra Integrated Model, provides clients with global, multi-asset class risk analysis using Barra fundamental factor technology. BarraOne also includes VaR simulation, stress testing, optimization and performance attribution modules that enable clients to manage multi-asset class portfolios, carry out risk allocation budgeting, manager monitoring, performance attribution and regulatory risk reporting. The product is accessed by clients via a secure, interactive web-based session, web services or on an outsourced basis.

- *RiskManager*. RiskManager is an industry leader in VaR simulation and stress testing. Clients use RiskManager for daily analyzing, measuring and monitoring of market risk at fund and firm level, for sensitivity and stress testing, and interactive what-if analysis. RiskManager is a highly scalable platform accessed by clients via a license to a secure, interactive web-based application service, as an outsourced risk reporting service or as a web service in which a client's systems access RiskMetrics core risk elements by connecting directly to our systems. RiskManager includes, among other modules, the CounterParty Risk Reporting module that provides clients with counterparty exposures and is offered as either a web service or a managed service in which our staff oversee the production of CounterParty Risk reports on behalf of our clients.

In addition, we offer:

- *Hedge Fund Risk Transparency Solutions*. HedgePlatform, a reporting service, and InterSight, an interactive web-based reporting service, allow clients that invest in hedge funds, including funds of funds, pension funds and endowments, to measure, evaluate and monitor the risk of their hedge fund investments across multiple hedge fund strategies. We collect position-level information from hedge funds on a monthly basis and provide our clients with a risk report for each individual hedge fund in which they invest as well as an aggregate risk report for their overall portfolio of hedge funds. Our clients who use RiskManager to measure the risk of their own holdings can further integrate the positions collected via our HedgePlatform and InterSight services to allow computation of risk across their entire portfolio, while the confidential and proprietary nature of the underlying hedge fund holdings is maintained. HedgePlatform and InterSight reports include statistics such as exposure (long, short, net and gross), sensitivities, scenario analysis, stress tests and VAR analysis.
- *DataMetrics*. DataMetrics is a data service that allows clients to access the market data embedded in RiskManager for use in their own proprietary or other third-party systems. In addition to direct access to market data time series, DataMetrics can provide clients with customized data processing services.
- *WealthBench*. WealthBench is an investment planning platform for private banks, financial advisors, brokerages and trust companies. WealthBench delivers fully-informed, tailored investment planning proposals for high net worth individuals reflecting their needs, goals and risk tolerances while remaining consistent with firm-driven investment and risk-based policies. WealthBench incorporates robust analytics, market-consistent inputs and transparent methodologies.
- *CreditManager*. Our CreditManager product is a portfolio credit risk management system used primarily by banks to calculate economic capital and credit scores, facilitate risk-based pricing and measure risk concentrations. The application is designed to consolidate and compare risks and opportunities across multiple credit exposures including bonds, credit derivatives and traditional lending.

### ***Energy and Commodity Analytics Products***

Our Energy and Commodity Analytics products are software applications that offer a variety of quantitative analytics tools for valuing, modeling and facilitating the hedging of physical assets and derivatives across a number of market segments including energy and commodity assets. These products are used by investors, traders and those hedging investments in these asset classes. The software applications are not provided with any market data or proprietary index or risk data. These products are typically branded "FEA" and include products such as FEA@Energy, FEA VaRworks and FEA StructureTool.

### **Governance Segment**

Our Governance business is a leading provider of corporate governance and financial research and analysis services to institutional investors and corporations around the world. We categorize our Governance business

into three distinct categories: (i) Proxy Research and Voting, Global Proxy Distribution (“GPD”) and Securities Class Action Services (“SCAS”), (ii) ISS Corporate Services and (iii) Financial Research and Analysis (“FR&A”). The pricing model for our Governance business’ products and services is primarily subscription-based and varies depending on the product or service purchased.

### ***Proxy Research and Voting, GPD and SCAS***

Our Proxy Research and Voting, GPD and SCAS products are designed to provide proxy services, including proxy voting and in-depth research and analysis to help inform voting decisions and assess issuer-specific risk, to institutional investors globally. ISS is the largest proxy advisory firm that offers a fully-integrated, end-to-end proxy voting service, including policy creation, comprehensive research, vote recommendations, vote execution and reporting and analytical tools. During fiscal year 2010, Proxy Research and Voting, GPD and SCAS accounted for approximately 72.3% of revenues attributable to our Governance business.

Our primary product categories are:

- *Proxy Research and Voting.* Through its ProxyExchange platform, ISS provides clients with vote recommendations, comprehensive analyses and online voting capabilities that enable users to make informed decisions about how to vote on all items with respect to each shareholder meeting agenda that is covered, execute their votes and monitor and track their votes for reporting purposes.

Research coverage is currently provided on over 6,400 U.S.-based companies and approximately 25,000 non-U.S. companies. ISS’ research and recommendations are based on benchmark, specialized and custom policies. ISS’ benchmark policies are designed to serve as an industry standard and best practice guide to corporate governance and are developed with the input of institutional clients and industry professionals around the world. In addition to our benchmark policies, we recognize that the philosophies and policies used to make proxy voting decisions range widely among different types of investors. Understanding the diverse needs of our clients, we are able to create policies that meet their requirements through a number of specialized policies such as SRI policies based on environmentally and socially responsible guidelines and ISS’ Taft-Hartley benchmark policy which is based on guidelines of the American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations. For many institutional investors with highly specialized or unique needs for proxy research and policy guidelines, we also offer custom proxy advisory services in which we work with our clients to develop and refine governance policy guidelines that match their particular views and are unique to them. ISS’ M&A Edge provides independent, in-depth research analysis that focuses specifically on proposed merger and acquisition deals and proxy contests to inform institutional investors. It also delivers ongoing deal notes that keep users abreast of key events as the deal or contest evolves and analysis covers key aspects of a transaction, including strategic rationale, corporate governance and shareholder rights issues.

ISS’ proxy voting services include notifying clients of upcoming shareholder meetings, receiving proxy ballots from third-party proxy distributors, generating consolidated proxy ballots and instructions across its clients’ portfolios, executing and tabulating its clients’ votes in accordance with their instructions, maintaining voting records and providing comprehensive vote reporting.

- *Global Proxy Distribution Services (“GPD”).* Our GPD service offers a complete global proxy distribution solution to custodian banks for non-U.S. securities through a single independent platform. GPD provides for the efficient distribution and voting of proxies giving clients the ability to review and download detailed meeting information and individualized account information. GPD also provides online access to customized record-keeping and reporting across all custodians and sub-custodians.
- *Securities Class Action Services (“SCAS”).* We deliver a complete class action monitoring and claims filing service to institutional investors who have potential recovery rights in class action lawsuits. We

provide an extensive securities litigation database, including up-to-date case information and detailed historical class action data, and provide fully-outsourced notification, tracking and claims filing services to our institutional clients. Our arrangements with claims administrators and law firms around the world enable us to advise on new developments in global markets and streamline the filing process.

SCAS offers more detailed portfolio specific views of cases and settlements with an online report library that allows clients to keep track of the complete securities class action lifecycle from when a case is first identified until payment is disbursed. Securities class action data provided to our clients include class periods, settlement dates, status reports, award amounts, claim deadline dates, claims administrator details and pertinent related data.

### ***ISS Corporate Services***

Our ISS Corporate Services products and services are designed to help clients reduce risk and build shareholder value through strong governance programs by leveraging our expertise in the areas of executive compensation, governance ratings, capital structure, voting trends and corporate governance research. ISS Corporate Services tools and advisory services help clients to design, manage and measure their corporate governance programs. The majority of ISS Corporate Services' revenues are one-time, non-recurring. During fiscal year 2010, ISS Corporate Services products and services accounted for approximately 18.4% of revenues attributable to our Governance business.

Our primary ISS Corporate Services products and services include:

- *Compensation and Corporate Advisory Services.* We provide a set of turnkey products and services that enable compensation professionals and board committee members to optimize compensation plan design by modeling, analyzing and benchmarking executive compensation. Our Compensation and Corporate Advisory Services provide access to experienced and dedicated compensation plan analysts and support to our clients in modeling the cost of equity compensation plans and determining optimal compensation plan design. Alternatively, we provide a web-based compensation modeling tool, Compass, that measures the cost of equity-based incentive plans using a binomial option pricing model.
- *Governance Exchange.* Governance Exchange provides a high-quality online discussion forum to facilitate constructive dialogue on corporate governance issues between those involved in corporate governance, including institutional investors, board directors and corporate executives. Members of Governance Exchange also have access to a diverse range of corporate governance viewpoints and research through webcasts, white papers, surveys, and expert analysis.
- *Proxy Research and Publications.* Proxy Research and Publications offers a searchable database of publications, research articles and online reports designed to help corporate secretaries, investor relations professionals, executives, directors and other professionals track ISS recommendations and analysis. Through an alerts service, users of Proxy Research also receive the latest proxy research reports released for their company or for peer companies, and can opt to be alerted when proxy research reports containing specific proposal types are released.

### ***Financial Research and Analysis***

Our FR&A products and services are designed to assess the overall financial health of companies by analyzing the investment implications of companies' accounting policies, legal and regulatory exposure, environmental, social and governance practices, mergers and acquisitions initiatives and compensation plans. Our FR&A product and service offerings are provided primarily to portfolio managers for investment analysis, to corporations to monitor compliance with corporate governance practices and to professional services organizations to support due diligence efforts. These offerings are either bundled with other services or sold on an individual basis and allow investors to add specialized, qualitative analysis to more traditional research used in the investment decision-making process. During fiscal year 2010, FR&A accounted for approximately 9.3% of revenues attributable to our Governance business.

*CFRA Forensic Accounting Research.* Through a rigorous and proprietary research process, our global team of analysts assesses the reported financial results of over 10,000 companies worldwide. We focus on providing our clients with timely and actionable risk analysis reports regarding earnings and cash flow quality and sustainability, legal and regulatory risk and overall business health. Our clients rely on our continuous analysis and objective perspective. Accounting Lens, our largest product, is a leading forensic accounting risk research report offering for investors, providing early warning signals for companies showing signs of operational or financial distress. The reports consist of in-depth company research, educational and industry research, access to our proprietary earnings quality database and research analyst contact. In addition, CFRA's Legal Edge product is focused on identifying and analyzing hidden legal and regulatory risks. CFRA also provides customized research services for client-defined projects.

## **Growth Strategy**

We have experienced growth in recent years with operating revenues and operating income increasing by 49.7% and 36.5%, respectively, for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to the year ended November 30, 2009, and by 2.8% and 11.2%, respectively, for the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to the year ended November 30, 2008. Excluding the impact of the RiskMetrics and Measurisk acquisitions, our operating revenues and operating income increased by 14.3% and 22.5%, respectively, for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to the year ended November 30, 2009.

We believe we are well-positioned for significant growth over time and have a multi-faceted growth strategy that builds on our strong client relationships, products, brands and integral role in the investment process. Most of our clients are in the financial services industry. In 2008 and 2009, stock market volatility and lack of available credit led to increased budgetary pressures at a number of our clients and the closure or consolidation of a number of our clients, which negatively impacted our financial results for those periods. Although our Retention Rates and new sales growth have generally improved in 2010 compared to the last two years, we expect to see continued improvement over time. Additionally, we believe that our acquisition of RiskMetrics meaningfully advances each of the growth strategies that we have identified and pursued over the last three years. Set forth below are the principal elements of our strategy to grow our Company and meet the increasing needs of our clients for investment decision support tools:

- *Client Growth.* We believe there are significant opportunities to increase the number of users and locations and the number of products we license to existing client organizations, and to obtain new clients in both existing and new geographic markets and client types worldwide. We intend to:
  - *Increase product subscriptions and users within our current client base.* Many of our clients use only one or a limited number of our products, and we believe there are substantial opportunities to cross sell our other investment decision support tools as we have expanded our suite of equity index, ESG, risk, governance and research products. For example, we will continue to seek opportunities to sell risk and portfolio analytics products to our existing index only clients. In addition, we will continue to focus on adding new users, new locations and new modules for current products with existing clients. In the year ended November 30, 2010, approximately 75.4% of our new sales resulted from sales to existing clients.
  - *Expand client base in current client types.* We plan to add new clients by leveraging our brand strength, our products, our broad access to the global investment community and our strong knowledge of the investment process. This includes client types in which we already have a strong penetration for our flagship global equity index, risk management analytics, portfolio analytics and governance products.
  - *Increase licensing of indices for ETFs.* During the year ended November 30, 2010, increases in the assets in ETFs linked to MSCI indices reflected in the table below contributed significantly to revenue growth in the Index and ESG product category. We believe that there is potential for

continued growth and expansion in this market in the future and we will continue to increase licensing of our indices for index-linked investment products to capitalize on their growth in number and variety.

#### Assets in ETFs Linked to MSCI Indices

<u>MSCI Equity Index</u>	<u>As of November 30,</u>		
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
	(in billions)		
Emerging Markets .....	\$102.7	\$ 63.3	\$ 22.6
EAFE .....	39.4	39.6	29.6
US Broad Market .....	15.6	12.9	8.2
Brazil .....	12.8	12.9	3.9
Europe .....	8.0	7.9	4.0
Japan .....	7.8	7.4	8.0
Subtotal .....	186.3	144.0	76.3
Other Indices .....	124.7	90.2	42.7
Total .....	<u>\$311.0</u>	<u>\$234.2</u>	<u>\$119.0</u>

Source: Bloomberg & MSCI.

#### Number of Primary Exchange Listings of ETFs Linked to MSCI Equity Indices

<u>Region</u>	<u>As of November 30,</u>		
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Americas .....	130	93	76
EMEA .....	253	165	85
Asia .....	20	10	6
Total .....	<u>403</u>	<u>268</u>	<u>167</u>

- *Expand licensing of other index based financial products.* We believe that additional opportunities exist to expand the licensing of our index products as the basis of derivatives.
- *Build a dedicated sales team and provide services tied to regulatory changes.* We believe that increased regulation in Europe such as the U.K.'s Stewardship Code will increase the market size and opportunity for ISS as the market leader. In the U.S. we believe regulation tied to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act") will increase requirements for financial services firms to disclose and report proxy voting and create new sales opportunities as the market for proxy services grows. Additionally, we are building a dedicated sales team for the ISS business that will allow us to better capture these opportunities and compete for market share.
- *Expand the use of ESG in the traditional investment process.* We plan to leverage MSCI's non-ESG client relationships to sell MSCI ESG Research to investment analysts and portfolio managers who may not have previously used it. We believe that portfolio managers and analysts will increasingly utilize MSCI ESG Research to provide additional insight into investment risks and opportunities. Furthermore, in October 2010, MSCI became a signatory to the UN Principles for Responsible Investment ("PRI"), which is a global initiative supporting the integration of ESG factors into institutional investing practices. By joining many of our clients as signatories to the PRI, we are demonstrating our commitment to provide critical investment tools to the growing number of asset owners and investment managers that are integrating ESG factors into their investment decisions.



- *Managed services offering in Risk and Portfolio Management products.* We plan to expand our fully-outsourced or managed service offering in which our staff oversee the production of risk and performance reports on behalf of our clients.
- *Expand into client types in which we are underrepresented.* We plan to expand into client types in which we do not currently have a leading presence. In particular, we intend to focus on increasing the number of pension funds, sovereign wealth funds, hedge fund managers, fund-of-fund managers, banks, brokers and endowments using our products. For example, many pension funds are familiar with us and our Global Equity Indices because the performance of their equity asset managers is measured in relation to our indices. We believe that our equity and multi-asset class portfolio analytics products would be useful to pension funds managing their investment risk. With the acquisition of RiskMetrics, we have expanded our presence with sell-side institutions, banks and hedge funds.
- *Expand global presence.* We have a strong presence in many developed markets. While we have established a presence in selected emerging market countries, there is potential for further penetration and growth in these markets. We intend to leverage our strong brands, reputation, products and existing presence to continue to expand in these markets and gain more clients. We believe that the international market for governance products and service is growing, and that we will be able to capitalize on increased demand by leveraging our globally-recognized ISS brand, international presence and sales force.
- *Product Growth.* We plan to develop new product offerings and continue to enhance our existing products through internal product development.
  - *Create innovative new product offerings and enhancements.* In order to maintain and enhance our leadership position, we plan to introduce innovative new products and enhancements to existing products. We maintain an active dialogue with our clients in order to understand their needs and anticipate market developments. In fiscal year 2011, we anticipate significant further innovation with the integration of HedgePlatform and Measurisk, new developments in equity factor models, multi-asset class performance attribution, tail risk modeling and instrument valuation, and major changes to the Barra Integrated Model which will both extend global coverage, including significant upgrades to our fixed-income models and provide numerous modeling advancements.
  - *Expand our capacity to design and produce new products.* We intend to increase our investments in new model research, data production systems and software application design to enable us to design and produce new products more quickly and cost-effectively. Increasing our ability to process additional models and data, and design and code software applications more effectively, will allow us to respond faster to client needs and bring new products and product enhancements to the market more quickly.
  - *Expand our presence across all asset classes.* We will continue to extend the market and instrument coverage offered in our multi-asset class risk products. Our investments include expanded data sets and models for emerging and frontier markets, private asset classes, including private real estate and private equity, and pricing models for instruments with complex payoff structures.
  - *Expand our collection of proprietary governance data to increase product subscriptions and expand the reporting functionality of ProxyExchange to take advantage of regulatory changes.* We plan to increase our data collection capabilities in the area of executive compensation allowing us to target new sales opportunities. We will also expand the reporting functionality of ProxyExchange to offer clients more custom reporting opportunities and deliver compliance reporting services associated with the changing regulatory landscape.
- *Growth through acquisitions.* We intend to continue to actively seek to acquire products, technologies and companies that will enhance, complement or expand our product offerings and

client base, as well as increase our ability to provide investment decision support tools to equity, fixed income and multi-asset class investment institutions. In fiscal year 2010, we acquired RiskMetrics and Measurisk.

## Competitive Advantages

We believe our competitive advantages include the following:

- *Strong brand recognition.* Our Global Equity Indices and ESG products and services are marketed under the MSCI brand, our portfolio risk and performance analytics covering global equity and fixed income are marketed under the Barra brand, our market and credit risk analytics are marketed under the RiskMetrics and Barra brands, our energy and commodity asset valuation analytics are marketed under the FEA brand and our corporate governance products and services are marketed under the ISS brand. These brands are well-established and recognized throughout the investment community worldwide. Our brand strength reflects the longstanding quality and widespread use of our products. We believe our products are well-positioned to be the tools of choice for investment institutions increasingly looking to third-party products and services for help with benchmarking, index-linked product creation and portfolio risk management.
- *Strong client relationships and deep understanding of their needs.* Our consultative approach to product development, dedication to client support and range of products have helped us build strong relationships with investment institutions around the world. We believe the skills, knowledge and experience of our research, software engineering, data management and production and product management teams enable us to develop and enhance our models, methodologies, data and software applications in accordance with client demands and needs. We consult with our clients and other market participants during the product development and construction process to take into account their actual investment process requirements.
- *Client reliance on our products.* Many of our clients have come to rely on our products in their investment management processes, integrating our products into their performance measurement and risk management processes, where they become an integral part of their daily portfolio management functions. In certain cases, our clients are requested by their customers to report using our tools or data. Consequently, we believe that certain of our clients may experience business disruption and additional costs if they chose to cease using or replace our products. As a result of the recent global financial crisis, many of our clients became increasingly subject to budgeting constraints in fiscal years 2009 and 2010. We believe that our levels of new sales and improved Aggregate and Core Retention Rates for fiscal year 2010, despite these budgeting constraints, evidences the extent to which our clients rely on our products.
- *Sophisticated models with practical application.* We have invested significant time and resources for more than three decades in developing highly sophisticated and practical index methodologies and risk models that combine financial theory and investment practice. We enhance our existing models to reflect the evolution of markets and to incorporate methodological advances in risk forecasting. New models and major enhancements to existing models are reviewed by our model review committee.
- *Open architecture and transparency.* We have an open architecture philosophy. Clients can access our data through our software applications, third-party applications or their own applications. We also recognize that the marketplace is complex and that a competitor in one context may be a supplier or distributor in another context. For example, Standard & Poor's competes with us in index products, supplies index data that we distribute in our portfolio analytics software products and jointly developed and maintains GICS and GICS Direct with us. In order to provide transparency, we document and disclose many details of our models and methodologies to our clients so that they can better understand and utilize the tools we offer. We strongly believe this open architecture approach benefits us and our clients.

- *Scalable application platforms.* We continue to make significant investments in our data centers and software services to provide highly scalable solutions for the processing of large volumes of assets/portfolios. In doing so, we are able to offer clients computing grid capacity that they would otherwise not be able to economically access through internal development.
- *Global products and operations.* Our products cover most major investment markets throughout the world. For example, as of November 30, 2010, our MSCI Global Equity Indices included 76 countries, including, among others, those in our developed, emerging and frontier market categories; and we produced equity risk data for 45 single country models, models covering 29 European countries and 14 Asia Pacific countries, and an integrated multi-asset class risk model that covered 59 equity markets and 48 fixed income markets. As of November 30, 2010, our clients were located in 78 countries and many of them have a presence in multiple locations around the world. As of November 30, 2010, our employees were located in 19 countries in order to maintain close contact with our clients and the international markets we follow. We believe our global presence and focus allow us to serve our clients well and capitalize on a great number of business opportunities in many countries and regions of the world.
- *Highly skilled employees.* Our workforce is highly skilled, technical and, in some instances, specialized. In particular, our research and software application development departments include experts in advanced mathematics, statistics, finance, portfolio investment and software engineering, who combine strong academic credentials with market experience. As of November 30, 2010, over 20 of our employees held doctorate degrees. Over 130 employees in our diverse global client coverage group held MBAs or other Masters degrees. Our employees' experience and knowledge gives us access to, and allows us to add value at, the highest levels of our clients' organizations.
- *Extensive historical databases.* We have accumulated comprehensive databases of historical global market data, proprietary equity index and risk data and governance data. We believe our substantial and valuable databases of proprietary index and risk data, including over 40 years of certain index data history, over 30 years of certain risk data history and over 15 years of certain historical governance data, would be difficult and costly for another party to replicate. The information is not available from any single source and would require intensive data checking and quality assurance testing that we have performed over our many years of accumulating this data. Historical data is a critical component of our clients' investment processes, allowing them to research and back-test investment strategies and analyze portfolios over many investment and business cycles and under a variety of historical situations and market environments.

## **Clients**

For the year ended November 30, 2010, we served approximately 5,800 clients across 78 countries worldwide with 53.3% of revenue from our client base in the Americas, 32.4% in EMEA, 14.3% in Asia and Australia. Our clients include asset owners such as pension funds, endowments, foundations, central banks, family offices and insurance companies; institutional and retail asset managers, such as managers of pension assets, mutual funds, ETFs, hedge funds and private wealth; and financial intermediaries such as banks, broker-dealers, exchanges, custodians and investment consultants. To calculate the number of clients, we may count certain affiliates and business units within a single organization as separate clients. For example, the asset management and broker-dealer units of a diversified financial services firm may be treated as separate clients, even though the financial services firm is the only party to the applicable subscriptions or licenses. Our client count includes clients from which revenue has been generated in the past 12 months for a product or service of a non-recurring nature and which currently have no recurring subscription with us. While our product subscription Retention Rates (defined below) were not consistent with pre-financial crisis peaks, they have improved from the lower levels experienced during the financial crisis. Our Aggregate Retention Rates were 87.2% and 83.7% for the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Our Core Retention Rates were 88.1% and 84.3% for the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. For a description of the calculation of our Aggregate

and Core Retention Rates, see “Item 7.—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Key Financial Metrics and Drivers—Retention Rate.”

Revenues from our ten largest clients contributed a total of 27.4%, 27.3% and 28.6% of our total revenues for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, our largest client organization by revenue, BlackRock and its affiliates (“BlackRock”), accounted for 9.9% of our operating revenues. For the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, approximately 82.9% and 87.5% of our revenues from BlackRock were attributable to fees based on the assets of ETFs linked to MSCI equity indices. On December 1, 2009, BlackRock, Inc. and Barclays PLC announced the completion of the merger between BlackRock, Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, the Barclays PLC investment unit that includes the iShares exchange traded funds business. For purposes of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, references to revenues for the fiscal year 2009 attributable to BlackRock include revenues generated from Barclays Plc and the Barclays Global Investors iShares exchange traded funds business.

## **Marketing**

We market our products to investment institutions and service providers worldwide. See “—Clients” above. Our research and product management teams seek to understand our clients’ investment process and their needs and design tools that help clients address them. Because of the sophisticated nature of our products, our main means of marketing is through face-to-face meetings and 24-hour client support, as described in “—Sales and Client Support” below. These marketing and support efforts are supplemented by our website, our email newsletters, our client seminars, our participation in industry conferences, our ongoing product consultations and research papers, and our public relations efforts.

Members of our research team and other employees regularly speak at industry conferences, as well as at our own seminars. We hosted over 270 seminars, webinars, conferences and workshops in various locations across the globe in fiscal 2010. These seminars, webinars, conferences and workshops bring our staff and our clients together, expose those clients to our latest research and product enhancements and give our staff an opportunity to gain insight into our clients’ needs. Our marketing communications professionals also arrange interviews for our sales people in prominent industry journals and issue press releases on product developments and releases. We also communicate directly with both clients and prospective clients through our email newsletters which deliver research, company news and product specific news to currently over 10,000 recipients who have opted to receive them. Our strategic marketing department collaborates with our product specialists to analyze our clients’ use of our products and to analyze the competitive landscape for our products.

## **Sales and Client Support**

As of November 30, 2010, our client coverage offices included approximately 270 sales people and 200 client support people worldwide. Of these, over 90 were located in our New York headquarters and over 75 were located in our London office. In the last few years we have expanded our sales effort in two ways. We have opened client coverage offices in Budapest, Dubai, Mumbai, Shanghai, Monterrey, Mexico and Boston, Chicago and Stamford. We have also created more teams dedicated solely to the needs of certain client types such as hedge funds, asset owners and broker dealers. In total, our sales and client support staff was based in 32 offices around the world enabling us to provide valuable face-to-face client service.

Our sales people service established clients and develop new ones. Our client support team provides 24-hour support five days a week to our clients as needed. We believe that the size, quality, knowledge and experience of our sales and client support staff, as well as their proximity to clients, differentiate us from our competitors. Almost all of our sales and client support staff now operate in teams based on both client and product specialization. Our goal is to do this in all markets where we have sufficient scale of business to permit it. Because of the sophisticated nature of our products and their uses, our sales and client support staff have strong

academic and financial backgrounds. Our sales people are compensated under a salary and bonus system and do not receive commissions, except that sales employees of RiskMetrics, including those in the Governance business, prior to the acquisition who were compensated on a salary and commission basis continued to be so compensated for the year ended November 30, 2010.

The sales cycle for new clients varies based on the product. Because of the sophisticated nature of our products, most new sales require several face-to-face meetings with the prospective client. Once the sales group has obtained a new client, the client is introduced to our client support team. For Barra and RiskMetrics-branded products, sales and client support personnel are available to provide intensive on-site training in the use of the models, data and software application underlying each product. They also provide continuing support, which may include on-site visits, telephone support and routine client support needed in connection with the use of the product, all of which are included in the recurring subscription fee.

### **Product Development and Production**

We take a coordinated team approach to product development and production. Our product management, research, data operations and technology and software engineering departments are at the center of this process. Despite the challenging market environment, we remained committed to our product development and production efforts and, in some cases, increased these efforts.

Utilizing a deep understanding of the investment process worldwide, our research department develops, reviews and enhances our various methodologies and models. Our global data operations and technology team designs and manages our processes and systems for market data procurement, proprietary data production and quality control. Our software engineering team builds our sophisticated software applications. As part of our product development process, we also commonly undertake extensive consultations with our clients and other market participants to understand their specific needs and investment process requirements. Our product management team facilitates this collaborative product development and production approach.

- *Research.* Our models are developed by a cross-functional research team of mathematicians, statisticians, physicists, financial engineers and investment industry experts. As of November 30, 2010, our performance and risk research department consisted of over 130 employees, including more than 40 who held Ph.Ds. Our performance and risk research department combines extensive academic credentials with broad financial and investment industry experience. We monitor investment trends and their drivers globally, as well as analyze product-specific needs in areas such as instrument valuation, risk modeling, portfolio construction and value-at-risk simulation. An important way we monitor global investment trends and their implications for our business is through the forum provided by our Editorial Advisory Board (“EAB”). Our EAB, which was established in 1999, meets twice a year and is comprised of senior investment professionals from around the world and senior members of our performance and risk research team. In 2010, our performance and risk researchers participated in over 25 industry events and conferences, and their papers have been published in leading academic and industry journals. We host an annual performance and risk client conference, which took place in 13 cities around the world in fiscal 2010, where our researchers discuss their current work, research papers and projects. Our researchers also participate in such discussions at a number of seminars, workshops and webinars we host throughout the year. Our researchers work on both developing new models and methodologies and enhancing existing ones. In our equity analytics business, we introduced the ASE1 model in May 2010. Our ASE1 model is designed to provide portfolio risk forecasts and better explanatory power of the sources of portfolio return. We currently have performance and risk research offices in China, India, Hungary, Mexico, Switzerland, the U.K. and the U.S. In order to further enhance our risk management analytics product set, we are currently working on new and enhanced product offerings which include, a multi-asset class performance and attribution system, private asset class modeling and enhanced counter party risk models.

As of November 30, 2010, our governance research department consisted of over 150 employees. ISS' policy board works to ensure ISS' voting policies are developed and applied within a framework of corporate governance best practices. Each year, through an annual policy survey of our institutional clients and other forums, institutional investors are invited to share their ideas on corporate governance issues including board structure, executive compensation, mergers and acquisitions and corporate accountability to ensure that our standard voting policies are aligned with the views of our institutional clients. We currently have governance research offices in Australia, Belgium, Canada, Germany, Japan, France, the Philippines, Singapore, the U.K. and the U.S.

- *Data Operations and Technology.* As of November 30, 2010, our data operations and technology team consisted of more than 400 people in eleven countries, and involved a combination of information technology and operations specialists. We licensed a large volume and variety of market data for every major market in the world, including fundamental and return data, from more than 190 third party sources in 2010. We apply our models and methodologies to this market data to produce our proprietary index and risk data. Our data operations and technology team oversees this complex process. Our experienced information technology staff builds internal systems and proprietary software and databases that house all of the data we license or produce in order for our data operations and technology teams to perform data quality checks and run our data production systems. This data factory produces our proprietary index data such as end of day and real time equity indices, and our proprietary risk data such as daily and monthly equity risk forecasts. Our data operations and technology team also performs certain data collection and analysis functions in support of our ESG and Governance businesses. We have data operations and technology offices in the U.S., Canada, Mexico, Europe and Asia.
- *Software Engineering.* Certain of our proprietary risk data are made available to clients through our proprietary software applications, such as Barra Aegis, Barra Cosmos, BarraOne, RiskManager, HedgePlatform and WealthBench. As of November 30, 2010, our software engineering team consisted of over 172 individuals, including 5 who held Ph.Ds, with significant experience in both the finance and software industries. Our staff has an extensive skill set, including expertise in both the Java-based technologies used in our web-based, on-demand software application tool for multi-asset class risk analysis and reporting and the Microsoft-based technologies used in our desktop equity and fixed income analytics software products. We also have extensive experience with database technologies, computational programming techniques, scalability and performance analysis and tuning and quality assurance. We use a customized software development methodology that leverages best practices from the software industry, including agile programming, test-driven development, parallel tracking, iterative cycles, prototyping and beta releases. We build our software applications by compiling multiple components, which enables us to reuse designs and codes in multiple products. Our software development projects involve extensive collaboration with our product management team and directly with clients. We have software engineering offices in the U.S., Europe and Asia.

## **Our Competition**

Many industry participants compete directly with us by offering one or more similar products. Our principal competitors on a global basis for our MSCI Global Equity Index products are FTSE International, Ltd (a joint venture between The Financial Times, and The London Stock Exchange), Russell Investment Group (a unit of Northwestern Mutual Life Insurance Group) and Standard & Poor's (a division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.).

Additionally, we compete with equity index providers whose primary strength is in a local market or region. These include CME Group Index Services, LLC (a joint venture company owned 90% by CME Group Inc. and 10% by Dow Jones & Company), Russell Investment Group and Standard & Poor's in the U.S.; STOXX Ltd. and the CAC index published by NYSE Euronext in Europe; and Nikkei Inc., Russell Investment Group, Nomura Securities, Ltd. and Tokyo Stock Exchange, Inc. in Japan. There are also many smaller companies that create custom indices primarily for use as the basis of ETFs.

The principal competitors for our portfolio analytics products are Applied Portfolio Technologies (a unit of Sunguard), Axioma, Inc., Capital IQ's ClariFI, a Standard & Poor's business, FactSet, Northfield Information Services, Inc., and Wilshire Analytics. In addition, our risk management analytics products compete with firms such as BlackRock Inc.'s BlackRock Solutions unit, DST Systems Inc., FactSet, Fimalac S.A.'s Algorithmics unit, Moody Corporation's KMV unit, and SunGard Data Systems Inc.

Additionally, many of the larger broker-dealers have developed proprietary risk management analytics tools for their clients. Similarly, many investment institutions, particularly the larger global organizations, have developed their own internal risk management analytics tools. ISS competes with firms such as Broadridge Financial Solutions (which provides proxy voting services) and Glass, Lewis & Co. (which provides research, voting recommendation and voting execution services). ISS also competes with local niche proxy voting and research providers in certain international markets.

For our other products where our revenues are less significant, we also have a variety of other competitors.

### **Employees**

As of November 30, 2010, the number of employees increased 1,199 to 2,077 from 878 on November 30, 2009. Approximately 87.2% of the increase was attributable to employees who joined the Company as part of the RiskMetrics and Measurisk acquisitions. As of November 30, 2010, approximately 30.0% of our employees were located in emerging market centers.

None of our employees are represented by a union. The employees in our Monterrey, Mexico office are protected by a standard common collective bargaining agreement that we have entered into with an independent organization. This agreement was renewed in January 2011. We are current on all of our employee-related obligations under this agreement and have never experienced a walkout or strike.

### **Government Regulation**

ISS is a registered investment advisor and must comply with the requirements of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and related SEC regulations. Such requirements relate to, among other things, disclosure obligations, recordkeeping and reporting requirements, marketing restrictions and general anti-fraud prohibitions. A subsidiary of ISS in Australia is also registered as an investment advisor with the Australian Financial Services Authority and must comply with its applicable requirements.

### **Available Information**

Our corporate headquarters are located at One Chase Manhattan Plaza, New York, New York 10005, and our telephone number is (212) 804-3900. We maintain an Investor Relations website on the Internet at [www.msci.com](http://www.msci.com). We make available free of charge, on or through this website, our annual, quarterly and current reports and any amendments to those reports as soon as reasonably practicable following the time they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC. To access these, click on the "SEC Filings" link found on our Investor Relations homepage.

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, proxy statements and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information about the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an internet website that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information that we file electronically with the SEC at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

## **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

*You should carefully consider the following risks and all of the other information set forth in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. If any of the following risks actually occurs, our business, financial condition or results of operations would likely suffer. You should read the section titled “Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements” beginning on page 1 for a discussion of what types of statements are forward-looking statements, as well as the significance of such statements in the context of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.*

### **Risks Related to Our Business**

***If we lose key outside suppliers of data and products or if the data or products of these suppliers have errors or are delayed, we may not be able to provide our clients with the information and products they desire.***

Our ability to produce our products and develop new products is dependent upon the products of other suppliers, including certain data, software and service suppliers. Our index and analytics products are dependent upon (and of little value without) updates from our data suppliers and most of our software products are dependent upon (and of little value without) continuing access to historical and current data. As of November 30, 2010, throughout our businesses we utilized in a variety of ways certain data provided to us by over 190 data sources, including large volumes of data from certain stock exchanges around the world. If the data from our suppliers has errors, is delayed, has design defects, is unavailable on acceptable terms or is not available at all, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

Some of our agreements with data suppliers allow them to cancel on short notice and we have not completed formal agreements with all of our data suppliers, such as certain stock exchanges. Many of these data suppliers compete with one another and, in some cases, with us. For example, ISS relies on a data feed agreement with Broadridge Financial Solutions which allows for a large number of proxy ballots to be received, and proxy votes to be processed, electronically, minimizing the manual aspects of the proxy voting process and limiting the risk of error inherent in manual processes. If the data feed agreement with Broadridge was terminated, we would have to incur significant expenses in order to input our clients’ voting instructions directly into Broadridge’s proprietary electronic voting systems and our business and results of operations would be materially and adversely affected. Since ISS also competes with Broadridge in some markets with respect to providing certain aspects of proxy voting services, Broadridge may have an incentive to not renew ISS’ data feed agreement when its initial term expires in 2011 or to offer renewal terms which we may deem unreasonable. From time to time we receive notices from data suppliers, including stock exchanges, threatening to terminate the provision of their data to us, and some data suppliers, including at least one stock exchange, have terminated the provision of their data to us. Termination of one or more of our significant data agreements or exclusion from, or restricted use of, or litigation in connection with, a data provider’s information could decrease the available information for us to use (and offer our clients) and may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Although data suppliers and stock exchanges typically benefit from providing broad access to their data, some of our competitors could enter into exclusive contracts with our data suppliers, including with certain stock exchanges. If our competitors enter into such exclusive contracts, we may be precluded from receiving certain data from these suppliers or restricted in our use of such data, which would give our competitors a competitive advantage. Such exclusive contracts could hinder our ability to provide our clients with the data they prefer, which could lead to a decrease in our client base and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Some data suppliers have sought and others may seek to increase licensing fees for providing their content to us. If we are unable to renegotiate acceptable licensing arrangements with these data suppliers or find alternative sources of equivalent content, we may be required to reduce our profit margins or experience a reduction in our market share.



***Any failure to ensure and protect the confidentiality of client data could adversely affect our reputation and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

Many of our products provide for the exchange of sensitive information with our clients through a variety of media, including the Internet, software applications and dedicated transmission lines. We rely on a complex system of internal processes and software controls to protect the confidentiality of client data, such as client portfolio data that may be provided to us or hosted on our systems. If we fail to maintain the adequacy of our internal controls, including any failure to implement required new or improved controls, or if we experience difficulties in the implementation of our internal controls, unauthorized disclosure or misappropriation of client data could occur. Such unauthorized disclosure or misappropriation could damage our reputation and/or result in claims against us by our clients and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***We have implemented information barrier procedures to protect the confidentiality of the material, non-public information regarding changes to the composition of our indices. If our information barrier procedures fail, our reputation could be damaged and our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.***

We change the composition of our indices from time to time. We believe that, in some cases, the changes we make to our indices can affect the prices of constituent securities as well as products based on our indices. Our index clients rely on us to keep confidential material non-public information about changes to the future composition of an index and to protect against the misuse of that information until the change to the composition of the index is disclosed to clients. We have implemented information barrier procedures to limit access to this information and to prevent the unauthorized disclosure and misuse of information regarding material non-public changes to the composition of our indices. If our information barrier procedures fail and we inadvertently disclose, or an individual deliberately misuses, material non-public information about a change to one of our indices, our reputation may suffer. Clients' loss of trust and confidence in our information barrier policies and procedures could lead to a negative reputation throughout the investment community, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, certain exchanges permit our clients to list exchange traded funds or other financial products based on our indices only if we provide a representation to the exchange that we have reasonable information barrier procedures in place to address the unauthorized disclosure and misuse of material, non-public information about changes to the composition of our indices. If an exchange determines that our information barrier procedures are not sufficient, the exchange might refuse to list or might delist investment products based on our indices, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***Any perceived conflicts of interest resulting from providing products and services to institutional investors in addition to proxy voting recommendations, or providing products and services to corporations which are the subject of our proxy recommendations or other products and services could harm our reputation and business.***

Institutional clients of our Governance business rely on ISS to provide them with informed proxy vote recommendations, benchmark proxy voting guidelines and unbiased analyses of companies' environmental, social and governance attributes. The institutional clients of both our Performance and Risk and Governance businesses, particularly hedge funds and more active institutional investors, may have material economic and other interests in the corporations on which ISS provides proxy analyses and ratings or which are the subject of our financial research and analysis products and services. In some cases these institutional clients pay us a significant amount of money for our Performance and Risk products and services and, accordingly, there may be a perception that we might advocate a particular position or provide research that supports a particular conclusion with respect to a corporation in order to satisfy the unique economic or other interests of a particular institutional client. As a result, institutional clients, competitors and other market participants could raise questions about our ability to provide unbiased services, which could harm our reputation.

Through our ISS Corporate Services subsidiary, we provide products and services to corporate clients who use these services to learn about and improve their corporate governance practices. Accordingly, there is a potential conflict of interest between the services we provide to institutional clients and the services, including our Compensation Advisory Services, provided to clients of the ISS Corporate Services subsidiary. For example, when we provide corporate governance services to a corporate client and at the same time provide proxy vote recommendations to institutional clients regarding that corporation's proxy items, there may be a perception that the ISS team providing research to our institutional clients may treat that corporation more favorably due to its use of our services. We have implemented an information barrier and other procedures designed to prevent any potential conflict of interest from impacting the ability of our research team to provide unbiased analyses.

The conflict management safeguards that we have implemented may not be adequate to manage these apparent conflicts of interest, and clients or competitors may question the integrity of our services. In the event that we fail to adequately manage perceived conflicts of interest, we could incur reputational damage, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

***Legal protections for our intellectual property rights and other rights may not be sufficient or available to protect our competitive advantages. Third parties may infringe on our intellectual property rights, and pending third-party litigation may adversely affect our ability to protect our intellectual property rights.***

We consider many aspects of our products and processes to be proprietary. We rely primarily on a combination of trade secret, patent, copyright and trademark rights, as well as contractual protections and technical measures, to protect our products and processes. Despite our efforts, third parties may still try to challenge, invalidate or circumvent our rights and protections. There is no guarantee that any trade secret, patent, copyright or trademark rights that we may obtain will protect our competitive advantages, nor is there any assurance that our competitors will not infringe upon our rights. Even if we attempt to protect our intellectual property rights through litigation, it may require considerable cost, time and resources to do so, and there is no guarantee that we would be successful. Furthermore, our competitors may also independently develop and patent or otherwise protect products and processes that are the same or similar to ours. In addition, the laws of certain foreign countries in which we operate do not protect our proprietary rights to the same extent as do the laws of the U.S. Also, some elements of our products and processes may not be subject to intellectual property protection.

- Trademarks and Service Marks—We have registered “MSCI”, “Barra” and “RiskMetrics” as trademarks or service marks in the U.S. and in certain foreign countries. We have also registered other marks for certain products and services in the U.S. and in certain foreign countries. When we enter a new geographic market or introduce a new product brand, there can be no assurance that our existing trademark or service mark of choice will be available. Furthermore, the fact that we have registered trademarks is not an assurance that other companies may not use the same or similar names.
- Patents—We currently hold 19 U.S. and foreign patents. We currently have 8 U.S. and foreign patent applications pending. Patent applications can be extremely costly to process and defend. There can be no assurance that we will be issued any patents that we apply for or that any of the rights granted under any patent that we obtain will be sufficient to protect our competitive advantages.
- Copyrights—We believe our proprietary software and proprietary data are copyright protected. If a court were to determine that any of our proprietary software or proprietary data, such as our index level data, is not copyright protected, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.
- Confidentiality and Trade Secrets—Our license agreements limit our clients' right to copy or disclose our proprietary software and data. It is possible, however, that a client might still make unauthorized copies of our proprietary software or data, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. For example, if a client who licensed a large volume of our proprietary historical data made that information publicly available, we might lose potential clients

who could freely obtain a copy of the data. We also seek to protect our proprietary software and data through trade secret protection and through non-disclosure agreements with our employees. However, if an employee breaches his or her non-disclosure agreement and reveals a trade secret, we could lose the trade secret protection, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. Furthermore, it may be very difficult to ascertain if a former employee is inappropriately using or disclosing our proprietary information. Additionally, the enforceability of our license and non-disclosure agreements and the remedies available to us in the event of a breach vary due to the many different jurisdictions in which our clients and employees are located.

- **License Agreements**—Our products are generally made available to end users on a periodic subscription basis under a nontransferable license agreement signed by the client. We also permit access to some data, such as certain index information, through the Internet under on-line licenses that are affirmatively acknowledged by the licensee or under terms of use. The enforceability of on-line licenses and terms of use has not been conclusively determined by the courts. There can be no assurance that third parties will abide by the terms of our licenses or that all of our license agreements will be enforceable.
- **Third-Party Litigation**—There is currently third-party litigation on appeal in the U.S. regarding whether issuers of index-linked investment products are required to obtain a license from the index owner or whether companies may issue and trade investment products based on a publicly-available index without the need for permission from (or payment to) the index owner. In July 2010, the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois found that the trading of index options on the Dow Jones Industrial Average (“DJIA”) and the S&P 500 index by the International Stock Exchange (“ISE”) without a license would misappropriate the index providers’ rights in their indexes. The ISE was permanently restrained and enjoined from listing or providing an exchange market for the trading of DJIA and/or S&P 500 index options and the Options Clearing Corporation was permanently restrained and enjoined from participating in the facilitation of an ISE index option based upon the DJIA and/or S&P 500 and from issuing, clearing or settling the exercise of such DJIA and/or S&P 500 index options. This decision is now under appeal. In another relevant case, in 2009, the German Federal Supreme Court concluded that the owner of a trademark who publishes an index generally available to all market participants cannot prohibit, on the basis of German trademark law, a third party from referring to the index as a reference value in option warrants issued by the third party if the trademark is used for informational and factual purposes and does not imply that a relationship exists with the trademark owner. If other courts in relevant jurisdictions determine that a license is not required to issue investment products linked to indices, this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. It might also lead to changes in current industry practices such that we would no longer make our index level data publicly available, such as via our website or news media.

***Third parties may claim we infringe upon their intellectual property rights.***

Third parties may claim we infringe upon their intellectual property rights. Businesses operating in the financial services sector, including our competitors and potential competitors, have in recent years increasingly pursued patent protection for their technologies and business methods. If any third parties were to obtain a patent on a relevant index methodology, risk model or software application, we could be sued for infringement. Furthermore, there is always a risk that third parties will sue us for infringement or misappropriation of other intellectual property rights, such as trademarks, copyrights or trade secrets.

From time to time, such complaints are filed by or we receive such notices from others alleging intellectual property infringement or potential infringement. The number of these claims may grow. We have made and expect to continue making expenditures related to the use of technology and intellectual property rights as part of our strategy to manage this risk.

Responding to intellectual property claims, regardless of merit, can consume valuable time, result in costly litigation or cause delays. We may be forced to settle such claims on unfavorable terms, and there can be no assurance that we would prevail in any litigation arising from such claims if such claims are not settled. We may be required to pay damages, required to stop selling or using the affected products or applications or required to enter into royalty and licensing agreements. There can be no assurance that any royalty or licensing agreements will be made, if at all, on terms that are commercially acceptable to us. We may also be called upon to defend partners, clients, suppliers or distributors against such third-party claims under indemnification clauses in our contracts. Therefore, the impact of claims of intellectual property infringement could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***Our use of open source code could impose unanticipated delays or costs in deploying our products, or impose conditions or restrictions on our ability to commercialize our products or keep them confidential.***

We rely on open source code to develop software and to incorporate it in our products, as well as to support our internal systems and infrastructure. We monitor our use of open source code to attempt to avoid subjecting our products to conditions we do not intend. The terms of many open source code licenses, however, are ambiguous and have not been interpreted by U.S. courts. Accordingly, there are risks that there may be a failure in our procedures for controlling the usage of open source code or that these licenses could be construed in a manner that could impose unanticipated conditions or restrictions on our ability to commercialize our products. In either event, we could be required to seek licenses from third parties in order to continue offering our products, to make generally available (in source code form) proprietary code that links to certain open source code modules, to re-engineer our products or systems or to discontinue the licensing of our products if re-engineering could not be accomplished on a timely basis. Any of these requirements could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***We are dependent on the use of third-party software and data, and any reduction in third-party product quality or any failure by us to comply with our licensing requirements could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

We rely on third-party software and data in connection with our product development and offerings. We depend on the ability of third-party software and data providers to deliver and support reliable products, enhance their current products, develop new products on a timely and cost-effective basis, and respond to emerging industry standards and other technological changes. The third-party software and data we use may become obsolete or incompatible with future versions of our products. We also monitor our use of third-party software and data to comply with applicable license requirements. Despite our efforts, there can be no assurance that such third parties may not challenge our use, resulting in increased software or data acquisition costs, loss of rights and/or costly legal actions. Our business could be materially adversely affected if we are unable to timely or effectively replace the functionality provided by software or data that becomes unavailable or fails to operate effectively for any reason. In addition, our operating costs could increase if license fees for third-party software or data increase or the efforts to incorporate enhancements to third-party or other software or data are substantial. Some of these third-party suppliers are also our competitors, increasing the risks noted above.

***If our products fail to perform properly due to undetected errors or similar problems, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operation.***

Products we develop or license may contain undetected errors or defects despite testing. Such errors can exist at any point in a product's life cycle, but are frequently found after introduction of new products or enhancements to existing products. We continually introduce new products and new versions of our products. Despite internal testing and testing by current and potential clients, our current and future products may contain serious defects or malfunctions. If we detect any errors before we release a product, we might have to delay the product release for an extended period of time while we address the problem. We might not discover errors that affect our new or current products or enhancements until after they are deployed, and we may need to provide

enhancements to correct such errors. Errors may occur in our products that could have a material adverse effect on our business and could result in harm to our reputation, lost sales, delays in commercial release, third-party claims, contractual disputes, negative publicity, delays in or loss of market acceptance of our products, license terminations or renegotiations, or unexpected expenses and diversion of resources to remedy errors.

Furthermore, our clients may use our products together with their own software, data or products from other companies. As a result, when problems occur, it might be difficult to identify the source of the problem. Even when our products do not cause these problems, the existence of these errors might cause us to incur significant costs, divert the attention of our technical personnel from our product development efforts, impact our reputation, cause significant client relations problems or result in legal claims against us. The realization of any of these events could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***To remain competitive and generate customer demand, we must successfully develop new products and effectively manage transitions.***

Due to the highly volatile and competitive nature of the industry in which we operate and the impact of technological change on our products, we must continually introduce new products and services, enhance existing products and services, and effectively generate customer demand for new and upgraded products and services. This requires accurate anticipation of clients' changing needs and emerging investment trends. We must make long-term investments and commit significant resources before knowing whether these investments will eventually result in products and services that satisfy our clients' needs and generate the revenues required to provide the desired results.

If, among other things, we fail to accurately anticipate and meet the needs of our clients through the successful development of new products and services, if our new products and services are not attractive to our clients, if our new products do not perform as well as anticipated or if the launch of new products and offering of new services is not timely, we could lose market share and clients to our competitors and that could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. Also see “—If our products fail to perform properly due to undetected errors or similar problems, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations” above.

Transitioning clients to enhanced products and services presents execution risks and challenges. If we are unable to effectively manage transitions to new or enhanced products and services, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

***Increased competition in our industry may cause price reductions or loss of market share, which may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

We face competition across all markets for our products. Our competitors range in size from large companies with substantial resources to small, single-product businesses that are highly specialized. Our larger competitors may have access to more resources and may be able to achieve greater economies of scale, and our competitors that are focused on a narrower product line may be more effective in devoting technical, marketing and financial resources to compete with us with respect to a particular product. In addition, barriers to entry may be low in many cases, including for single-purpose product companies. The Internet as a distribution channel has allowed free or relatively inexpensive access to information sources, which has reduced barriers to entry even further. Low barriers to entry could lead to the emergence of new competitors; for example, broker-dealers and data suppliers could begin developing their own proprietary risk analytics or equity indices. Financial and budgetary pressures affecting our clients, including those arising from the financial crisis, may lead certain clients to seek products at a lower cost than what we provide. These competitive pressures may also result in fewer clients, fewer subscriptions or investment product licenses, price reductions, and increased operating costs, such as for marketing, resulting in lower revenue, gross margins and operating income. See “Part I.—Item 1. Business—Our Competition” above.

***Our business is dependent on the financial viability of our clients. If our clients are negatively impacted by adverse conditions in the financial markets and are forced to shut-down or consolidate, our business, financial condition or results of operations may be materially adversely affected.***

Most of our clients are in the financial services industry. For example, asset managers accounted for 52.4% and 66.6% of our revenues as of November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The global financial crisis led to the closure or consolidation of a number of our clients, including asset manager, broker-dealer and hedge fund clients. Such events impacted our financial results, including our Run Rates and Aggregate and Core Retention Rates, in 2009 and 2010 and may continue to do so in the near term.

Furthermore, if such trends continue, we may not be able to generate future growth and demand for our products may decrease, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

As a result of the global financial crisis, the U.S. Congress undertook major financial reform which led to the enactment, on July 21, 2010, of the Dodd-Frank Act. The Dodd-Frank Act will have a significant impact on many aspects of the way in which the financial services industry conducts business and will impose substantial new regulation on, and regulatory oversight of, a wide variety of financial services institutions. The changes resulting from the Dodd-Frank Act will likely have a material impact on many of our clients and could negatively impact the business, operations and financial viability of many of our clients which, in turn, could have a negative impact on our business, and results of operations.

If our clients do not remain financially viable or if the negative conditions in the financial markets persist or worsen, we may be forced to increase our provisions for bad debts, which could adversely affect our profitability.

***Consolidation within our target markets may affect our business.***

Consolidation in the financial services industry could reduce our existing client base and the number of potential clients. For example, the recent global financial crisis led to the closure or merger of a number of our clients, including broker-dealer, asset manager and hedge fund clients. If consolidation continues, it may negatively impact our ability to generate future growth and may reduce demand for our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***Our business is dependent on our clients' continued investment in equity securities. If our clients significantly reduce their investments in equity securities, our business, financial condition or results of operations may be materially adversely affected.***

A significant portion of our revenues comes from our products that are focused on various aspects of managing or monitoring portfolios. To the extent our clients' significantly deemphasize equity securities in their investment strategies, the demand for equity products would likely decrease, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***Our revenues and earnings are affected by changes in the capital markets, particularly the equity capital markets.***

Clients that use our indices as the basis for certain index-linked investment products, such as exchange traded funds and mutual funds, commonly pay us a fee based on the investment product's assets. These asset-based fees make up a significant portion of our revenues. They were 16.0%, 16.2% and 16.7% of revenues for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. These asset-based fees accounted for 45.2%, 43.9% and 48.0% of the revenues from our ten largest clients in the fiscal years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Volatile capital markets, such as those witnessed in 2009 and the second half of 2008 as well as changing investment styles, may influence an investor's decision to invest in and maintain an investment in an index-linked investment product. For example, as of November 30, 2010, the month-end value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI equity indices was \$311.0 billion, which was 32.8% higher than the value of such assets as of November 30, 2009, and the value of such assets at November 30, 2009 was 96.8% higher than the value of such assets as of November 30, 2008.

***A portion of our business is dependent on our clients continuing to measure the performance of their equity investments against equity benchmarks. If our clients discontinue use of equity benchmarks to measure performance, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.***

Our equity index products serve as equity benchmarks against which our clients can measure the performance of their investments. If clients decide to measure performance on an absolute return basis instead of against an equity benchmark, the demand for our indices could decrease. Any such decrease in demand for our equity index products could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***Our clients that pay us a fee based on the assets of an investment product may seek to negotiate a lower asset-based fee percentage or may cease using our indices, which could limit the growth of or decrease our revenues from asset-based fees.***

A portion of our revenues are from asset-based fees and these revenues streams are concentrated in some of our largest clients. Our clients may seek to negotiate a lower asset-based fee percentage for a variety of reasons. As the assets of index-linked investment products managed by our clients change, they may request to pay us lower asset-based fee percentages. Additionally, as competition among our clients increases, they may have to lower the fees they charge to their clients, which could cause them to try to decrease our fees correspondingly or otherwise lead to a reduction of our fees in certain cases. For example, competition is intense and increasing among our clients that provide exchange traded funds. The fees they charge their clients are one of the competitive differentiators for these exchange traded fund managers. Additionally, clients that have licensed our indices to serve as the basis of index-linked investment products are generally not required to continue to use our indices and could elect to cease offering the product or could change the index to a non-MSCI index, in which case our asset-based fees could dramatically decrease, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***A limited number of clients account for a material portion of our revenue. Cancellation of subscriptions or investment product licenses by any of these clients could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

For the fiscal years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, revenues from our ten largest clients accounted for 27.4%, 27.3% and 28.6% of our total revenues, respectively. If we fail to obtain a significant number of new clients or if one of our largest clients cancels or reduces its subscriptions or investment product licenses and we are unsuccessful in replacing those subscriptions or licenses, our business, financial condition or results of operation could be materially adversely affected. For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2010, our largest client organization by revenue, BlackRock, Inc. and affiliates (“BlackRock”), accounted for 9.9% our total revenues. For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2010, approximately 82.9% of the revenue from BlackRock came from fees based on the assets in BlackRock’s exchange traded funds based on MSCI indices.

***Cancellation of subscriptions or investment product licenses or renegotiation of terms by a significant number of clients could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

Our primary commercial model is to license annual, recurring subscriptions to our products for use at a specified location and by a given number of users or for a certain volume of products or services during that annual period. For most of our products, our clients may cancel their subscriptions or investment product licenses at the end of the current term. A disproportionately high percentage of contract value in the Governance business comes up for renewal in December. While we believe the annual, recurring subscription model supports our marketing efforts by allowing clients to subscribe without the requirement of a long-term commitment, the cancellation of subscriptions or investment product licenses by a significant number of clients at any given time may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***Our clients may become more self-sufficient, which may reduce demand for our products and materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

Our clients may develop internally certain functionality contained in the products they currently license from us. For example, some of our clients who currently license our risk data to analyze their portfolio risk may develop their own tools to collect data and assess risk, making our products unnecessary for them. To the extent that our clients become more self-sufficient, demand for our products may be reduced, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***Increased accessibility to free or relatively inexpensive information sources may reduce demand for our products and materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

In recent years, more free or relatively inexpensive information has become available, particularly through the Internet, and this trend may continue. The availability of free or relatively inexpensive information may reduce demand for our products. Weak economic conditions also can result in clients seeking to utilize lower-cost information that is available from alternative sources. To the extent that our clients choose to use these sources for their information needs, our business, financial condition or results of operations may be materially adversely affected.

***Our growth and profitability may not continue at the same rate as we have experienced in the past, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

We have experienced significant growth since we began operations. There can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain the levels of growth and profitability that we have experienced in the past. Among other things, there can be no assurance that we will be as successful in our marketing efforts as we have been in the past, or that such efforts will result in growth or profit margins comparable to those we have experienced in the past. See “—We must continue to introduce new products and product enhancements to address our clients’ changing needs, market changes and technological developments” above, “—We are dependent on key personnel in our professional staff for their expertise” below, “Item 7.—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and “Item 1.—Business.” Any failure to continue to grow our business and maintain profitability could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***Our growth may place significant strain on our management and other resources.***

We must plan and manage our growth effectively to increase revenue and maintain profitability. Our growth, including in emerging market centers, has placed, and is expected to continue to place, significant demands on our personnel, management and other resources. We must continue to improve our operational, financial, management, legal and compliance processes and information systems to keep pace with the growth of our business. There can also be no assurance that, if we continue to grow internally or by way of acquisitions, management will be effective in attracting, training and retaining additional qualified personnel, including additional managers, expanding our physical facilities and information technology infrastructure, integrating acquired businesses or otherwise managing growth. Any failure to effectively manage growth or to effectively manage the business could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. See “—We must continue to introduce new products and product enhancements to address our clients’ changing needs, market changes and technological developments” above, “—We are dependent on key personnel in our professional staff for their expertise” below, “—Risks Related to the Acquisition of RiskMetrics Group, Inc.” below, “Item 7—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and “Item 1—Business.”



***There is considerable risk embedded in growth through acquisitions, which may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

A principal element of our growth strategy is growth through acquisitions. Any future acquisitions could present a number of risks, including:

- incorrect assumptions regarding the future results of acquired operations or assets or expected cost reductions or other synergies expected to be realized as a result of acquiring operations or assets;
- failure to integrate the operations or management of any acquired operations or assets successfully and on a timely and cost effective basis;
- failure to achieve assumed synergies;
- insufficient knowledge of the operations and markets of acquired businesses;
- increased debt, which may be incurred under terms less favorable than those associated with our current debt and may, among other things, reduce our free cash flow and increase our risk of default;
- dilution of your common stock;
- loss of key personnel;
- diversion of management's attention from existing operations or other priorities; and
- inability to secure, on terms we find acceptable, sufficient financing that may be required for any such acquisition or investment.

In the event that we experience a high level of acquisition related activity within a limited period of time the possibility of occurrence of these risks would likely increase for that period. In addition, if we are unsuccessful in completing acquisitions of other businesses, operations or assets or if such opportunities for expansion do not arise, our future growth, business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected. See “—Risks Related to the Acquisition of RiskMetrics Group, Inc.” below.

***Our revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities are subject to foreign currency exchange fluctuation risk.***

We are subject to foreign currency exchange fluctuation risk. Exchange rate movements can impact the U.S. dollar reported value of our revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities denominated in non-U.S. dollar currencies or where the currency of such items is different than the functional currency of the entity where these items were recorded.

A significant percentage of our revenues from our index linked investment products are based on fees earned on the value of assets invested in securities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. For all operations outside the United States where the Company has designated the local non-U.S. dollar currency as the functional currency, revenue and expenses are translated using average monthly exchange rates and assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars using month-end exchange rates. For these operations, currency translation adjustments arising from a change in the rate of exchange between the functional currency and the U.S. dollar are accumulated in a separate component of shareholders' equity. In addition, transaction gains and losses arising from a change in exchange rates for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the entity are reflected in other non-operating expense (income).

Revenues from index-linked investment products represented approximately 16.0% and 16.3% of operating revenues for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. While our fees for index-linked investment products are generally invoiced in U.S. dollars, the fees are based on the investment product's assets, a significant percentage of which are invested in securities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Accordingly, declines in such other currencies against the U.S. dollar will decrease the fees payable to us under such licenses. In addition, declines in such currencies against the U.S. dollar could impact the attractiveness of such investment products resulting in net fund outflows, which would further reduce the fees payable under such licenses.

We generally invoice our clients in U.S. dollars; however, we invoice a portion of our clients in Euros, British Pounds, Japanese Yen and a limited number of other non-U.S. dollar currencies. For the fiscal years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, approximately 12.8% and 12.3%, respectively, of our operating revenues were invoiced in currencies other than U.S. dollars. For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2010, 55.9% of our foreign currency revenues were in Euros, 28.2% were in Japanese Yen and 10.3% were in British Pounds. For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2009, 46.2% of our foreign currency revenues were in Euros, 39.4% were in Japanese Yen and 12.6% were in British Pounds.

We are exposed to additional foreign currency risk in certain of our operating costs. Approximately 34.2% and 35.0% of our operating expenses for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were denominated in foreign currencies, the significant majority of which were denominated in British Pounds, Swiss Francs, Hong Kong Dollars, Hungarian Forints, Euros, Indian Rupees and Japanese Yen. Expenses incurred in foreign currency may increase as we expand our business outside the U.S.

We have certain assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than local functional amounts and when these balances were remeasured into their local functional currency, a loss resulted from the devaluation of the value of the functional currency. As a result of these positions, we recognized foreign currency exchange losses of \$3.0 million for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2010. These losses on foreign currency exchange were primarily due to the weakening of the U.S. dollar in the last six months of the fiscal year. We do not currently hedge the foreign exchange risk of assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency.

To the extent that our international activities recorded in local currencies increase in the future, our exposure to fluctuations in currency exchange rates will correspondingly increase and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***Changes in government regulations could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

The financial services industry is subject to extensive regulation at the federal and state levels, as well as by foreign governments. It is very difficult to predict the future impact of the broad and expanding legislative and regulatory requirements affecting our business and our clients' businesses. If we fail to comply with any applicable laws, rules or regulations, we could be subject to fines or other penalties. Some changes to the laws, rules and regulations applicable to our clients could impact their demand for our products and services. There can be no assurance that changes in laws, rules or regulations will not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

- *Investment Advisers Act.* Except with respect to certain products provided by ISS and certain of its subsidiaries, we believe that our products do not constitute or provide investment advice as contemplated by the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 ("Advisers Act"). Future developments in our product line or changes to the current laws, rules or regulations could cause this status to change. It is possible that in addition to ISS, other entities in our corporate family may be required to become registered as an investment adviser under the Advisers Act or similar laws in states or foreign jurisdictions. The Advisers Act imposes fiduciary duties, recordkeeping and reporting requirements, disclosure requirements, limitations on agency and principal transactions between an adviser and advisory clients, as well as general anti-fraud prohibitions.

We may also be adversely affected as a result of new or revised legislation or regulations imposed by the SEC, other U.S. or foreign governmental regulatory authorities or self-regulatory organizations that supervise the financial markets around the world. In addition, we may be adversely affected by changes in the interpretation or enforcement of existing laws and rules by these governmental authorities and self-regulatory organizations. It is impossible to determine the extent of the impact of any new laws, regulations or initiatives that may be proposed, or whether any of the proposals will become law. Compliance with any new laws or regulations could make compliance more difficult and expensive and affect the manner in which we conduct business.

- *Data Privacy Legislation.* Changes in laws, rules or regulations, or consumer environments relating to consumer privacy or information collection and use may affect our ability to collect and use data. There could be a material adverse impact on our direct marketing, data sales and business due to the enactment of legislation or industry regulations, or simply a change in practices, arising from public concern over consumer privacy issues. Restrictions could be placed upon the collection, management, aggregation and use of information that is currently legally available, in which case our cost of collecting some kinds of data could materially increase. It is also possible that we could be prohibited from collecting or disseminating certain types of data, which could affect our ability to meet our clients' needs.
- *Proposed Regulation for Fiduciaries.* On October 21, 2010, the U.S. Department of Labor issued a proposed regulation that would expand the definition of a fiduciary to any entity that provides investment advice to employee benefit plans for a fee or other compensation for purposes of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"). The proposed regulation also provides that consultants that are investment advisers as defined in the Advisers Act could be considered fiduciaries. If, as a result of being registered as an investment advisor with the SEC, ISS is deemed to be a fiduciary under ERISA, it could be subject to the rules and regulations thereunder, including those related to conflicts of interest and this could have an impact on the manner in which ISS and its affiliates conduct business.
- *Proposed Proxy Plumbing Regulations.* On July 14, 2010, the SEC voted unanimously to issue for public comment a concept release focusing on a wide range of topics related to the U.S. proxy voting system. The release is organized around, and seeks comment on, three general topics: (1) the accuracy, transparency and efficiency of the proxy voting system; (2) communications with shareholders and shareholder participation in voting; and (3) the relationship between voting power and economic interest, including questions about proxy advisory firms, such as ISS, and concerns raised by corporate issuers and other observers about the role, power and manner in which proxy advisory firms operate. The SEC may, but is not required, to engage in rulemaking with respect to the various issues and questions raised in the concept release. At this point we are unable to determine whether the SEC will pursue rulemaking on these matters and, if so, the extent to which any rule might impact our businesses, whether the process by which we provide proxy research and voting services to clients, the manner in which ISS operates as a proxy advisory firm or otherwise. However, as with any regulatory change, we may have to change business practices and operational procedures and incur costs in response to possible modifications to the proxy system that could result from any rulemaking that stems from the concept release.

***We may become subject to liability based on the use of our products by our clients.***

Our products support the investment processes of our clients, which, in the aggregate, manage trillions of dollars of assets. Our client agreements have provisions designed to limit our exposure to potential liability claims brought by our clients or third parties based on the use of our products. However, these provisions have certain exceptions and could be invalidated by unfavorable judicial decisions or by federal, state, foreign or local laws. Use of our products as part of the investment process creates the risk that clients, or the parties whose assets are managed by our clients, may pursue claims against us for very significant dollar amounts. Any such claim, even if the outcome were to be ultimately favorable to us, would involve a significant commitment of our management, personnel, financial and other resources and could have a negative impact on our reputation. In addition, such claims and lawsuits could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***ISS' products and services support the proxy voting processes of clients. Consequently, we may be exposed to potential liability claims brought by ISS' clients or third parties as a result of the operational failure of our products and services.***

ISS' products and services support the proxy voting processes of clients. If ISS were to fail to provide the services provided for in its client contracts, we could be required to provide credits to its clients and in some cases we may be subject to contractual penalties. ISS' client agreements generally have provisions designed to limit our exposure to potential liability claims brought by its clients or other third parties based on the operational failure of its products and services. However, these provisions could be invalidated by unfavorable judicial decisions or by federal, state, foreign or local laws. Any such claim, even if the outcome were to be ultimately favorable to us, could involve a significant commitment of management, personnel, financial and other resources.

***Our indebtedness could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

In connection with our acquisition of RiskMetrics, on June 1, 2010, we entered into a senior secured credit agreement, which is comprised of (i) a 1,275.0 million six-year term loan facility and (ii) a \$100.0 million five-year revolving credit facility ("New Credit Facility"). See "Item 7.—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources." The New Credit Facility replaced our senior credit facility of \$70.9 million and the senior secured facilities of RiskMetrics of \$206.7 million outstanding as of May 31, 2010.

As of November 30, 2010, we had \$1,268.6 million of indebtedness under the New Credit Facility (\$56.0 million in current maturities and \$1,212.6 million in long term debt), \$226.6 million of cash and cash equivalents and \$73.9 million in short-term investments. During first quarter 2011, we will be required to make a payment under the excess cash flow provision of the New Credit Facility of approximately \$56.0 million.

The New Credit Facility is guaranteed on a senior secured basis by each of our direct and indirect wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries and secured by a valid and perfected first priority lien and security interest in substantially all of the shares of the capital stock of our present and future domestic subsidiaries and up to 65% of the shares of capital stock of our foreign subsidiaries, substantially all of our and our domestic subsidiaries' present and future property and assets and the proceeds thereof. In addition, the New Credit Facility contains restrictive covenants that limit our ability and our existing future subsidiaries' abilities to, among other things, incur liens; incur additional indebtedness; make or hold investments; make acquisitions, merge, dissolve, liquidate, consolidate with or into another person; sell, transfer or dispose of assets; pay dividends or other distributions in respect of our capital stock; change the nature of our business; enter into any transactions with affiliates other than on an arm's length basis; and prepay, redeem or repurchase debt.

The New Credit Facility also requires us and our subsidiaries to achieve specified financial and operating results and maintain compliance with the following financial ratios on a consolidated basis: (1) the maximum total leverage ratio (as defined in the New Credit Facility) measured quarterly on a rolling four-quarter basis shall not exceed (a) 4.0:1.00 through February 28, 2011, (b) 3.75:1.00 from March 1, 2011 through May 31, 2011, (c) 3.50:1.00 from June 1, 2011 through August 31, 2011, (d) 3.25:1.00 from September 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011 and (e) 2.75:1.00 thereafter; and (2) the minimum interest coverage ratio (as defined in the New Credit Facility) measured quarterly on a rolling four-quarter basis shall be at least (a) 4.50:1.00 through February 28, 2011 and (b) 5.00:1.00 thereafter. On December 10, 2010, our Board of Directors approved a change in our fiscal year end from November 30<sup>th</sup> to December 31<sup>st</sup>, commencing with the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2011. The measurement periods for compliance with the financial ratios will be adjusted accordingly.

In addition, our New Credit Facility contains the following affirmative covenants, among others: periodic delivery of financial statements, budgets and officer's certificates; payment of other obligations; compliance with laws and regulations; payment of taxes and other material obligations; maintenance of property and insurance; performance of material leases; right of the lenders to inspect property, books and records; notices of defaults and other material events; and maintenance of books and records.

In addition, we may need to incur additional indebtedness in the future in the ordinary course of business. Our level of indebtedness could increase our vulnerability to general economic consequences; require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow and proceeds of any additional equity issuances to payments of our indebtedness; make it difficult for us to optimally capitalize and manage the cash flow for our business; limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the markets in which we operate; place us at a competitive disadvantage to our competitors that have less debt; limit our ability to borrow money or sell stock to fund our working capital and capital expenditures; limit our ability to consummate acquisitions; and increase our interest expense. Because the New Credit Facility resulted in a substantial increase in our level of indebtedness and higher debt-to-equity ratio following the completion of the acquisition in comparison to periods prior to the acquisition, the potential for the occurrence of the consequences described in the preceding sentence could be increased compared to periods prior to the acquisition.

***We are dependent on key personnel in our professional staff for their expertise. If we fail to attract and retain the necessary qualified personnel, our business, financial condition or results of operations could be materially adversely affected.***

The development, maintenance and support of our products is dependent upon the knowledge, experience and ability of our highly skilled, educated and trained employees. Accordingly, the success of our business depends to a significant extent upon the continued service of our executive officers and other key management, research, sales and marketing, operations, information technology and other technical personnel. Although we do not believe that we are overly dependent upon any individual employee, the loss of a group of our key professional employees could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. We believe our future success will also depend in large part upon our ability to attract and retain highly skilled managerial, research, sales and marketing, information technology, software engineering and other technical personnel. Competition for such personnel worldwide is intense, and there can be no assurance that we will be successful in attracting or retaining such personnel. Additionally, in connection with our IPO, we issued founders grants to some of our employees and as these awards vest (the final tranche representing 25% of the total award will vest in November 2011) their effectiveness as a retention tool diminishes. If the equity incentive plans that we currently have in place do not adequately compensate our key employees or are not competitive, we may lose key personnel. If we fail to attract and retain the necessary qualified personnel our products may suffer, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***Our business relies heavily on electronic delivery systems and the Internet, and any failures or disruptions may materially adversely affect our ability to serve our clients.***

We depend heavily on the capacity, reliability and security of our electronic delivery systems and the Internet. Heavy use of our electronic delivery systems and other factors such as loss of service from third parties, operational failures, sabotage, break-ins and similar disruptions from unauthorized tampering or hacking, human error, national disasters, power loss or computer viruses could cause our systems to operate slowly or interrupt their availability for periods of time. Our ability to effectively use the Internet may be impaired due to infrastructure failures, service outages at third-party Internet providers or increased government regulation. If disruptions, failures or slowdowns of our electronic delivery systems or the Internet occur, our ability to distribute our products effectively and to serve our clients may be materially and adversely affected.

***Certain events could lead to interruptions in our operations, which may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.***

Our operations depend on our ability to protect our equipment and the information stored in our databases against fires, floods, earthquakes and other natural disasters, as well as power losses, computer and telecommunications failures, technological breakdowns, unauthorized intrusions, terrorist attacks on sites where we or our clients are located, and other events. We also depend on accessible office facilities for our employees in order for our operations to function properly. There is no assurance that the business continuity plans that we have sufficiently cover or reduce the risk of interruption in our operations caused by these events.

Such events could also have a material adverse effect on our clients. For example, immediately after the terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001, our clients who were located in the World Trade Center area were concentrating on disaster recovery rather than licensing additional products. In addition, delivery of some of the data we receive from New York-based suppliers was delayed. The grounding of air transportation impaired our ability to conduct sales visits and other meetings at client sites. During the resulting temporary closure of the U.S. stock markets, some of the data updates supporting our products were interrupted. These types of interruptions could affect our ability to sell and deliver products and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Although we currently estimate that the total cost of developing and implementing our business continuity plans will not have a material impact on our business, financial condition or results of operations, we cannot provide any assurance that our estimates regarding the timing and cost of implementing these plans will be accurate.

***We are subject to political, economic, legal, operational, franchise and other risks as a result of our international operations, which could adversely impact our businesses in many ways.***

As we continue to expand our international operations, we increase our exposure to political, economic, legal, operational, franchise and other risks that are inherent in operating in many countries, including risks of possible capital controls, exchange controls and other restrictive governmental actions, as well as the outbreak of hostilities or political and governmental instability. We have established and intend to further grow our presence in Mexico, the Middle East, Asia, Africa, Eastern Europe and Central and South America. In the last few years, we have opened offices in Budapest, Dubai, Monterrey, Mumbai and Shanghai. A significant number of our employees are located in offices outside of the United States and a number of those employees are located in emerging market centers. In many countries, the laws and regulations applicable to the financial services industries are uncertain and evolving, and it may be difficult for us to determine the exact requirements of local laws in every market. Our inability to maintain consistent internal policies and procedures across our office and remain in compliance with local laws in a particular market could have a significant and negative effect not only on our businesses in that market but also on our reputation generally.

In order to penetrate markets outside of the United States, we must provide a suite of products and services that fit the needs of the local market. Demand for our products and services is still nascent in many parts of the world. Many countries have not fully developed laws and regulations regarding risk management and corporate governance and, in many cases, institutions in these countries have not developed widely accepted best practices regarding the same. If we do not appropriately tailor our products and services to fit the needs of the local market, we may be unable to effectively grow sales of our products and services outside of the United States. There can be no assurances that demand for our products and services will develop in these countries.

***We may incur unanticipated costs in connection with establishing and maintaining offices in emerging market locations.***

Our plans call for us to continue to increase the proportion of our employees in emerging market locations. The cost of establishing and maintaining these offices, including costs related to information technology infrastructure, as well as the costs of attracting, training and retaining employees in these locations may be higher, or may increase at a faster rate, than we anticipate which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

***We may have exposure to additional tax liabilities.***

As a global corporation, we are subject to income taxes as well as non-income taxes, in the United States and various foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our global provision for income taxes and other tax liabilities. In the ordinary course of a global business, there are many intercompany transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. We are regularly under audit by tax authorities.

Although we believe that our tax estimates are reasonable, we cannot assure you that the final determination of tax audits or tax disputes will not be different from what is reflected in our historical income tax provisions and accruals. To the extent we are required to pay amounts in excess of our reserves, such differences could have a material adverse effect on our statement of income for a particular future period. In addition, an unfavorable tax settlement could require use of our cash and result in an increase in our effective tax rate in the period in which such resolution occurs.

We are also subject to non-income taxes, such as payroll, sales, use, value-added, net worth, property and goods and services taxes, in the United States and various foreign jurisdictions. We are regularly under audit by tax authorities with respect to these non-income taxes and may have exposure to additional non-income tax liabilities.

***Changes in the legislative, regulatory and corporate environments in which ISS' clients operate may adversely impact our financial results.***

ISS' historical growth has been due, in large part, to increased regulatory requirements, highly visible corporate scandals, increased shareholder activism and corporate chief executive officers and boards of directors that are increasingly concerned about, and responsive to, shareholder concerns. To the extent that any of these trends change, the demand for ISS' products and services could be reduced, and this could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operation. To the extent these regulations change or are not extended to other markets, our business, financial condition and results of operation could be materially adversely affected.

***Our investments in recorded goodwill and other intangible assets as a result of acquisitions, including goodwill and other intangible assets resulting from our RiskMetrics acquisition could be impaired as a result of future business conditions, requiring us to record substantial write-downs that would reduce our operating income.***

We have goodwill and intangible assets of \$2,422.9 million recorded on our balance sheet as of November 30, 2010. We evaluate the recoverability of recorded goodwill amounts and intangible assets annually, or when evidence of potential impairment exists. The annual impairment test is based on several factors requiring judgment. Changes in fair market valuations and our operating performance or business conditions, in general, could result in future impairments of goodwill which could be material to our results of operations. In addition, if we are not successful in achieving anticipated operating efficiencies associated with acquisitions, our goodwill and intangible assets may become impaired.

***We have engaged in hedging transaction and may engage in other hedging transactions which involve risks that could have a materially adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.***

In July 2010, we entered into two interest rate swap agreements to reduce our interest rate risk and to manage interest expense, and we may engage in similar transactions in the future. As of November 30, 2010, the interest rate swaps had an aggregate notional principal amount of \$445.1 million and a fair value liability of \$1.8 million. Our interest rate swaps effectively changed a portion of our variable-rate debt obligations pursuant to our credit facilities to fixed-rate debt obligations. Developing an effective strategy for movements in interest rates is complex, and no strategy can completely insulate us from risks associated with such fluctuations. In addition, the counterparty to a derivative instrument could default on its obligation thereby exposing us to credit risk. Further, we may have to repay certain costs, such as transaction fees or brokerage costs, if a derivative instrument is terminated by us. Finally, our interest rate risk management activities could expose us to substantial losses if interest rates move materially differently from our expectations. As a result, our economic hedging activities may not effectively manage our interest rate sensitivity or have the desired beneficial impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

***The obligations associated with being a public company require significant resources and management attention.***

As a public company, we are subject to the rules and regulations promulgated by the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange. For example, the Exchange Act requires that we file annual, quarterly and current reports with respect to our business and financial conditions and the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 (the “Sarbanes-Oxley Act”) requires, among other things, that we establish and maintain effective internal controls and procedures for financial reporting. Our efforts to comply with these rules and regulations have resulted in, and are likely to continue to result in, an increase in expenses and a diversion of management’s time from other business activities. See “—Changes in government regulations could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations” above.

***In connection with our IPO and separation from Morgan Stanley, we entered into agreements with Morgan Stanley where we agreed to indemnify Morgan Stanley for, among other things, certain past, present and future liabilities related to our business.***

Pursuant to certain agreements we entered into with Morgan Stanley relating to the ongoing provision of services and other matters, we agreed to indemnify Morgan Stanley for, among other matters, certain past, present and future liabilities related to our business. Such liabilities include certain unknown liabilities, which could be significant.

#### **Risks Related to Ownership of Our Class A Common Stock**

***If equity research analysts do not publish research or reports about our business or if they issue unfavorable commentary or downgrade our class A common stock, the price of our class A common stock could decline.***

The trading market for our class A common stock relies in part on the research and reports that equity research analysts publish about us and our business. The price of our stock could decline if one or more securities analysts downgrade our stock or if those analysts issue other unfavorable commentary or cease publishing reports about us or our business.

***The market price of our class A common stock may be volatile, which could result in substantial losses for you.***

For example, some of the factors that may cause the market price of our class A common stock to fluctuate include:

- fluctuations in our quarterly financial results or the quarterly financial results of companies perceived to be similar to us;
- changes in operating margins due to variability in revenues from licensing our equity indices as the basis of ETFs;
- changes in estimates of our financial results or recommendations by securities analysts;
- failure of any of our products to achieve or maintain market acceptance;
- failure to produce or distribute our products;
- changes in market valuations of similar companies;
- success of competitive products;



- changes in our capital structure, such as future issuances of securities or the incurrence of additional debt;
- announcements by us or our competitors of significant products, contracts, acquisitions or strategic alliances;
- regulatory developments in the U.S., foreign countries or both;
- litigation involving our company, our general industry or both;
- additions or departures of key personnel;
- investors' general perception of us, including any perception of misuse of sensitive information;
- changes in general economic, industry and market conditions; and
- changes in regulatory and other dynamics.

In addition, if the market for stocks in our industry, or the stock market in general, experiences a loss of investor confidence, the trading price of our class A common stock could decline for reasons unrelated to our business, financial condition or results of operations. If any of the foregoing occurs, it could cause our stock price to fall and may expose us to lawsuits that, even if unsuccessful, could be costly to defend and a distraction to management.

***Future sales of our common stock, or the perception that such sales may occur, could depress our class A common stock price.***

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock, or the perception that such sales may occur, could depress the market price of our class A common stock. This would include sales of our common stock underlying restricted shares of class A common stock and options to purchase shares of class A common stock granted in connection with our IPO and pursuant to our equity incentive compensation plan.

As of November 30, 2010, 119,522,043 shares of our class A common stock were outstanding and freely tradable without restriction or further registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, by persons other than our affiliates within the meaning of Rule 144 under the Securities Act.

In November 2007, we filed a registration statement registering under the Securities Act the 12,500,000 shares of class A common stock reserved for issuance in respect of incentive awards to our officers and certain of our employees pursuant to the MSCI Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan and the 500,000 shares of class A common stock reserved for issuance in respect of equity awards made to our directors who are not employees of the Company or Morgan Stanley pursuant to the MSCI Independent Directors' Equity Compensation Plan. As of November 30, 2010, we had issued 2,947,305 and 66,605 shares of class A common stock under the MSCI Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan and MSCI Independent Directors' Equity Compensation Plan, respectively. In connection with the acquisition of RiskMetrics, we filed a registration statement registering under the Securities Act the 4,257,779 shares of MSCI class A common stock reserved for issuance in respect of incentive awards to officers and certain employees of RiskMetrics pursuant to the RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2000 Stock Option Plan, RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2004 Stock Option Plan, Institutional Shareholder Services Holdings, Inc. Equity Incentive Plan and RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (collectively, the "RMG Plans"). As of November 30, 2010, we had issued 1,037,836 shares of class A common stock under the RMG Plans. In June 2010, we also filed a registration statement assuming 3,060,090 shares available under the RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan.

Also in the future, we may issue additional shares of our common stock in connection with investments and acquisitions. The amount of our common stock issued in connection with an investment or acquisition could constitute a material portion of the outstanding common stock.

***Provisions in our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and By-laws and Delaware law might discourage, delay or prevent a change of control of our company or changes in our management and, therefore, depress the trading price of our class A common stock.***

Provisions of our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation and By-laws and Delaware law may discourage, delay or prevent a merger, acquisition or other change in control that shareholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which you might otherwise receive a premium for your shares of our class A common stock. These provisions may also prevent or frustrate attempts by our shareholders to replace or remove our management. These provisions include:

- limitations on the removal of directors;
- advance notice requirements for shareholder proposals and director nominations;
- the inability of shareholders, after a change in control, to act by written consent or to call special meetings;
- the ability of our Board of Directors to make, alter or repeal our By-laws; and
- the ability of our Board of Directors to designate the terms of and issue new series of preferred stock without shareholder approval.

Generally, the amendment of our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation requires approval by our Board of Directors and a majority vote of shareholders. Any amendment to our By-laws requires the approval of either a majority of our Board of Directors or holders of at least 80% of the votes entitled to be cast by the outstanding capital stock in the election of our Board of Directors.

Section 203 of the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware prohibits a person who acquires more than 15% but less than 85% of all classes of our outstanding voting stock without the approval of our Board of Directors from merging or combining with us for a period of three years, unless the merger or combination is approved by a two-thirds vote of the shares not owned by such person. These provisions would apply even if the proposed merger or acquisition could be considered beneficial by some shareholders.

The existence of the foregoing provisions and anti-takeover measures could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our class A common stock. They could also deter potential acquirers of our company, thereby reducing the likelihood that a premium would be paid for your class A common stock in an acquisition.

***We do not currently intend to pay dividends on our common stock and, consequently, your ability to achieve a return on your investment will depend on appreciation in the price of our class A common stock.***

We do not intend to pay any cash dividends on our common stock for the foreseeable future. We currently intend to invest our future earnings, if any, to fund our growth, including growth through acquisitions. The payment of any future dividends will be determined by the Board of Directors in light of conditions then existing, including our earnings, financial condition and capital requirements, business conditions, corporate law requirements and other factors.

#### **Risks Related to the Acquisition of RiskMetrics Group, Inc.**

***We may fail to realize the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the merger, which could adversely affect the value of our class A common stock.***

The success of the merger will depend, in part, on our ability to realize the anticipated benefits and cost savings from combining our business with RiskMetrics' business. Our ability to realize these anticipated benefits and cost savings is subject to certain risks including:

- our ability to successfully and cost effectively combine our business with RiskMetrics' business;
- whether the combined businesses will perform as expected;
- the possibility that we paid more than the value we will derive from the acquisition;
- the reduction of our cash available for operations and other uses, the increase in amortization expense related to identifiable assets acquired and the incurrence of indebtedness to finance the acquisition; and
- the assumption of certain known and unknown liabilities of RiskMetrics.

If we are not able to successfully combine our business with RiskMetrics' business within the anticipated time frame, or at all, the anticipated benefits and cost savings of the merger may not be realized fully or at all or take longer to realize than expected, we may not perform as expected and the price of our shares of common stock may be adversely affected.

It is possible that the integration process could result in the loss of key employees, the disruption of our ongoing business or in unexpected integration issues, higher than expected integration costs and an overall integration process that takes longer than originally anticipated. Specifically, issues that must be addressed in integrating the operations of RiskMetrics into our operations in order to realize the anticipated benefits of the merger so we perform as expected, include, among other things:

- combining the companies' sales, marketing, data, operations and research and development functions;
- integrating the companies' technologies, products and services;
- identifying and eliminating redundant and underperforming operations and assets;
- harmonizing the companies' operating practices, employee development and compensation programs,
- internal controls and other policies, procedures and processes;
- addressing possible differences in business backgrounds, corporate cultures and management philosophies;
- consolidating the companies' corporate, administrative and information technology infrastructure;
- coordinating sales, distribution and marketing efforts;
- managing the movement of certain positions to different locations, including certain of our offices outside the U.S.;
- maintaining existing agreements with customers and suppliers and avoiding delays in entering into new agreements with prospective customers and suppliers;
- coordinating geographically dispersed organizations; and
- consolidating our offices with those of RiskMetrics that are currently in the same location.

In addition, at times, the attention of certain members of our management and resources may be focused on the integration of the businesses of the two companies and diverted from day-to-day business operations, which may disrupt our business.

***Our business relationships, including client relationships, may be subject to disruption due to uncertainty associated with the merger.***

Parties with which we do business, including clients and suppliers, may experience uncertainty associated with the merger, including with respect to our current or future business relationships. Our business relationships may be subject to disruption as clients, suppliers and others may attempt to negotiate changes in existing business relationships or consider entering into business relationships with parties other than us. These disruptions could have an adverse effect on the businesses, financial condition, results of operations or prospects of the combined business.

***Our future results may suffer if we do not effectively manage RiskMetrics' risk management platform and RiskMetrics' other operations.***

We plan to continue to work on combining RiskMetrics' risk management platform with our expertise in portfolio equity models and analytics to provide clients with the capability to understand risk across their entire investment processes. Our future success depends, in part, upon our ability to manage this combination as well as RiskMetrics' other businesses, including its corporate governance operation, which poses challenges for management, including challenges relating to the management and monitoring of new operations and the coordination of activities across a larger organization. We cannot assure you that it will be successful or that we will realize expected operational efficiencies, cost savings, revenue enhancement and other benefits currently anticipated from the merger.

***We may have difficulty attracting, motivating and retaining executives and other key employees as a result of the merger.***

Uncertainty about the success of the integration process and the effect of the merger on employees may have an adverse effect on the combined business. This uncertainty may impair our ability to attract, retain and motivate key personnel. Employee retention may be particularly challenging during the integration process, as employees may experience uncertainty about their future roles with the combined business. If key employees depart because of issues relating to the uncertainty and difficulty of integration, financial incentives or a desire not to continue as employees of the combined business, we may have to incur significant costs in identifying, hiring and retaining replacements for departing employees, which could adversely affect our ability to realize the anticipated benefits of the merger.

***We will continue to incur restructuring and integration-related costs in connection with the merger.***

We expect to continue to incur a number of non-recurring costs associated with combining the operations of the two companies. The substantial majority of non-recurring expenses resulting from the merger will be comprised of restructuring costs related to the elimination of overlapping positions and duplicative occupancy costs and the termination of overlapping vendor contracts. We will also incur fees and costs related to the continued implementation of integration plans. As of November 30, 2010, we incurred \$10.0 million of restructuring and integration related fees and expense. We continue to assess the magnitude of these costs and additional unanticipated costs may be incurred in the integration of the two companies' businesses. Although we expect that the elimination of duplicative costs, as well as the realization of other efficiencies related to the integration of the businesses, should allow us to offset incremental restructuring and merger-related integration costs over time, this net benefit may not be achieved in the near term, or at all.

***The merger may not be accretive, and may be dilutive, to our earnings per share, which may negatively affect the market price of our class A common stock.***

We currently anticipate that the merger will be accretive to earnings per share during the first full calendar year after the merger. This expectation is based on preliminary estimates that may materially change. In addition, future events and conditions could decrease or delay the accretion that is currently expected or could result in dilution, including adverse changes in market conditions, additional transaction and integration related costs and other factors such as the failure to realize all of the benefits anticipated in the merger. Any dilution of, or decrease or delay of any accretion to, our earnings per share could cause the price of our common stock to decline.

**Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments**

Nothing required to be disclosed.

## Item 2. *Properties*

Our corporate headquarters is located in New York, New York. This is also our largest sales office and one of our main research centers. As of November 30, 2010, our principal offices consisted of the following leased properties:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Square Feet</u>	<u>Number of Offices</u>	<u>Expiration Date</u>
New York, New York . . . . .	76,880	2	August 14, 2012 and December 31, 2014
Rockville, Maryland . . . . .	56,280	1	June 30, 2013
London, England . . . . .	52,891	2	February 14, 2015 and February 28, 2022
Berkeley, California . . . . .	34,178	1	February 28, 2020
Mumbai, India . . . . .	32,220	1	August 7, 2017
Manila, Philippines . . . . .	25,000	1	March 31, 2014
Norman, Oklahoma . . . . .	23,664	1	May 31, 2014
Boston, Massachusetts . . . . .	18,785	2	May 31, 2011 and January 31, 2016
Budapest, Hungary . . . . .	18,337	1	February 28, 2014
Monterrey, Mexico . . . . .	12,671	2	January 31, 2011 and March 31, 2020
Geneva, Switzerland . . . . .	11,883	1	March 31, 2019

As of November 30, 2010, we also leased sales and client support offices in the following locations: Hong Kong, China; San Francisco, California; Beijing, China; Brussels, Belgium; Tokyo, Japan; Chicago, Illinois; Ann Arbor, Michigan; Toronto, Canada; Shanghai, China; Edison, New Jersey; Frankfurt, Germany; Sydney, Australia; Stamford, Connecticut; Cape Town (Newlands), South Africa; Melbourne, Australia; Singapore; Paris, France; Milan, Italy; Sao Paulo, Brazil; Leeds, England; and Dubai, United Arab Emirates.

In January 2011, we vacated the space that we maintained in Leeds, England and one of the offices we maintained in London, England.

We believe that our properties are in good operating condition and adequately serve our current business operations. We also anticipate that suitable additional or alternative space, including those under lease options, will be available at commercially reasonable terms for future expansion.

## Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

Various lawsuits, claims and proceedings have been or may be instituted or asserted against the Company, which arise in the ordinary course of our business. While the amounts claimed could be substantial, the ultimate liability cannot now be determined because of the considerable uncertainties that exist. Therefore, it is possible that our business, operating results, financial condition or cash flows in a particular period could be materially adversely affected by certain contingencies. However, based on facts currently available, management believes that the disposition of matters that are currently pending or asserted will not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on MSCI's business, operating results, financial condition or cash flows.

## PART II

### Item 5. *Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities*

#### Stock Price and Dividends

Our class A common stock has traded on the New York Stock Exchange since November 15, 2007 under the symbol "MSCI." Prior to that time, there was no public market for our common stock. As of January 24, 2011, there were approximately 334 shareholders of record of our class A common stock. The following table sets forth the high and low closing sales prices per share of our class A common stock from December 1, 2007 through November 30, 2010.

<u>Years ended November 30,</u>	<u>High</u>	<u>Low</u>
<b>2010</b>		
First Quarter .....	\$34.50	\$27.88
Second Quarter .....	37.96	28.59
Third Quarter .....	33.39	27.23
Fourth Quarter .....	37.44	30.82
<b>2009</b>		
First Quarter .....	\$18.65	\$14.69
Second Quarter .....	23.64	13.20
Third Quarter .....	30.55	22.47
Fourth Quarter .....	33.60	25.98

On January 24, 2011, the closing price of our class A common stock on the New York Stock Exchange was \$35.50.

Our class B common stock is neither listed nor publicly traded. As of January 24, 2011, there were no shareholders of record of our class B common stock.

#### *Dividend Policy*

We declared and paid dividends prior to the IPO. We do not, however, intend to pay any dividends in the foreseeable future and intend to retain all available funds for use in the operation and expansion of our business, including growth through acquisitions. The payment of any future dividends will be determined by the Board of Directors in light of conditions then existing, including our earnings, financial condition and capital requirements, business conditions, corporate law requirements and other factors. In addition, our Credit Facility contains restrictions on the payment of dividends. See "Item 7.—Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources."

The Transfer Agent and Registrar for the common stock is BNY Mellon Shareowner Services.

#### Equity Compensation Plans

On November 2, 2007 and November 5, 2007, our shareholders and Board of Directors approved, respectively, the implementation of the MSCI Independent Directors' Equity Compensation Plan (as amended and restated on January 12, 2011, the "IDECP"). Under the IDECP, the directors that are not employees of the Company receive annual Board retainer fees and fees for serving on the Company's committees, if applicable, and pursuant to the terms of the IDECP, a director may make an election to receive all or any portion of such director's retainer and committee fees in shares of our class A common stock. Effective on the date of the 2011 annual shareholders' meeting, directors who are not employees of the Company are entitled to receive an annual grant of \$90,000 each in stock units and the lead director is entitled to an additional \$25,000 in stock units, which are subject to a vesting schedule. The total number of shares authorized to be awarded under the plan is 500,000.

On November 2, 2007 and November 5, 2007, our shareholders and Board of Directors approved, respectively, the implementation of the MSCI 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan. On April 8, 2008, our shareholders approved the MSCI Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan. The MSCI Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan permits the Compensation Committee to make grants of a variety of equity based awards (such as stock, restricted stock, stock units and options) totaling up to 12.5 million shares to eligible recipients, including employees and consultants. No awards under this plan are permitted after November 2, 2017.

In connection with the acquisition of RiskMetrics, we filed a registration statement registering under the Securities Act the 4,257,779 shares of MSCI class A common stock reserved for issuance in respect of incentive awards to officers and certain employees of RiskMetrics pursuant to the RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2000 Stock Option Plan, RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2004 Stock Option Plan, Institutional Shareholder Services Holdings, Inc. Equity Incentive Plan and RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (collectively, the “RMG Plans”). In June 2010, we also filed a registration statement assuming 3,060,090 shares available under the RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan.

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our equity compensation plans at November 30, 2010:

	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Vesting of Restricted Stock Units and Exercise of Outstanding Options a	Weighted Average Unit Award Value of Restricted Stock Units and Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options b	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) c
<i>Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Security Holders</i>			
MSCI Independent Directors’ Equity Compensation Plan <sup>(1)</sup> . . .	9,770	\$34.97	423,625
MSCI Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan . . . . .	3,360,057	\$21.41	7,191,047
RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2000 Stock Option Plan . . . . .	298,700	\$ 3.03	17
RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2004 Stock Option Plan . . . . .	942,162	\$13.10	6,864
Institutional Shareholder Services Holdings, Inc. Equity Incentive Plan . . . . .	3,270	\$ 3.21	—
RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan . . . . .	<u>1,824,973</u>	\$22.06	<u>3,144,047</u>
Total . . . . .	<u>6,438,932</u>	\$19.54	<u>10,765,600</u>

(1) The MSCI Independent Directors’ Equity Compensation Plan does not authorize the issuance of options to purchase MSCI common stock.

## Stock Repurchases

The table below sets forth the information with respect to purchases made by or on behalf of the Company of its common shares during the quarter ended November 30, 2010.

### Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

<u>Period</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased</u>	<u>Average Price Paid Per Share</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased As Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs</u>	<u>Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs</u>
Month #1 (September 1, 2010-September 30, 2010) Employee Transactions <sup>(1)</sup> . . . . .	12,075	\$31.39	N/A	N/A
Month #2 (October 1, 2010-October 31, 2010) Employee Transactions <sup>(1)</sup> . . . . .	11,042	\$34.24	N/A	N/A
Month #3 (November 1, 2010-November 30, 2010) Employee Transactions <sup>(1)</sup> . . . . .	<u>255,670</u>	<u>\$35.94</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>
Total Employee Transactions <sup>(1)</sup> . . . . .	<u>278,787</u>	<u>\$35.67</u>	<u>N/A</u>	<u>N/A</u>

- (1) Includes shares purchased to satisfy tax withholding obligations on behalf of employees that occur upon vesting and delivery of outstanding shares underlying restricted stock units and/or upon the exercise of employee stock options. The value of the shares purchased was determined using the fair market value of the Company's class A common shares on the date of purchase, using a valuation methodology established by the Company.

## Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities.

None.


## Use of Proceeds from Sale of Registered Securities

None.



### 37 MONTH STOCK PERFORMANCE GRAPH

The following graph compares the cumulative total stockholders return on our class A common stock, the Standard & Poor's 500 Stock Index and the NYSE Composite Index since November 15, 2007 assuming an investment of \$100 at the closing price on November 15, 2007. In calculating total annual stockholder return, reinvestment of dividends, if any, is assumed. The indices are included for comparative purpose only. They do not necessarily reflect management's opinion that such indices are an appropriate measure of the relative performance of the class A common stock. This graph is not "soliciting material," is not deemed filed with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference in any of our filings under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, as amended, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.



	For the Years Ended November 30,			
	2010	2009	2008	2007
MSCI Inc. ....	\$130	\$117	\$59	\$106
S&P 500 .....	\$ 81	\$ 76	\$62	\$102
NYSE Composite Index .....	\$ 77	\$ 73	\$58	\$102

## Item 6. Selected Consolidated Financial Data

Our selected consolidated financial data for the periods presented should be read in conjunction with “Item 7.—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The selected Consolidated Statements of Income data for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008 and the selected Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition data as of November 30, 2010 and 2009 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements beginning on page F-1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our consolidated financial statements for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 have been audited and reported upon by an independent registered public accounting firm. The selected Consolidated Statement of Income data for the years ended November 30, 2007 and 2006 and the selected Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition data as of November 30, 2008, 2007 and 2006 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The selected financial information presented below may not be indicative of our future performance and does not necessarily reflect what our financial position and results of operations would have been had we operated as a stand-alone company during the periods presented.

	For the years ended November 30,				
	2010 <sup>(1)</sup>	2009	2008	2007	2006
	(in thousands, except operating margin and per share data)				
Operating revenues . . . . .	\$ 662,901	\$ 442,948	\$ 430,961	\$369,886	\$ 310,698
Total operating expenses . . . . .	456,778	291,956	295,171	239,927	227,649
Operating income . . . . .	206,123	150,992	135,790	129,959	83,049
Other expense (income), net . . . . .	52,632	19,271	26,147	(3,333)	(16,420)
Provision for income taxes . . . . .	61,321	49,920	41,375	52,181	36,097
Income before discontinued operations . . . . .	92,170	81,801	68,268	81,111	63,372
Income from discontinued operations . . . . .	—	—	—	—	8,073
Net income . . . . .	<u>\$ 92,170</u>	<u>\$ 81,801</u>	<u>\$ 68,268</u>	<u>\$ 81,111</u>	<u>\$ 71,445</u>
Earnings per basic common share:					
Continuing operations . . . . .	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.76
Discontinued operations . . . . .	—	—	—	—	0.10
Earnings per basic common share . . . . .	<u>\$ 0.82</u>	<u>\$ 0.80</u>	<u>\$ 0.66</u>	<u>\$ 0.94</u>	<u>\$ 0.85</u>
Earnings per diluted common share:					
Continuing operations . . . . .	\$ 0.81	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.94	\$ 0.76
Discontinued operations . . . . .	—	—	—	—	0.10
Earnings per diluted common share . . . . .	<u>\$ 0.81</u>	<u>\$ 0.80</u>	<u>\$ 0.66</u>	<u>\$ 0.94</u>	<u>\$ 0.85</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding used in computing earnings per share					
Basic . . . . .	112,074	100,607	100,037	84,606	83,900
Diluted . . . . .	<u>113,357</u>	<u>100,860</u>	<u>100,281</u>	<u>84,611</u>	<u>83,900</u>
Operating margin . . . . .	31.1%	34.1%	31.5%	35.1%	26.7%
Cash and cash equivalents . . . . .	\$ 226,575	\$ 176,024	\$ 268,077	\$ 33,818	\$ 24,362
Short-term investments . . . . .	\$ 73,891	\$ 295,304	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Cash deposited with related parties . . . . .	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$137,625	\$ 330,231
Trade receivables (net of allowances) . . . . .	\$ 147,662	\$ 77,180	\$ 85,723	\$ 77,748	\$ 62,337
Goodwill and intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization . . . . .	\$2,422,921	\$ 561,812	\$ 587,530	\$616,030	\$ 642,383
Deferred revenue . . . . .	\$ 271,300	\$ 152,944	\$ 144,711	\$125,230	\$ 102,368
Current maturities of long-term debt . . . . .	\$ 54,916	\$ 42,088	\$ 22,086	\$ 22,250	\$ —
Long-term debt, net of current maturities . . . . .	\$1,207,881	\$ 337,622	\$ 379,709	\$402,750	\$ —
Total shareholders’ equity . . . . .	\$1,080,117	\$ 507,056	\$ 286,382	\$200,021	\$ 825,712
Total assets . . . . .	<u>\$3,023,166</u>	<u>\$1,200,269</u>	<u>\$1,015,048</u>	<u>\$904,679</u>	<u>\$1,112,775</u>

(1) Includes the results of RiskMetrics and Measurisk as of the June 1, 2010 and July 30, 2010 acquisition dates, respectively. Numbers may not total due to rounding.

## **Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

*The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of our operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Form 10-K. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed below. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those identified below and those discussed in "Item 1A.—Risk Factors."*

### **Overview**

We are a leading global provider of investment decision support tools, including indices, portfolio risk and performance analytics and corporate governance products and services. Our products and services address multiple markets, asset classes and geographies and are sold to a diverse client base including asset owners such as pension funds, endowments, foundations, central banks, family offices and insurance companies; institutional and retail asset managers, such as managers of pension assets, mutual funds, exchange traded funds ("ETFs"), hedge funds and private wealth; and financial intermediaries such as banks, broker-dealers, exchanges, custodians, investment consultants; and corporate clients. As of November 30, 2010, we had approximately 5,800 clients across 78 countries. We had 33 offices in 19 countries to help serve our diverse client base, with approximately 53.3% of our revenue from clients in the Americas, 32.4% in Europe, the Middle East and Africa ("EMEA") and 14.3% in Asia and Australia, based on revenues for the year ended November 30, 2010. See "Item 1. Business—Clients" above for an explanation of how we calculate our number of clients.

Effective with the acquisition of RiskMetrics Group, Inc. ("RiskMetrics") discussed below under "—Strategic Initiatives," the Company consists of two industry leading businesses: the Performance and Risk business and the Governance business. Together, these businesses offer what we believe is the most comprehensive suite of performance, risk management and corporate governance products and services available in our industry. See Note 14, "Segment Information," for further information about MSCI's operating segments.

Our Performance and Risk business is a leading global provider of investment decision support tools, including equity indices, portfolio risk and performance analytics, credit analytics and environmental, social and governance ("ESG") products. Our Performance and Risk products are used in many areas of the investment process, including portfolio construction and rebalancing, performance benchmarking and attribution, risk management and analysis, index-linked investment product creation, asset allocation, assessment of social responsibility and environmental stewardship and the effects of climate change on investments, investment manager selection and investment research. The flagship products within our Performance and Risk business are our Global Equity Indices and ESG products marketed under the MSCI brand, our market and credit risk analytics marketed under the RiskMetrics and Barra brands, our portfolio risk and performance analytics covering global equity and fixed income markets marketed under the Barra brand and our valuation models and risk management software for the energy and commodities markets marketed under the FEA brand.

Our Governance business is a leading provider of corporate governance and specialized financial research and analysis services to institutional investors and corporations around the world. Among other things, the Governance business facilitates the voting of proxies by institutional investors and provides in-depth research and analysis to help inform voting decisions and identify issuer-specific risk. The Governance business offers both global security coverage and fully integrated products and services, including proxy voting, policy creation, research, vote recommendations, vote execution, post-vote disclosure and reporting and analytical tools. Within a firewall designed to separate it from the rest of the Governance business, a unit of the Governance business also provides products and services to corporate clients who may use those products and services to learn about and improve their governance practices. The flagship products within our Governance business are our governance research and outsourced proxy voting and reporting services marketed under the ISS brand and our forensic accounting risk research, legal/regulatory risk assessment and due diligence products marketed under the CFRA brand.

Our Governance business serves both institutional and corporate clients and we recognize that there is a potential for conflict of interest with respect to the provision of products and services to corporate issuers through ISS Corporate Services and the products and services we provide to our institutional investor clients through Institutional Shareholders Services (“ISS”). We have instituted multiple safeguards to mitigate any real or perceived conflicts of interests. We formed ISS Corporate Services as a subsidiary with distinct resources and a firewall that prevents the flow of information outside of ISS Corporate Services. Every ISS Corporate Services contract indicates that the purchase of corporate services will not result in preferential treatment from ISS and does not influence ISS’ proxy recommendations or other research coverage. Recommendations and research coverage are based solely on the application of ISS’ published policies and by an issuer’s actual governance policies and practices.

In evaluating our financial performance, we focus on revenue growth for the Company in total and by product category as well as operating profit growth and the level of profitability as measured by our operating margin. Our business is not highly capital intensive and, as such, we expect to continue to convert a high percentage of our operating profits into excess cash in the future. See “—Liquidity and Capital Resources” below for a discussion of payments required to be made under the excess cash flow provision of the New Credit Facility (defined below). Our revenue growth strategy includes, among other things: (a) expanding and deepening our relationships with investment institutions worldwide; (b) developing new and enhancing existing product offerings, including combining existing product features or data derived from our products to create new products; and (c) actively seeking to acquire products, technologies and companies that will enhance, complement or expand our client base and our product offerings. In furtherance of this revenue growth strategy, we recently completed the acquisitions of RiskMetrics and Measurisk, LLC (“Measurisk”) discussed below under “—Strategic Initiatives.”

To maintain and accelerate our revenue and operating income growth, we will continue to invest in and expand our operating functions and infrastructure, including product management, new sales and client support staff and facilities in locations around the world and additional staff and supporting technology for our research and our data operations and technology functions. At the same time, managing and controlling our operating expenses is very important to us and a distinct part of our culture. Over time, our goal is to keep the rate of growth of our operating expenses below the rate of growth of our revenues, allowing us to expand our operating margins. However, at times, because of significant market opportunities, it may be more important for us to invest in our business in order to support increased efforts to attract new clients and to develop new product offerings, rather than emphasize short-term operating margin expansion. Furthermore, in some periods our operating expense growth may exceed our operating revenue growth due to the variability of revenues from several of our products, including our equity indices licensed as the basis of ETFs and non-recurring fees.

#### Change in Fiscal Year End

On December 8, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a change in the Company’s fiscal year end from November 30 to December 31 of each year. This change to the calendar year reporting cycle began January 1, 2011. As a result of the change, the Company will have a December 2010 fiscal month transition period, the results of which will be separately reported in the Company’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the calendar quarter ending March 31, 2011 and in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the calendar year ending December 31, 2011.

#### Strategic Initiatives

##### *Acquisition of RiskMetrics*

On June 1, 2010, we completed our acquisition of RiskMetrics in a cash-and-stock transaction valued at approximately \$1,572.4 million. In connection with the acquisition, we entered into a senior secured credit agreement, which is comprised of (i) a \$1,275.0 million six-year term loan facility and (ii) a \$100.0 million five-

year revolving credit facility, which includes a \$25.0 million letter of credit subfacility and \$10.0 million swingline loan subfacility (the “New Credit Facility”). See “—Liquidity and Capital Resources” below for additional information.

For the year ended November 30, 2009, we had total operating revenues of \$442.9 million and operating expenses of \$292.0 million. For the year ended December 31, 2009, RiskMetrics had total operating revenues of \$303.4 million and operating expenses of \$236.4 million. We assigned a significant value to the intangible assets of RiskMetrics as part of the acquisition, which increased and is expected to increase the amortization expense we recognized and we will recognize. See Note 10, “Intangible Assets” for further information. We also have incurred increased interest expense as a result of the New Credit Facility we entered into in connection with the acquisition. We therefore expect that the acquisition of RiskMetrics will have a significant impact on our financial results in future periods. Additionally, we may have additional exposure to foreign currency risk following the acquisition as a result of the subsequent change in the relative mix of our non-U.S. dollar revenues and expenses.

#### *Acquisition of Measurisk*

On July 30, 2010, we acquired Measurisk to expand our product offerings to hedge fund investors. The value we assigned to the intangible assets of Measurisk will further increase the amortization expense that we recognized during the year ended November 30, 2010 and that we will recognize in the future. See Note 10, “Intangible Assets” for further information.

### **Key Financial Metrics and Drivers**

#### ***Revenues***

Our principal sales model in both of our business segments is to license annual, recurring subscriptions to our products and services for use at specified locations, often by a given number of users or for a certain volume of services for an annual fee paid up front. Additionally, we have increasing recurring subscriptions to our managed services offering in which our staff oversee the production of risk and performance reports on behalf of our clients. For the year ended November 30, 2010, approximately \$537.8 million, or 81.1%, of our revenues was attributable to annual, recurring subscriptions. These fees are recorded as deferred revenues on our Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition and are recognized on our Consolidated Statement of Income as the service is rendered. Additionally, \$104.1 million of our revenues comes from clients who use our indices as the basis for index-linked investment products such as ETFs. We derive revenues from certain institutional clients that use our indices as the basis for passively managed funds and separate accounts. These clients commonly pay us a license fee for the use of our intellectual property based on the investment product’s assets. We generate a limited amount of our revenues from certain exchanges that use our indices as the basis for futures and options contracts and pay us a license fee for the use of our intellectual property based on their volume of trades. We also receive revenues from one-time fees related to implementation, historical or customized reports, advisory and consulting services and overages relating to the proxy research and voting services.

As a part of establishing the Performance and Risk and the Governance operating segments and how they will be managed, we have revised our product categories. Our revenues are now grouped into the following five product and/or service categories:

#### *Index and ESG*

This category includes subscription fees from MSCI equity index data and ESG research and analytics products, fees based on assets in investment products linked to our equity indices, fees from non-recurring licenses of our equity index historical data and fees from custom MSCI indices. We also generate a limited amount of revenues based on the trading volume of futures and options contracts linked to our indices.

Clients typically subscribe to equity index data modules for use by a specified number of users at a particular location. Clients may select delivery from us or delivery via a third-party vendor. We are able to grow

our revenues for data subscriptions by expanding the number of client users and their locations and the number of third-party vendors the client uses for delivery of our data modules. The increasing scope and complexity of a client's data requirements beyond standard data modules, such as requests for historical data or customized indices, also provide opportunities for further revenue growth from an existing client. Clients who utilize our ESG research and analytics products and services pay an annual subscription fee and access these products and services via a web based application.

Revenues from our index-linked investment product licenses, such as ETFs, increase or decrease as a result of changes in value of the assets in the investment products. These changes in the value of the assets in the investment products can result from equity market price changes, investment inflows and outflows and changes in foreign currency exchange rates. In most cases, fees for these licenses are paid quarterly in arrears and are calculated by multiplying a negotiated basis point fee times the average daily assets in the investment product for the most recent period.

#### *Risk Management Analytics Products*

This category includes revenues from annual, recurring subscriptions to our risk management analytics products including our two major products, RiskManager and BarraOne. We have increasing recurring subscriptions to our managed services offering in which our staff oversee the production of risk and performance reports on behalf of our clients. Other products in this category include HedgePlatform, InterSight, DataMetrics, Wealthbench, Couterparty Risk and Credit Manager. The products offer a consistent risk assessment framework for managing and monitoring investments in a variety of asset classes across an organization. We are able to grow our revenues by licensing additional users and locations as well as sell additional products and services.

RiskManager is used by clients for daily analyzing, measuring and monitoring of market risk at fund and firm level, for sensitivity and stress testing, and interactive what-if analysis. RiskManager is a highly scalable platform accessed by clients via a license to a secure, interactive web-based application service, as a fully outsourced risk reporting service or as a web service in which a client's systems access RiskMetrics core risk elements by connecting directly to our systems.

BarraOne, powered by the Barra Integrated Model, provides clients with global, multi-asset class risk analysis using Barra fundamental factor technology. The product is accessed by clients via a secure, interactive web-based session, web services or on an outsourced basis.

Clients generally subscribe to the other products in this category on an annual recurring basis.

#### *Portfolio Management Analytics*

This category includes revenues from annual, recurring subscriptions to Barra Aegis and our proprietary risk data in Barra Aegis; Equity Models Direct products; and our proprietary equity risk data incorporated in third-party software application offerings (e.g., Barra on Vendors). This category also includes revenues from annual, recurring subscriptions to our fixed income portfolio analytics products.

Barra Aegis has many uses, including portfolio risk analysis and forecasting, optimization and factor-based portfolio performance attribution. A base subscription for use in portfolio analysis typically involves a subscription to Barra Aegis and various risk data modules. A client may add portfolio performance attribution, optimization tools, process automation tools or other features to its Barra Aegis subscription. By licensing the client to receive additional software modules and risk data, or increasing the number of permitted client users or client locations, we can increase our revenues per client further.

Our Equity Models Direct risk data is distributed directly to clients who then combine it with their own software applications or upload the risk data onto third-party applications. A base subscription to our Equity

Models Direct product provides equity risk data for a set fee that authorizes one to two users. By licensing the client to receive equity risk model data for additional countries, or increasing the number of permitted client users or client locations, we can further increase our revenues per client.

The Barra on Vendors product makes our proprietary risk data from our Equity Models Direct product available to clients via third party providers, such as FactSet Research Systems, Inc.

The Barra Cosmos System for fixed income portfolio analytics products enables global fixed income portfolio managers to manage risk and optimize return in a multi-currency, global bond portfolio. This product is a desktop application.

### *Energy and Commodity Analytics*

Our energy and commodity analytics products consist of software applications which help users value and model physical assets and derivatives across a number of market segments including energy and commodity assets.

### *Governance*

Our governance products consist of corporate governance products and services, including proxy research, recommendation and voting services for asset owners and asset managers as well as governance advisory and compensation services for corporations. It also includes forensic accounting research as well as class action monitoring and claims filing services to aid institutional investors in the recovery of funds from securities. The products were all acquired as part of the RiskMetrics acquisition. The substantial majority of the revenues are annual, subscription based revenues. The largest portion of our non-recurring revenues are included in this category as a result of advisory and consulting services and overages relating to the proxy research and voting services.

The Performance and Risk business is comprised of index and ESG, risk management analytics, portfolio management analytics and energy & commodity analytics products. The Governance business is comprised of the governance products.

### ***Run Rate***

At the end of any period, we generally have subscription and investment product license agreements in place for a large portion of our total revenues for the following 12 months. We measure the fees related to these agreements and refer to this as our “Run Rate.” The Run Rate at a particular point in time represents the forward-looking fees for the next 12 months from all subscriptions and investment product licenses we currently provide to our clients under renewable contracts assuming all contracts that come up for renewal are renewed and assuming then-current exchange rates. For any license where fees are linked to an investment product’s assets or trading volume, the Run Rate calculation reflects an annualization of the most recent periodic fee earned under such license. The Run Rate does not include fees associated with “one-time” and other non-recurring transactions. In addition, we remove from the Run Rate the fees associated with any subscription or investment product license agreement with respect to which we have received a notice of termination or non-renewal during the period and we have determined that such notice evidences the client’s final decision to terminate or not renew the applicable subscription or agreement, even though such notice is not effective until a later date.

Because the Run Rate represents potential future fees, there is typically a delayed impact on our operating revenues from changes in our Run Rate. In addition, the actual amount of revenues we will realize over the following 12 months will differ from the Run Rate because of:

- revenues associated with new subscriptions and non-recurring sales;

- modifications, cancellations and non-renewals of existing agreements, subject to specified notice requirements;
- fluctuations in asset-based fees, which may result from market movements or from investment inflows into and outflows from investment products linked to our indices;
- fluctuations in fees based on trading volumes of futures and options contracts linked to our indices;
- fluctuations in the number of hedge funds for which we provide investment information and risk analysis to hedge fund investors;
- price changes;
- revenue recognition differences under U.S. GAAP;
- fluctuations in foreign exchange rates; and
- the impact of acquisitions and dispositions.

The following table set forth our Run Rates and the percentage growth over the periods indicated. Run Rates have been adjusted to conform to the product categorization used for accounting revenues. In order to provide a more meaningful comparison, the historical numbers have been adjusted to include RiskMetrics as if the acquisition had occurred on November 30, 2008.

	November 30,			Comparison of	
	2010	2009	2008	November 30, 2010 to 2009	November 30, 2009 to 2008
	(in thousands)				
Run Rates					
Index and ESG:					
Subscriptions	\$235,370	\$202,785	\$177,675	16.1%	14.1%
Asset based fees	114,233	95,201	52,539	20.0%	81.2%
Total index and ESG	349,603	297,986	230,214	17.3%	29.4%
Risk management analytics <sup>(1)</sup>	235,422	197,997	188,453	18.9%	5.1%
Portfolio management analytics	117,256	122,192	132,956	(4.0%)	(8.1%)
Energy and commodity analytics	15,330	15,365	13,506	(0.2%)	13.8%
Governance	105,534	111,841	117,942	(5.6%)	(5.2%)
Total Run Rate	<u>\$823,145</u>	<u>\$745,381</u>	<u>\$683,071</u>	10.4%	9.1%
Subscription total	708,912	650,180	630,532	9.0%	3.1%
Asset based fees total	114,233	95,201	52,539	20.0%	81.2%
Total Run Rate	<u>\$823,145</u>	<u>\$745,381</u>	<u>\$683,071</u>	10.4%	9.1%

(1) Included in the above table is approximately \$13.4 million of Run Rate associated with the Measurisk acquisition as of November 30, 2010. The prior period run rates have not been restated for the impact of the Measurisk acquisition.

Changes in Run Rate between periods reflect increases from new subscriptions, decreases from cancellations, increases or decreases, as the case may be, from the change in the value of assets of investment products linked to MSCI indices, the change in trading volumes of futures and options contracts linked to MSCI indices, price changes and fluctuations in foreign exchange rates.



The following table sets forth our net new recurring subscription sales (as if we had completed the RiskMetrics acquisition as of the dates indicated) for the years ended:

	November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(in thousands)		
New recurring subscription sales . . . . .	\$136,393	\$ 97,191	\$144,241
Subscription cancellations . . . . .	(84,567)	(103,734)	(65,243)
Net new recurring subscription sales . . . . .	<u>\$ 51,826</u>	<u>\$ (6,543)</u>	<u>\$ 78,998</u>

***Retention Rates***

Other key metrics are our “Aggregate Retention Rate” and “Core Retention Rate,” which are collectively referred to as “Retention Rates.” These metrics are important because subscription cancellations decrease our Run Rate and ultimately our operating revenues. The annual Aggregate Retention Rate represents the retained subscription Run Rate (beginning subscription Run Rate less actual cancels during the year) as a percentage of the subscription Run Rate at the beginning of the fiscal year. If a client reduces the number of products to which it subscribes or switches between our products, we treat it as a cancellation for purposes of calculating our Aggregate Retention Rate. Our Core Retention Rate is calculated in the same way as our Aggregate Retention Rate, except that the Core Retention Rate does not treat switches between products as a cancellation. Our Aggregate and Core Retention Rates are computed on a product-by-product basis. In addition, we treat any reduction in fees resulting from renegotiated contracts as a cancellation in the calculation to the extent of the reduction. We do not calculate Aggregate or Core Retention Rates for that portion of our Run Rate attributable to assets in investment products linked to our indices or to trading volumes of futures and options contracts linked to our indices. Aggregate and Core Retention Rates for a non-annual period reflect the annualization of the cancels recorded in the period.

The following table sets forth our Aggregate Retention Rates by product category for the periods indicated for the years ended November 30 2010, 2009 and 2008 as if we had completed the RiskMetrics acquisition as of the dates indicated:

	<u>Index and ESG</u>	<u>Risk Management Analytics</u>	<u>Portfolio Management Analytics</u>	<u>Energy and Commodity Analytics</u>	<u>Governance</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>2010</b>						
Qtr Ended February 28, .....	93.8%	81.5%	92.3%	85.5%	74.2%	86.2%
Qtr Ended May 31, .....	92.4%	91.3%	84.6%	80.5%	86.0%	89.2%
Qtr Ended August 31, .....	90.9%	89.7%	83.7%	90.5%	86.4%	88.4%
Qtr Ended November 30, .....	92.1%	85.4%	69.1%	83.4%	86.3%	84.6%
Year Ended November 30, .....	92.3%	87.4%	82.4%	85.0%	83.3%	87.2%
<b>2009</b>						
Qtr Ended February 28, .....	93.8%	85.5%	86.5%	90.5%	73.0%	85.6%
Qtr Ended May 31, .....	92.8%	79.5%	82.2%	91.3%	84.6%	85.1%
Qtr Ended August 31, .....	90.5%	80.4%	69.1%	84.5%	85.4%	81.9%
Qtr Ended November 30, .....	88.5%	80.2%	77.7%	88.5%	78.7%	82.0%
Year Ended November 30, .....	91.4%	81.4%	78.9%	88.7%	80.4%	83.7%
<b>2008</b>						
Qtr Ended February 29, .....	97.8%	92.6%	95.1%	91.4%	87.0%	93.3%
Qtr Ended May 31, .....	94.2%	86.5%	89.6%	96.1%	90.1%	90.1%
Qtr Ended August 31, .....	95.5%	88.4%	87.5%	97.1%	86.5%	89.8%
Qtr Ended November 30, .....	89.2%	78.8%	69.6%	83.3%	83.9%	80.5%
Year Ended November 30, .....	94.2%	86.6%	85.5%	92.0%	86.9%	88.5%

The following table sets forth our Core Retention Rates by product category for the periods indicated for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 as if we had completed the RiskMetrics acquisition as of the dates indicated:

	<u>Index and ESG</u>	<u>Risk Management Analytics</u>	<u>Portfolio Management Analytics</u>	<u>Energy and Commodity Analytics</u>	<u>Governance</u>	<u>Total</u>
<b>2010</b>						
Qtr Ended February 28, .....	94.5%	82.9%	94.3%	85.5%	74.2%	87.2%
Qtr Ended May 31, .....	92.9%	92.3%	86.3%	80.5%	86.0%	90.0%
Qtr Ended August 31, .....	91.2%	92.0%	86.8%	90.5%	86.4%	89.8%
Qtr Ended November 30, .....	92.4%	85.4%	71.2%	83.4%	86.3%	85.0%
Year Ended November 30, .....	92.7%	88.5%	84.7%	85.0%	83.3%	88.1%
<b>2009</b>						
Qtr Ended February 28, .....	94.0%	85.5%	87.8%	90.6%	73.0%	85.9%
Qtr Ended May 31, .....	93.1%	81.4%	83.7%	91.3%	84.6%	86.1%
Qtr Ended August 31, .....	91.2%	81.0%	70.5%	84.5%	85.4%	82.6%
Qtr Ended November 30, .....	89.1%	81.2%	78.4%	89.9%	78.7%	82.6%
Year Ended November 30, .....	91.9%	82.3%	80.1%	89.1%	80.4%	84.3%
<b>2008</b>						
Qtr Ended February 29, .....	97.9%	92.6%	96.6%	91.4%	87.0%	93.7%
Qtr Ended May 31, .....	94.4%	86.5%	92.4%	96.1%	90.1%	90.8%
Qtr Ended August 31, .....	95.9%	88.9%	92.4%	97.1%	86.5%	91.2%
Qtr Ended November 30, .....	89.4%	79.1%	80.3%	86.3%	83.9%	83.2%
Year Ended November 30, .....	94.4%	86.8%	90.4%	92.7%	86.9%	89.7%

The quarterly Retention Rates are calculated by annualizing the actual cancellations recorded during the quarter. This annualized cancellation figure is then divided by the subscription Run Rate at the beginning of the year to calculate a cancellation rate. This cancellation rate is then subtracted from 100% to derive the annualized Retention Rate for the quarter.

For example, in the fourth quarter of 2010, we recorded cancellations of \$25.5 million. To derive the Aggregate Retention Rate for the fourth quarter, we annualized the actual cancellations during the quarter of \$25.5 million to derive \$102.1 million of annualized cancellations. This \$102.1 million was then divided by the \$661.3 million subscription Run Rate at the beginning of the year, adjusted for the Measurisk acquisition, to derive a cancellation rate of 15.4%. The 15.4% was then subtracted from 100.0% to derive an Aggregate Retention Rate of 84.6% for the fourth quarter.

For the calculation of the Core Retention Rate the same methodology was used except the amount of cancellations in the quarter was reduced by the amount of product swaps. For example, in fourth quarter 2010 we had product swaps of \$0.8 million which was subtracted from the \$25.5 million of actual cancels to derive core cancels of \$24.7 million. This \$24.7 million was annualized to derive \$99.0 million of annualized cancellations which was then divided by the \$661.3 million subscription Run Rate at the beginning of the year, adjusted for the Measurisk acquisition, to derive a cancellation rate of 15.0%. The 15.0% was then subtracted from 100.0% to derive the Core Retention Rate of 85.0% for the fourth quarter.

Retention Rates for the year ended November 30, 2010 remained lower than pre-financial crisis peaks due to the recent adverse financial environment and increased competition which resulted in liquidations and consolidations, price pressures and declines in demand for discretionary financial research products. For the year ended November 30, 2010, 30.2% of our cancellations occurred in the fourth fiscal quarter. Historically, Retention Rates have generally been higher during the first three quarters and lower in the fourth fiscal quarter.

### *Expenses*

Compensation and benefits costs represent the majority of our expenses across all of our operating functions and typically have represented approximately 50% to 60% of our total operating expenses. These costs generally contribute to the majority of our expense increases from period to period, reflecting existing staff compensation and benefit increases and increased staffing levels. Employing individuals in our emerging market centers around the world is an important factor in our ability to manage and control the growth of our compensation and benefit costs. As of November 30, 2010, we had approximately 2,077 employees throughout the world, of which approximately 30.0% were located in emerging market centers.

We group our operating expenses into five categories:

- Cost of services,
- Selling, general and administrative (“SG&A”),
- Restructuring,
- Amortization of intangible assets, and
- Depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements.

In both the cost of services and SG&A expense categories, compensation and benefits represents the majority of our expenses. Other costs associated with the number of employees such as office space are included in both the cost of services and SG&A expense categories consistent with the allocation of employees to those respective areas.

### ***Cost of Services***

This category includes costs related to our research, data operations and technology, software engineering, product management and proxy research and voting functions. Costs in these areas include staff compensation and benefits, occupancy, market data fees, proxy voting fees, information technology and other miscellaneous costs. Prior to May 22, 2009, a portion of these costs were allocated to us by Morgan Stanley which was the controlling shareholder through that date. The largest expense in this category is compensation and benefits. As such, it generally contributes to a majority of our expense increases from period to period, reflecting compensation increases for current staff and increased staffing levels.

### ***Selling, General and Administrative***

This category includes compensation and benefits costs for our sales and marketing staff, and our finance, human resources, legal and compliance, information technology and corporate administration personnel. As with cost of services, the largest expense in this category is compensation and benefits. As such, it generally contributes to a majority of our expense increases from period to period, reflecting compensation increases for current staff and increased staffing levels. Other significant expenses were for occupancy, third party consulting costs and information technology. Prior to May 22, 2009, a portion of these costs were allocated to us by Morgan Stanley.

### ***Restructuring***

During the year ended November 30, 2010, MSCI's management approved, committed to and initiated a plan to restructure the Company's operations due to its acquisition of RiskMetrics. Restructuring includes expenses associated with the elimination of overlapping positions and duplicative occupancy costs, the termination of overlapping vendor contracts and the discontinuance of the planned integration of a product into RiskMetrics' standard product offering suite.

### ***Amortization of Intangible Assets***

Amortization of intangibles expense relates to the intangible assets arising from the acquisition of Barra in June 2004, RiskMetrics in June 2010 and Measurisk in July 2010. Our intangible assets consist primarily of technology and software, trademarks, client relationships and non-competition agreements. The intangible assets have useful lives ranging from one to 20 years.

### ***Depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements***

This category consists of expenses related to depreciating the cost of furniture and fixtures, computer and related equipment and leasehold improvements over the estimated useful life of the assets.

### ***Other Expense (Income), net***

This category consists primarily of interest we pay on our credit facilities, interest we collect on cash and short-term investments, foreign currency gains and losses, as well as other non-operating income and expense items.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). These accounting principles require us to make certain estimates and judgments that can affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the periods presented. We believe the estimates and judgments upon which we rely are reasonable based upon information

available to us at the time these estimates and judgments are made. To the extent there are material differences between these estimates and actual results, our consolidated financial statements will be affected. The accounting policies that reflect our more significant estimates and judgments and that we believe are the most critical to aid in fully understanding and evaluating our reported financial results include revenue recognition, research and development and software capitalization, allowance for doubtful accounts, tax contingencies, impairment of long-lived assets and accrued compensation. If different assumptions or conditions were to be utilized, the results could be materially different from our reported results.

### ***Revenue Recognition***

Revenue related to our non-software-related recurring arrangements is recognized pursuant to the requirements of Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Subtopic 605-25, “*Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*.” Under the provisions of ASC Subtopic 605-25, transactions with multiple elements should be considered separate units of accounting if all of the following criteria are met:

- The delivered item has stand-alone value to the client,
- There is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered item(s), and
- If the arrangement includes a general right of return, delivery or performance of the undelivered items is considered probable and substantially in the control of the vendor.

We have signed subscription agreements with all of our clients that set forth the fees paid to us by the clients. Further, we regularly assess the receivable balances for each client. Our subscription agreements for non-software-related products include provisions that, among other things, allow clients, for no additional fee, to receive updates and modifications that may be made from time to time, for the term of the agreement, typically one year. As we currently do not have objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered element of the transaction, we do not account for the delivered item as a separate element. Accordingly, we recognize revenue ratably over the term of the license agreement.

Our software-related recurring revenue arrangements do not require significant modification or customization of any underlying software applications being licensed. Accordingly, we recognize software revenues excluding the energy and commodity asset valuation analytics products, pursuant to the requirements of ASC Subtopic 985-605, “*Software-Revenue Recognition*.” In accordance with ASC Subtopic 985-605, we begin to recognize revenues from subscriptions, maintenance and client technical support, and professional services when all of the following criteria are met: (1) we have persuasive evidence of a legally binding arrangement, (2) delivery has occurred, (3) client fee is deemed fixed or determinable, and (4) collection is probable.

We have signed subscription agreements with all of our clients that set forth the fees paid to us by the clients. Further, we regularly assess the receivable balances for each client. Our subscription agreements for software products include provisions that, among other things, would allow clients to receive unspecified future software upgrades for no additional fee as well as the right to use the software products with maintenance for the term of the agreement, typically one year. As we do not have vendor specific objective evidence (“VSOE”) for these elements (except for the support related to energy and commodity asset valuation products), we do not account for these elements separately. Accordingly, except for revenues related to energy and commodity asset valuation products, we recognize revenue ratably over the term of the license agreement.

Our software license arrangements generally do not include acceptance provisions. Such provisions generally allow a client to test the software for a defined period of time before committing to license the software. If a license agreement includes an acceptance provision, we do not record subscription revenues until the earlier of the receipt of a written client acceptance or, if not notified by the client that it is cancelling the license agreement, the expiration of the acceptance period.

For our energy and commodity asset valuation analytics products, we use the residual method to recognize revenue when a product agreement includes one or more elements to be delivered at a future date and VSOE of the fair value if all undelivered elements exist. In virtually all of our contracts, the only element that remains undelivered at the time of delivery of the product is support. The fair value of support is determined based upon what the fees for the support are for clients who purchase support separately. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered element is deferred and the remaining portion of the contract fee is recognized as product revenue. Support fees for these products are recognized ratably over the support period.

We apply SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104 (“SAB 104”), “*Revenue Recognition*,” in determining revenue recognition related to clients that use our indices as the basis for certain index-linked investment products such as exchange traded funds or futures contracts. These clients commonly pay us a license fee for the use of our intellectual property based on the investment product’s assets under management or contract volumes. These fees are calculated based upon estimated assets in the investment product or contract volumes obtained either through independent third-party sources or the most recently reported information of the client.

We recognize revenue when all the following criteria are met:

- The client has signed a contract with us,
- The service has been rendered,
- The amount of the fee is fixed or determinable based on the terms of the contract, and
- Collectability is reasonably assured.

We have signed contracts with all clients that use our indices as the basis for certain index-linked investment products, such as exchange traded funds or futures contracts. The contracts state the terms under which these fees are to be calculated. These fees are billed in arrears, after the fees have been earned. The fees are earned as we supply the indices to the client. We assess the creditworthiness of these clients prior to entering into a contract and regularly review the receivable balances related to them.

### ***Research and Development and Software Capitalization***

We account for research and development costs in accordance with several accounting pronouncements, including ASC Subtopic 730-10, “*Research and Development*,” and ASC Subtopic 985-730, “*Software-Research and Development*.” ASC Subtopic 730-10 requires that research and development costs generally be expensed as incurred. ASC Subtopic 985-730 specifies that costs incurred in researching and developing a computer software product should be charged to expense until technological feasibility has been established for the product. Once technological feasibility is established, all software costs should be capitalized until the product is available for general release to clients. Judgment is required in determining when technological feasibility of a product is established. Costs incurred after technological feasibility is established have not been material, and accordingly, we have expensed all research and development costs when incurred. Research and development costs for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were approximately \$73.2 million, \$53.3 million and \$56.5 million, respectively.

### ***Share-Based Compensation***

Certain of our employees have received share-based compensation under certain compensation programs. Our compensation expense reflects the fair value method of accounting for share-based payments under ASC Subtopic 718-10, “*Compensation-Stock Compensation*.” ASC Subtopic 718-10 requires measurement of compensation cost for equity-based awards at fair value and recognition of compensation cost over the service period, net of estimated forfeitures. The fair value of MSCI restricted stock units is determined based on the number of units granted and the grant date fair value of MSCI common stock, measured as the closing price on the date of grant. The fair value of MSCI stock options is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model

and the single grant life method. Under the single grant life method, option awards with graded vesting are valued using a single weighted-average expected option life. Compensation for all stock-based payment awards is recognized using the graded vesting attribution method. MSCI reserved approximately 4.3 million class A common shares for outstanding vested and unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock awards assumed as part of the acquisition of RiskMetrics on June 1, 2010. The fair values of stock options assumed were estimated using a Hull-White Lattice option-pricing model. The Hull-White model is commonly used for estimating the fair value of in-the-money and out-of-the-money options, as it explicitly models the exercise behavior of option holders considering the amount by which each such grant is in- or out-of-the-money. The major assumptions utilized are the stock price, the remaining contractual term, the remaining time to vest, forfeiture behavior, dividend yield, the risk-free interest rate, expected volatility and the early exercise multiple.

Based on interpretive guidance related to Stock Compensation, our policy is to accrue the estimated cost of share-based awards that were granted to retirement-eligible employees over the course of the current year rather than expensing the awards on the date of grant.

### *Allowance for Doubtful Accounts*

An allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded when it is probable and estimable that a receivable will not be collected. The allowance for doubtful accounts was approximately \$1.0 million at November 30, 2010, \$0.8 million at November 30, 2009, and \$0.7 million at November 30, 2008. Changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts from November 30, 2008 to November 30, 2010 were as follows:

	<u>Amount</u> <u>(in thousands)</u>
Balance as of December 1, 2007 .....	\$1,584
Recovery of bad debt .....	(817)
Amounts written off .....	<u>(55)</u>
Balance as of November 30, 2008 .....	\$ 712
Addition to provision .....	977
Amounts written off .....	<u>(842)</u>
Balance as of November 30, 2009 .....	847
Addition to provision <sup>(1)</sup> .....	931
Amounts written off .....	<u>(765)</u>
Balance as of November 30, 2010 .....	<u>\$1,013</u>

(1) Includes an allowance of \$0.3 million assumed upon the acquisition of RiskMetrics on June 1, 2010.

### *Tax Contingencies*

Prior to May 2, 2008, we were a member of the Morgan Stanley consolidated group and our taxable income was included in the consolidated U.S. federal income tax return of Morgan Stanley as well as in returns filed by Morgan Stanley with certain state and local taxing jurisdictions. After May 2, 2008, upon the disposition by Morgan Stanley of a portion of its equity interest in us, we were no longer eligible to join in the filing of a consolidated federal income tax return with Morgan Stanley. We have filed and will continue to file our consolidated U.S. federal income tax return as a taxable group separate from Morgan Stanley. Our foreign income tax returns have been filed on a separate company basis. Our federal and foreign income tax liability has been computed and presented in the consolidated financial statements as if we were a separate taxpaying entity in the periods presented. The state and local liability presented in these statements reflects the fact that we are included in certain filings of Morgan Stanley through May 22, 2009, the date on which Morgan Stanley disposed of its remaining equity interest in us, and that our tax liability is affected by the attributions of the Morgan Stanley group. We continued to file certain state income tax returns with Morgan Stanley on a consolidated,

combined, or unitary basis under applicable state law through May 22, 2009. After May 22, 2009, we were no longer eligible for inclusion in any state or local consolidated, combined, or unitary return filed by Morgan Stanley and, from that date forward, we have been filing the relevant state income tax returns as a separate taxable group.

Although management believes that the judgments and estimates discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K are reasonable, actual results could differ, and we may be exposed to losses or gains that could be material. We regularly assess the likelihood of additional assessments in each of the taxing jurisdictions in which we are required to file income tax returns. We have recorded additional tax expense related to open tax years, which we believe is adequate in relation to the potential for assessments. These amounts have been recorded in other non-current liabilities on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. We believe the resolution of tax matters will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition. However, to the extent we are required to pay amounts in excess of our reserves, a resolution could have a material impact on our consolidated statement of income for a particular future period. In addition, an unfavorable tax settlement could require use of our cash and result in an increase in our effective tax rate in the period in which such resolution occurs.

### ***Goodwill***

Goodwill is recorded as part of our acquisitions of businesses when the purchase price exceeds the fair value of the net tangible and separately identifiable intangible assets acquired. The carrying amount of our goodwill is \$1,706.7 million primarily relating to the acquisitions of Barra, RiskMetrics and Measurisk. The goodwill is not amortized, but rather is subject to an impairment test each year, or more often if conditions indicate impairment may have occurred, pursuant to ASC Topic 350, “*Goodwill and Other Intangible.*” For the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, goodwill impairment was determined by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit with its book value. If the estimated fair value exceeds the book value, goodwill is not deemed to be impaired. If the estimated fair value is below book value, however, further analysis is required to determine the amount of the impairment. As the acquisitions of RiskMetrics and Measurisk occurred during the year ended November 30, 2010, no impairment test was performed related to the goodwill associated with these acquisitions. The fair value of the reporting units exceeded the book value in the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and, as a result, no impairment of the goodwill was recorded.

### ***Intangible Assets***

Intangible assets consist of those definite-lived intangibles from the acquisitions of Barra in June 2004, RiskMetrics in June 2010 and Measurisk in July 2010. The Company amortizes definite-lived intangible assets over their estimated useful lives. Definite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment annually or when impairment indicators are present, and, if impaired, written down to fair value based on either discounted cash flows or appraised values. No impairment of intangible assets has been identified during any of the periods presented. The Company has no indefinite-lived intangibles. The intangible assets have useful lives ranging from one to 20 years.

### ***Accrued Compensation***

We make significant estimates in determining our accrued non-stock based compensation and benefits expenses. A significant portion of our employee incentive compensation programs are discretionary. Each year end we determine the amount of discretionary cash bonus pools. We also review compensation and benefits expenses throughout the year to determine how overall performance compares to management’s expectations. We take these and other factors, including historical performance, into account in reviewing accrued discretionary cash compensation estimates quarterly and adjusting accrual rates as appropriate. Changes to these factors could cause a material increase or decrease in the amount of expense that we report in a particular period. Accrued non stock-based compensation and related benefits as of November 30, 2010 was \$93.4 million.



## Factors Affecting the Comparability of Results

The results of RiskMetrics and Measurisk were not included in our results of operations until their acquisition dates of June 1, 2010 and July 30, 2010, respectively. The RiskMetrics acquisition has had a significant impact on our results of operations and will affect the comparability of our results in the future.

### *Restructuring*

In connection with the acquisition of RiskMetrics, we initiated a plan to restructure the Company's operations to eliminate overlapping positions and duplicative occupancy costs, terminate overlapping vendor contracts, and discontinue the planned integration of a product into RiskMetrics' standard product offering suite. We initiated restructuring activities during the third quarter of 2010 and expect the elimination of overlapping positions to be substantially complete by the end of the first quarter of 2011 and the elimination of leases or vendor contracts is anticipated to be completed over the next 13 months. See "—Restructuring" below for information on restructuring-related activities and estimated costs.

The cumulative charges that we expect to incur in connection with the restructuring are subject to a number of assumptions, and actual results may differ significantly. We may also incur other charges not currently contemplated due to events that may occur as a result of, or associated with, the restructuring.

## **Results of Operations**

### *Year Ended November 30, 2010 Compared to Year Ended November 30, 2009*

	<b>For the Years Ended November 30,</b>		<b>Increase/(Decrease)</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>		
	<b>(in thousands, except per share data)</b>			
Operating revenues . . . . .	\$662,901	\$442,948	\$219,953	49.7%
Operating expenses:				
Cost of services . . . . .	198,626	118,665	79,961	67.4%
Selling, general and administrative . . . . .	190,244	135,780	54,464	40.1%
Restructuring . . . . .	8,896	—	8,896	n/a
Amortization of intangible assets . . . . .	41,599	25,554	16,045	62.8%
Depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements . . . . .	17,413	11,957	5,456	45.6%
Total operating expenses . . . . .	<u>456,778</u>	<u>291,956</u>	<u>164,822</u>	56.5%
Operating income . . . . .	206,123	150,992	55,131	36.5%
Other expense, net . . . . .	52,632	19,271	33,361	173.1%
Provision for income taxes . . . . .	61,321	49,920	11,401	22.8%
Net income . . . . .	<u>\$ 92,170</u>	<u>\$ 81,801</u>	<u>\$ 10,369</u>	12.7%
Earnings per basic common share . . . . .	<u>\$ 0.82</u>	<u>\$ 0.80</u>	<u>\$ 0.02</u>	2.5%
Earnings per diluted common share . . . . .	<u>\$ 0.81</u>	<u>\$ 0.80</u>	<u>\$ 0.01</u>	1.3%
Operating margin . . . . .	<u>31.1%</u>	<u>34.1%</u>		

## Operating Revenues

	For the Years Ended November 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2010	2009		
	(in thousands)			
Index and ESG:				
Subscriptions . . . . .	\$224,600	\$188,531	\$ 36,069	19.1%
Asset based fees . . . . .	105,799	71,966	33,833	47.0%
Total index and ESG . . . . .	330,399	260,497	69,902	26.8%
Risk management analytics . . . . .	134,521	37,656	96,865	257.2%
Portfolio management analytics . . . . .	123,159	129,270	(6,111)	(4.7%)
Energy & commodity analytics . . . . .	16,228	15,525	703	4.5%
Governance . . . . .	58,594	—	58,594	n/a
Total operating revenues . . . . .	<u>\$662,901</u>	<u>\$442,948</u>	<u>\$219,953</u>	49.7%

Total operating revenues for the year ended November 30, 2010 increased \$220.0 million, or 49.7%, to \$662.9 million compared to \$442.9 million for the year ended November 30, 2009. Approximately \$156.7 million of the growth was comprised of revenues contributed by the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010. The remaining \$63.2 million of growth was comprised of increases in asset based fees and subscription revenues of \$33.8 million and \$29.4 million, respectively. Subscription revenues consist of our revenues related to index and ESG subscriptions, risk management analytics, portfolio management analytics, energy and commodity analytics and governance products. Our revenues are impacted by changes in exchange rates primarily as they relate to the U.S. dollar. Had the U.S. dollar not strengthened relative to exchange rates at the beginning of the year, our revenues for the year ended November 30, 2010 would have been higher by \$8.6 million.

Our index and ESG products primarily consist of equity index subscriptions, equity index asset based fees products and ESG products. Revenues related to index and ESG products increased \$69.9 million, or 26.8%, to \$330.4 million for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to \$260.5 million in the same period in 2009.

Revenues from the index and ESG subscriptions sub-category were up \$36.1 million, or 19.1%, to \$224.6 million for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to \$188.5 million in the same period in 2009. Approximately \$9.3 million of the growth was comprised of revenues contributed by the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010. The remaining \$26.8 million was attributable to growth primarily in our core benchmark indices.

Revenues attributable to the index asset based fees products sub-category increased \$33.8 million, or 47.0%, to \$105.8 million for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to \$72.0 million in the same period in 2009. The growth was primarily attributable to the growth in the average value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI equity indices. The average value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI equity indices in the aggregate increased 58.7% to \$261.1 billion for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to \$164.6 billion for the year ended November 30, 2009. As of November 30, 2010, the value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI equity indices was \$311.0 billion, representing an increase of 32.8% from \$234.2 billion as of November 30, 2009.

The three MSCI indices with the largest amount of ETF assets linked to them as of November 30, 2010 were the MSCI Emerging Markets, EAFE and U.S. Broad Market Indices with \$102.7 billion, \$39.4 billion and \$15.6 billion in assets, respectively.

To conform to industry standards, we have changed our ETF assets under management calculation methodology from ETF price multiplied by the number of shares outstanding to ETF net asset value multiplied by the number of shares outstanding. The cash inflow/outflow figures are based on the change in the shares

outstanding between the periods. The numbers in the tables are presented on this basis beginning with the February 2010 quarter. Periods prior to the February 2010 quarter have not been restated and are therefore not comparable. The following table sets forth the value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI indices and the sequential change of such assets as of the periods indicated:

	Quarter Ended							
	2010				2009			
	November 30,	August 31,	May 31,	February 28,	November 30,	August 31,	May 31,	February 28,
	(amounts in billions)							
AUM in ETFs linked to MSCI Indices . . . . .	\$311.0	\$258.7	\$238.1	\$233.5	\$234.2	\$199.2	\$175.9	\$107.8
Sequential Change in Value								
Market Appreciation/								
(Depreciation) . . . . .	\$ 28.2	\$ 6.8	\$ (4.4)	\$ (8.6)	\$ 18.0	\$ 20.1	\$ 42.2	\$ (13.6)
Cash Inflow/(Outflow) . . . . .	24.1	13.8	9.0	8.3	17.0	3.2	25.9	2.4
Total Change . . . . .	<u>\$ 52.3</u>	<u>\$ 20.6</u>	<u>\$ 4.6</u>	<u>\$ (0.3)</u>	<u>\$ 35.0</u>	<u>\$ 23.3</u>	<u>\$ 68.1</u>	<u>\$ (11.2)</u>

Source: Bloomberg and MSCI

The following table sets forth the average value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI indices for the quarters ended in the months indicated:

	Quarterly Average							
	2010				2009			
	November 30,	August 31,	May 31,	February 28,	November 30,	August 31,	May 31,	February 28,
	(amounts in billions)							
AUM in ETFs linked to MSCI Indices . . . . .	\$300.7	\$252.0	\$252.3	\$239.3	\$216.8	\$180.3	\$134.7	\$126.4

Source: Bloomberg and MSCI

The historical values of the assets in ETFs linked to our indices as of the last day of the month and the monthly average balance can be found under the link “AUM in ETFs Linked to MSCI Indices” on our website at <http://ir.msci.com>. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any other report filed with the SEC.

Revenues related to risk management analytics products increased \$96.9 million, or 257.2%, to \$134.5 million for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to \$37.7 million in the same period in 2009. Approximately \$88.9 million of the growth was comprised of revenues contributed by the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010. The remaining \$8.0 million of organic growth primarily reflects an increase of \$8.4 million, or 28.9%, to \$37.3 million in BarraOne and an increase of \$0.6 million, or 26.5%, to \$2.7 million in our performance attribution analytics product, partially offset by a decrease of \$0.9 million, or 14.2%, to \$5.7 million in TotalRisk as we continue to decommission and transition customers to BarraOne.

Our portfolio management analytics products consist of equity portfolio analytics tools and fixed income portfolio analytics tools. Revenues related to portfolio management analytics products decreased \$6.1 million, or 4.7%, to \$123.2 million for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to \$129.3 million in the same period in 2009. Within the portfolio management analytics products, equity portfolio analytics tools decreased \$5.3 million to \$117.9 and fixed income analytics tools decreased \$0.8 million to \$5.3 million.

Our energy and commodity analytics products consist of software applications which help users value, model and hedge physical assets and derivatives across a number of market segments including energy and commodity assets. Revenues from energy and commodity analytics products increased \$0.7 million, or 4.5%, to \$16.2 million for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to \$15.5 million in the same period in 2009.

Our governance products consist of institutional governance including proxy research, recommendation and voting services, corporate governance including advisory and compensation services and Financial Research and Analysis (“FRA”) services including forensic accounting research and services to aid institutional investors in the recovery of funds from securities litigation. For the year ended November 30, 2010, our governance products contributed \$58.6 million to our revenues. The governance product line was acquired with our purchase of RiskMetrics on June 1, 2010 and had no effect on our results of operations prior to that date.

### *Operating Expenses*

Operating expenses increased \$164.8 million, or 56.5%, to \$456.8 million for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to \$292.0 million in the same period in 2009. Approximately \$134.7 million of the increase was comprised of expenses contributed by the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010. The remaining \$30.1 million increase primarily reflects \$21.2 million in transaction costs associated with the acquisition of RiskMetrics, higher compensation and non-compensation costs, expenses we incurred in the year ended November 30, 2010 to restructure our operations after our acquisition of RiskMetrics and higher depreciation expense partially offset by reduced amortization of our intangible assets associated with the Barra acquisition. Our operating expenses are impacted by changes in exchange rates primarily as they relate to the U.S. dollar. Using exchange rates for the same period of the prior year, our operating expense in the year ended November 30, 2010 would have been higher by \$5.9 million had the U.S. dollar not strengthened relative to the prior year.

The following table shows operating expenses by each of the categories:

	<b>Years Ended November 30,</b>		<b>Increase/(Decrease)</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>		
	<b>(in thousands)</b>			
Cost of services:				
Compensation and benefits . . . . .	\$147,124	\$ 87,672	\$ 59,452	67.8%
Non-compensation expenses . . . . .	<u>51,502</u>	<u>30,993</u>	<u>20,509</u>	<u>66.2%</u>
Total cost of services . . . . .	198,626	118,665	79,961	67.4%
Selling, general and administrative:				
Compensation and benefits . . . . .	109,871	92,798	17,073	18.4%
Non-compensation expenses . . . . .	<u>80,373</u>	<u>42,982</u>	<u>37,391</u>	<u>87.0%</u>
Total selling, general and administrative . . . . .	190,244	135,780	54,464	40.1%
Restructuring . . . . .	8,896	—	8,896	n/a
Amortization of intangible assets . . . . .	41,599	25,554	16,045	62.8%
Depreciation of property, equipment and leasehold improvements . . . . .	<u>17,413</u>	<u>11,957</u>	<u>5,456</u>	<u>45.6%</u>
Total operating expenses . . . . .	<u>\$456,778</u>	<u>\$291,956</u>	<u>\$164,822</u>	<u>56.5%</u>
Compensation and benefits . . . . .	\$256,995	\$180,470	\$ 76,525	42.4%
Non-compensation expenses . . . . .	131,875	73,975	57,900	78.3%
Restructuring . . . . .	8,896	—	8,896	n/a
Amortization of intangible assets . . . . .	41,599	25,554	16,045	62.8%
Depreciation of property, equipment and leasehold improvements . . . . .	<u>17,413</u>	<u>11,957</u>	<u>5,456</u>	<u>45.6%</u>
Total operating expenses . . . . .	<u>\$456,778</u>	<u>\$291,956</u>	<u>\$164,822</u>	<u>56.5%</u>

Compensation and benefits expenses represent the majority of our expenses across all of our operating functions and have typically represented approximately 50% to 60% of our total operating expenses. These costs generally contribute to the majority of our expense increases from period to period, reflecting increased compensation and benefits expenses for current staff and increased staffing levels. Continued growth of our

emerging market centers around the world is an important factor in our ability to manage and control the growth of our compensation and benefit expenses. As of November 30, 2010, the number of employees increased 1,199 to 2,077 from 878 on November 30, 2009. Approximately 89.7% of the increase was attributable to employees who joined the Company as part of the RiskMetrics and Measurisk acquisitions. As of November 30, 2010, approximately 69.2% and 30.8% of our employees perform duties attributable to the cost of services and SG&A categories, respectively. During the year ended November 30, 2010, we continued to manage the compensation and benefits expenses through the hiring of staff in emerging market centers. As of November 30, 2010, approximately 30.0% of our employees were located in emerging market centers.

In the year ended November 30, 2010, compensation and benefits costs were \$257.0 million, an increase of \$76.5 million, or 42.4%, compared to \$180.5 million in the same period in 2009. Approximately \$73.4 million of the increase was comprised of expenses contributed by the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010. The remaining \$3.1 million primarily reflects \$15.0 million of increased costs related to current staff and increased staffing levels partially offset by \$11.7 million of decreased stock based compensation costs.

Stock based compensation expense for the year ended November 30, 2010 was \$30.7 million, a decrease of 12.2% compared to \$34.9 million in same period of 2009. The decrease was comprised primarily of lower expenses associated with the founders grant award and the amortization of restricted stock units associated with the 2008 annual bonus partially offset by the expense associated with the performance award granted in June 2010 to certain of our employees, the amortization of awards assumed upon the acquisition of RiskMetrics and amortization of restricted stock units granted as a component of the 2009 annual bonus awards. Approximately \$8.2 million and \$26.7 million of the stock based compensation expense was related to the founders grant award for the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The decrease in the expense related to the founders grant award is primarily attributable to the vestings of the award. Approximately \$4.2 million of the stock based compensation expense for the year ended November 30, 2010 was related to the performance award granted in June 2010.

Non-compensation expenses for the year ended November 30, 2010 was \$131.9 million, an increase of \$57.9 million, or 78.3%, compared to \$74.0 million in the same period of 2009. Approximately \$26.2 million of the increase was comprised of expenses contributed by the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010. The remaining \$31.7 million increase reflects \$21.2 million in costs related to acquire RiskMetrics as well as increased third party consulting, travel and entertainment, information technology, occupancy, recruiting, other tax and license fees and market data costs of \$12.3 million. The increases were partially offset by a \$1.8 million year over year decrease in costs resulting from the elimination of costs allocated by Morgan Stanley following our May 22, 2009 separation.

### *Cost of Services*

Cost of services includes costs related to our research, data operations and technology, software engineering and product management and proxy research and voting functions. Compensation and benefits generally contribute to a majority of our expense increases from period to period, reflecting increases for existing staff and increased staffing levels. For the year ended November 30, 2010, total cost of services expenses increased 67.4% to \$198.6 million compared to \$118.7 million for the year ended November 30, 2009. Approximately \$74.7 million of the increase was comprised of expenses contributed by the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010. The remaining \$5.3 million increase was largely due to an increase in compensation and benefits, travel and entertainment and market data costs partially offset by lower information technology costs.

Compensation and benefits expenses for the year ended November 30, 2010 increased \$59.5 million to \$147.1 million compared to \$87.7 million for the year ended November 30, 2009. Approximately \$55.0 million of the increase was the result of the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010. The remaining \$4.5 million increase was largely due to the cost associated with increased staffing levels partially offset by lower share-based compensation and employee benefit and severance costs.

Non-compensation expenses for the year ended November 30, 2010 increased approximately \$20.5 million to \$51.5 million compared to \$31.0 million for the year ended November 30, 2009. Approximately \$19.7 million of the increase was the result of the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010. The remaining \$0.8 million increase was largely due to increased travel and entertainment and market data costs partially offset by lower information technology costs.

Our cost of services expenses are impacted by changes in exchange rates primarily as they relate to the U.S. dollar. Had the U.S. dollar not strengthened relative to exchange rates at the beginning of the year, our cost of services for the year ended November 30, 2010 would have been higher by \$2.4 million.

### ***Selling, General and Administrative***

SG&A includes expenses for our sales and marketing staff, and our finance, human resources, legal and compliance, information technology infrastructure, corporate administration personnel. As with cost of services, the largest expense in this category relates to compensation and benefits. Other significant expenses are for occupancy costs, consulting services and information technology costs. SG&A expenses increased 40.1% to \$190.2 million for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to \$135.8 million for the year ended November 30, 2009. Approximately \$25.0 million of the increase was the result of the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010.

Compensation and benefits expenses increased \$17.1 million, or 18.4%, to \$109.9 million for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to \$92.8 million for the same period in 2009. Approximately \$18.4 million of the increase was the result of the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010. The remaining \$1.3 million decrease was largely due to decreased share-based compensation and employee benefit and severance costs partially offset by increased costs associated with current staff and increased staffing levels.

Non-compensation expenses for the year ended November 30, 2010 increased approximately \$37.4 million to \$80.4 million compared to \$43.0 million for the year ended November 30, 2009. Approximately \$6.6 million of the increase was the result of the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010. The remaining \$30.8 million increase was largely due to \$21.2 million in costs related to the acquisition of RiskMetrics as well as increased third party consulting, information technology, occupancy, recruiting, travel and entertainment and other tax and license fees of \$10.9 million. The increases were partially offset by a \$1.4 million year over year decrease in costs resulting from the elimination of costs allocated by Morgan Stanley following our separation on May 22, 2009.

Our SG&A expenses are impacted by changes in exchange rates primarily as they relate to the U.S. dollar. Had the U.S. dollar not strengthened relative to exchange rates at the beginning of the year, our SG&A expenses for the year ended November 30, 2010 would have been higher by \$2.9 million.

Within SG&A, selling expenses increased 37.0% to \$67.9 million and general and administrative expenses increased 41.9% to \$122.3 million for the year ended November 30, 2010.

### ***Restructuring***

During the year ended November 30, 2010, MSCI's management approved, committed to and initiated a plan to restructure the Company's operations due to its acquisition of RiskMetrics. Restructuring expense of \$8.9 million, consisting of approximately \$6.6 million of expense associated with the elimination of overlapping positions, \$1.3 million of expense associated with duplicative occupancy costs and \$1.0 million of expense associated with the discontinuance of the planned integration of a product into RiskMetrics' standard product offering suite, was recognized during the year ended November 30, 2010.

### ***Amortization of Intangibles***

Amortization of intangibles expense relates to the intangible assets arising from the acquisition of Barra in June 2004, RiskMetrics in June 2010 and Measurisk in July 2010. For the year ended November 30, 2010, amortization of intangibles expense totaled \$41.6 million compared to \$25.6 million for the year ended November 30, 2009. The increase of \$16.0 million consisted of \$24.5 million of increased amortization associated with the intangible assets acquired in the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010 partially offset by \$8.5 million of decreased amortization associated with the acquisition of Barra. The decrease was because a portion of those intangible assets became fully amortized at the end of fiscal 2009.

### ***Depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements***

For the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements totaled \$17.4 million and \$12.0 million, respectively. Approximately \$3.4 million of the increase is the result of depreciating property, equipment and leasehold improvements acquired as a result of the acquisitions made during the year ended November 30, 2010.

### ***Other Expense (Income), Net***

Other expense (income), net for the year ended November 30, 2010 was \$52.6 million, an increase of \$33.4 million compared to the year ended November 30, 2009. Approximately \$31.6 million of the change reflects increased interest expense resulting from the \$1,275.0 million term loan we assumed as part of our acquisition of RiskMetrics and interest expense associated with the accelerated amortization of deferred financing and debt discount costs as a result of our termination of our former term loans was recognized during the year ended November 30, 2010. The remaining \$1.8 million increase primarily reflects \$2.6 million of increased foreign exchange losses partially offset by \$1.0 million of increased miscellaneous non-operating income recognized during the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to the same period of 2009.

### ***Income Taxes***

The provision for income tax expense was \$61.3 million for the year ended November 30, 2010, an increase of \$11.4 million, or 22.8%, compared to \$49.9 million for the same period in 2009. Our effective tax rate was 40.0% for the year ended November 30, 2010 and reflects the impact of the RiskMetrics acquisition costs, some of which were not tax deductible and increased our effective tax rate by approximately 2.6%. Our effective tax rate was 37.9% for the year ended November 30, 2009.

## Segment Results of Operations

The results of operations by segment for the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009 are as follows:

	Year Ended November 30, 2010			Year Ended November 30, 2009		
	Performance and Risk	Governance	Total	Performance and Risk	Governance	Total
<b>Operating revenues</b> . . . . .	\$604,307	\$58,594	\$662,901	\$442,948	\$—	\$442,948
Cost of services . . . . .	165,623	33,003	198,626	118,665	—	118,665
Selling, general and administrative . . . . .	180,614	9,630	190,244	135,780	—	135,780
Restructuring . . . . .	6,673	2,223	8,896	—	—	—
Amortization of intangible assets . . . . .	34,899	6,700	41,599	25,554	—	25,554
Depreciation expense . . . . .	16,129	1,284	17,413	11,957	—	11,957
<b>Total operating expenses</b> . . . . .	<u>403,938</u>	<u>52,840</u>	<u>456,778</u>	<u>291,956</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>291,956</u>
<b>Operating income</b> . . . . .	200,369	5,754	206,123	150,992	—	150,992
<b>Other expense (income), net</b> . . . . .			<u>52,632</u>			<u>19,271</u>
Income before provision for income taxes . . . . .			153,491			131,721
Provision for income taxes . . . . .			<u>61,321</u>			<u>49,920</u>
<b>Net income</b> . . . . .			<u>\$ 92,170</u>			<u>\$ 81,801</u>



## Results of Operations

### Year Ended November 30, 2009 Compared to Year Ended November 30, 2008

	For the Years Ended November 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2009	2008	(in thousands, except per share data)	
Operating revenues	\$442,948	\$430,961	\$11,987	2.8%
Operating expenses:				
Cost of services	118,665	123,390	(4,725)	(3.8%)
Selling, general and administrative	135,780	138,311	(2,531)	(1.8%)
Amortization of intangible assets	25,554	28,500	(2,946)	(10.3%)
Depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements	11,957	4,970	6,987	140.6%
Total operating expenses	291,956	295,171	(3,215)	(1.1%)
Operating income	150,992	135,790	15,202	11.2%
Other expense, net	19,271	26,147	(6,876)	(26.3%)
Provision for income taxes	49,920	41,375	8,545	20.7%
Net income	\$ 81,801	\$ 68,268	\$13,533	19.8%
Earnings per basic common share	\$ 0.81	\$ 0.68	\$ 0.13	19.1%
Earnings per diluted common share	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.67	\$ 0.13	19.4%
Operating margin	34.1%	31.5%		

### Operating Revenues

	For the Years Ended November 30,		Increase/(Decrease)	
	2009	2008	(in thousands)	
Index and ESG:				
Subscriptions	\$188,531	\$170,326	\$18,205	10.7%
Asset based fees	71,966	72,131	(165)	(0.2%)
Total index and ESG	260,497	242,457	18,040	7.4%
Risk management analytics	37,656	34,873	2,783	8.0%
Portfolio management analytics	129,270	139,024	(9,754)	(7.0%)
Energy & commodity analytics	15,525	14,607	918	6.3%
Total operating revenues	\$442,948	\$430,961	\$11,987	2.8%

The revision of our product categories has been incorporated into the presentation of our operating revenues for the fiscal years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008. Total operating revenues for the year ended November 30, 2009 increased 2.8% to \$442.9 million compared to \$431.0 million for the year ended November 30, 2008. The increase was comprised of a \$12.2 million increase in subscription revenues and a \$0.2 million decrease in asset based fees. Subscription revenues consist of our revenues related to index and ESG subscriptions, risk management analytics, portfolio management analytics, energy and commodity analytics and governance products. Our revenues are impacted by changes in exchange rates primarily as they related to the U.S. dollar. Had the U.S. dollar not strengthened relative to the beginning of the year, our revenues for the year ended November 30, 2009 would have been higher by \$1.4 million.

Revenues related to index and ESG products increased \$18.0 million, or 7.4%, to \$260.5 million for the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$242.5 million in the same period in 2008. Revenues from the index and ESG subscriptions sub-category were up \$18.2 million, or 10.7%, to \$188.5 million for the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$170.3 million in the same period in 2008. The growth was primarily attributable to our benchmark products.

Revenues attributable to index asset based fees sub-category slightly declined by 0.2% to \$71.9 million in the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$72.1 million in the same period in 2008. Growth in the total number of listed ETFs from 167 at November 30, 2008 to 268 at November 30, 2009 helped us offset the modest decline in the average value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI equity indices of 3.3%, decreasing from \$164.5 billion for the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$170.2 billion for the same period in 2008. As of November 30, 2009, the value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI equity indices was \$234.2 billion, representing an increase of \$115.2 billion, or 96.8%, from \$119.0 billion as of November 30, 2008. We estimate that the year-over-year increase in the value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI equity indices was attributable to net asset appreciation of \$66.7 billion and net asset inflows of \$48.5 billion.

The two MSCI indices with the largest amount of ETF assets linked to them as of November 30, 2009 were the MSCI Emerging Markets and MSCI EAFE Indices. The values of assets linked to these indices were \$63.3 billion and \$39.6 billion, respectively. The third largest value of assets linked to MSCI indices was \$12.9 billion for both the MSCI U.S. Broad Market and Brazil Indices.

The following table sets forth the value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI indices and the sequential change of such assets as of the periods indicated:

	Quarter Ended							
	2009				2008			
	November 30,	August 31,	May 31,	February 28,	November 30,	August 31,	May 31,	February 29,
	(amounts in billions)							
AUM in ETFs linked to MSCI Indices . . . . .	\$234.2	\$199.2	\$175.9	\$107.8	\$119.0	\$166.3	\$199.6	\$179.2
Sequential Change in Value								
Market Appreciation/ (Depreciation) . . . . .	\$ 18.0	\$ 20.1	\$ 42.2	\$ (13.6)	\$ (63.2)	\$ (31.2)	\$ 9.9	\$ (15.2)
Cash								
Inflow/(Outflow) . . . . .	17.0	3.2	25.9	2.4	15.9	(2.1)	10.5	2.7
Total Change . . . . .	<u>\$ 35.0</u>	<u>\$ 23.3</u>	<u>\$ 68.1</u>	<u>\$ (11.2)</u>	<u>\$ (47.3)</u>	<u>\$ (33.3)</u>	<u>\$ 20.4</u>	<u>\$ (12.5)</u>

Source: Bloomberg and MSCI

The following table sets forth the average value of assets in ETFs linked to MSCI indices for the quarters ended in the months indicated:

	Quarterly Average							
	2009				2008			
	November 30,	August 31,	May 31,	February 28,	November 30,	August 31,	May 31,	February 28,
	(amounts in billions)							
AUM in ETFs linked to MSCI Indices . . . . .	\$216.8	\$180.3	\$134.7	\$126.4	\$134.9	\$178.3	\$184.4	\$183.2

Source: Bloomberg and MSCI

Revenues related to risk management analytics increased \$2.8 million, or 8.0%, to \$37.7 million for the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$34.9 million in the same period of 2008. This reflects an increase of \$4.8 million, or 19.0%, to \$29.7 million for BarraOne and a decrease of \$2.0 million, or 19.9%, to \$7.9 million for TotalRisk. TotalRisk is a product which is being decommissioned with its existing users being given the opportunity to transition to BarraOne. Revenues in this category rose in all client types except for hedge funds.

Revenues related to portfolio management analytics products declined 7.0% to \$129.3 million for the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$139.0 million in the same period in 2008. This decrease reflects lower levels of new subscriptions and lower Retention Rates. Within the portfolio management analytics products, equity portfolio analytics tools decreased \$9.1 million to \$123.2 and fixed income analytics tools decreased \$0.7 million to \$6.1 million. The difficult economic environment affected a number of our clients and led to lower Retention Rates than in the recent past.

Revenues from energy and commodity analytics products increased \$0.9 million, or 6.3%, to \$15.5 million for the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$14.6 million in the same period in 2008.

### *Operating Expenses*

Operating expenses decreased 1.1% to \$292.0 million in the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$295.2 million in the year ended November 30, 2008. The decrease reflects lower staff costs allocated from Morgan Stanley, reduced third party consulting costs, as well as reduced amortization of our intangible assets, partially offset by increases in costs for compensation and benefits, depreciation, market data and insurance. Our operating expenses are impacted by changes in exchange rates primarily as they relate to the U.S. dollar. Using exchange rates for the same period of the prior year, our operating expense in the year ended November 30, 2009 would have been higher by \$9.4 million had the U.S. dollar not strengthened relative to the prior year.

The following table sets forth the compensation and benefits and non-compensation expenses for the periods indicated:

	<b>For the Year Ended November 30,</b>		<b>Increase/(Decrease)</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>		
	(in thousands)			
Compensation and benefits expenses .....	\$180,470	\$170,036	\$ 10,434	6.1%
Non-compensation expenses .....	111,486	125,135	(13,649)	(10.9%)
Total operating expenses .....	<u>\$291,956</u>	<u>\$295,171</u>	<u>\$ (3,215)</u>	(1.1%)

Compensation and benefits expenses represent the majority of our expenses across all of our operating functions and have typically represented approximately 50% to 60% of our total operating expenses. These costs generally contribute to the majority of our expense increases from period to period, reflecting increased compensation and benefits expenses for current staff and increased staffing levels. Continued growth of our emerging market centers around the world is an important factor in our ability to manage and control the growth of our compensation and benefit expenses. As of November 30, 2009, the number of employees increased 112 to 878 from 766 on November 30, 2008. As of November 30, 2009, approximately 54.0% and 46.0% of our employees perform duties attributable to the cost of services and SG&A categories, respectively. During the year ended November 30, 2009, we continued to increase our staff in emerging market centers. As of November 30, 2009, approximately 43.1% of our employees were located in emerging market centers compared to 27.9% as of November 30, 2008.

In the year ended November 30, 2009, compensation and benefits costs were \$180.5 million, an increase of 6.1% compared to \$170.0 million in the same period of 2008. The increase reflects \$5.1 million in stock based compensation costs, \$2.9 million in costs related to current staff and increased staffing levels, \$1.3 million in costs associated with employee separation agreements and \$1.1 million in retirement benefit costs.

Stock based compensation expense for the year ended November 30, 2009 was \$34.9 million compared to \$29.8 million in the same period in 2008. For the year ended November 30, 2009, stock based compensation consisted of \$26.6 million for founders grant, \$5.2 million for retirement eligible employees and \$3.1 million for restricted stock units granted as a component of the 2008 annual bonus. For the year ended November 30, 2008, stock based compensation consisted of \$25.6 million for founders grant and \$4.2 million for retirement eligible employees. The increase in the expense related to the founders grant is primarily attributable to accelerated vesting of awards for certain terminated employees and adjustments to the estimated rates of forfeiture. In the year ended November 30, 2008, there was no non-full-career stock based compensation expense associated with the 2008 annual bonus. In November 2009, the first tranche of the founders grant award, representing 50% of the value of the award, vested. As a result, stock based compensation expense associated with the founders grant will decrease in the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2011.

Non-compensation expense for the year ended November 30, 2009 was \$111.5 million compared to \$125.1 million for the year ended November 30, 2008. The decrease reflects \$16.5 million related to lower staff costs allocated from Morgan Stanley, \$4.4 million less in costs incurred for third party consulting, and a \$2.9 million reduction in amortization of intangible assets. These decreases were partially offset by higher depreciation expense of \$7.0 million related to capital expenditures made to operate as an independent company as well as higher market data and insurance costs of \$3.6 million. Other significant components of our expense base include information technology costs, telecommunications services and occupancy costs.

The following table shows operating expenses by each of the categories:

	<b>For the Years Ended November 30,</b>		<b>Increase(Decrease)</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>		
	(in thousands)			
Cost of services:				
Compensation and benefits expenses . . . . .	\$ 87,672	\$ 83,480	\$ 4,192	5.0%
Non-compensation expenses . . . . .	<u>30,993</u>	<u>39,910</u>	<u>(8,917)</u>	(22.3%)
Total cost of services . . . . .	<u>118,665</u>	<u>123,390</u>	<u>(4,725)</u>	(3.8%)
Selling, general and administrative:				
Compensation and benefits expenses . . . . .	92,798	86,556	6,242	7.2%
Non-compensation expenses . . . . .	<u>42,982</u>	<u>51,755</u>	<u>(8,773)</u>	(17.0%)
Total selling, general and administrative . . . . .	<u>135,780</u>	<u>138,311</u>	<u>(2,531)</u>	(1.8%)
Amortization of intangible assets . . . . .	25,554	28,500	(2,946)	(10.3%)
Depreciation of property, equipment and leasehold improvements . . . . .	<u>11,957</u>	<u>4,970</u>	<u>6,987</u>	140.6%
Total operating expenses . . . . .	<u>\$291,956</u>	<u>\$295,171</u>	<u>\$(3,215)</u>	(1.1%)

***Cost of Services***

Cost of services includes costs related to our research, data operations and technology, software engineering and product management functions. Costs in these areas include staff compensation and benefits, occupancy costs, market data fees, information technology services and, for the period prior to May 22, 2009, costs allocated by Morgan Stanley. Compensation and benefits generally contribute to a majority of our expense increases from period to period, reflecting increases for existing staff and increased staffing levels. Cost of services decreased \$4.7 million, or 3.8%, to \$118.7 million in the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$123.4 million in the year ended November 30, 2008. Our cost of services expenses are impacted by changes in exchange rates primarily as they relate to the U.S. dollar. Using exchange rates for the same period of the prior year, our cost of services in the year ended November 30, 2009 would have been higher by \$4.1 million had the U.S. dollar not strengthened relative to the prior year.

Compensation and benefit costs increased 5.0% to \$87.7 million in the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$83.5 million in the year ended November 30, 2008. The change primarily reflects \$2.2 million in higher stock based compensation costs, as previously discussed, \$1.5 million in costs associated with employee separation agreements, and \$0.7 million in retirement benefit costs. Non-compensation expenses decreased \$8.9 million or 22.3%, to \$31.0 million in the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$39.9 million in the same period of 2008 largely due to the lower staff costs allocated from Morgan Stanley and decreased information technology costs offset, in part, by increased market data costs.

#### ***Selling, General and Administrative***

SG&A includes expenses for our sales and marketing staff, and our finance, human resources, legal and compliance, information technology infrastructure, corporate administration personnel and, for the period prior to May 22, 2009, staff costs allocated from Morgan Stanley. As with cost of services, the largest expense in this category relates to compensation and benefits. Other significant expenses are for occupancy costs, consulting services and information technology costs. For the year ended November 30, 2009, total SG&A expenses were \$135.8 million, a decrease of \$2.5 million, or 1.8%, from \$138.3 million in the same period in 2008. Our SG&A expenses are impacted by changes in exchange rates primarily as they relate to the U.S. dollar. Using exchange rates for the same period of the prior year, our SG&A expenses in the year ended November 30, 2009 would have been higher by \$4.9 million had the U.S. dollar not strengthened relative to the prior year.

Compensation and benefits expenses increased 7.2% to \$92.8 million in the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$86.6 million in the year ended November 30, 2008. The increase reflects \$3.5 million in costs associated with current staff and increased staffing levels and \$2.9 million in higher stock based compensation costs, as previously discussed. Non-compensation expenses decreased 17.0% to \$43.0 million in the year ended November 30, 2009 compared to \$51.8 million in the same period in 2008. The decline is largely due to lower costs allocated by Morgan Stanley and the reduction of third party consulting costs offset, in part, by increases in bad debt expenses and insurance costs.

Within SG&A, selling expenses increased 2.3% to \$49.6 million and general and administrative expenses decreased 4.3% to \$86.2 million for the year ended November 30, 2009.

#### ***Amortization of Intangibles***

In the year ended November 30, 2009, amortization expense totaled \$25.6 million compared to \$28.5 million in the year ended November 30, 2008. A portion of the intangible assets became fully amortized during fiscal 2008, resulting in the decrease of \$2.9 million, or 10.3%, in the year ended November 30, 2009. (See Note 10, "Intangible Assets," for further information.)

#### ***Depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements***

In the year ended November 30, 2009 and 2008, depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements totaled \$12.0 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. The increase of \$7.0 million principally relates to greater depreciation and amortization of the property, equipment and leasehold improvements purchased to operate as an independent company.

#### ***Other Expense (Income), Net***

In the year ended November 30, 2009, other expense (income), net decreased 26.3% to an expense of \$19.3 million compared to an expense of \$26.1 million in the year ended November 30, 2008. The change was primarily due to a \$7.2 million decrease in interest expense due to lower average outstanding debt and the impact of the decrease of interest rates on the unhedged portion of our debt and a \$3.9 million decrease in the loss related to changes in foreign exchange rates, offset, in part, by a decrease in interest income of \$7.1 million as a result of lower interest rates. In the year ended November 30, 2008, we recorded a \$3.0 million write off of our investment in Alacra, Inc. No similar amount was recorded in the year ended November 30, 2009.

### ***Income Taxes***

The provision for income taxes increased 20.7% to \$49.9 million in the year ended November 30, 2009 from \$41.4 million in the year ended November 30, 2008. The effective tax rate for the year ended November 30, 2009 was 37.9% compared to 37.7% in the same period in 2008. The \$8.5 million increase in income taxes is primarily the result of higher pre-tax income.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

We require capital to fund ongoing operations, internal growth initiatives and acquisitions. Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flows generated from our operations, proceeds from the maturity and sale of our short-term investments, existing cash and cash equivalents and borrowing capacity under our credit facilities. We intend to use these sources of liquidity to service our existing and future debt obligations and fund our working capital requirements, capital expenditures, investments and acquisitions. In connection with our business strategy, we regularly evaluate acquisition opportunities. We believe our liquidity, along with other financing alternatives, will provide the necessary capital to fund these transactions and achieve our planned growth.

On June 1, 2010, we paid \$71.8 million to retire our then-existing credit facility and interest rate swaps plus the related accrued interest. On that same day, we entered into a new senior secured credit agreement with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as administrative agent, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, as collateral agent, and the other lenders party thereto, which is comprised of (i) a \$1,275.0 million six-year senior secured term loan facility and (ii) a \$100.0 million five-year revolving credit facility, which includes a \$25.0 million letter of credit subfacility and a \$10.0 million swingline loan subfacility (the "New Credit Facility"). We are required to repay 1.00% of the principal of the term loan facility per year in quarterly installments. The New Credit Facility also contains number of mandatory prepayment requirements, including a requirement to repay a specified amount of the term loan facility annually from a portion of our excess cash flows (as defined in the New Credit Facility, which varies based on our leverage ratio). Any remaining principal of the term loan facility will be payable on the final maturity date of the facility. We expect to repay the New Credit Facility with cash generated from our ongoing operations.

The senior secured term loan facility matures in June 2016. We borrowed the full amount of the \$1,275.0 million senior secured term loan facility on June 1, 2010 and used the proceeds to fund in part the \$1,146.7 million cash consideration for our acquisition of RiskMetrics, repay the outstanding credit facilities of MSCI and RiskMetrics and pay related fees and expenses. The revolving credit facility matures in June 2015 and is available to fund our working capital requirements and for other general corporate purposes.

Borrowings under the New Credit Facility will bear interest at a rate equal to the sum of the greater of the London Interbank Offered Rate and 1.50%, and a margin of 3.25%, which margin will be subject to adjustment based on our leverage ratio after we deliver our first quarterly compliance certificate (as defined in the New Credit Facility). For the unused credit, we pay an annual 0.75% non-usage fee. The effective combined rate on our hedged and unhedged debt was 4.88% as of November 30, 2010.

During first quarter 2011, we will be required to make a payment under the excess cash flow provision of the New Credit Facility of approximately \$56.0 million.

The obligations under the New Credit Facility are guaranteed by each of our direct and indirect wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries, subject to limited exceptions. The obligations under the New Credit Facility are secured by a lien on substantially all of the equity interests of our present and future domestic subsidiaries, up to 65% of the equity interests of our first-tier foreign subsidiaries, and substantially all of our and our domestic subsidiaries' present and future property and assets, subject to certain exceptions.

The New Credit Facility contains affirmative and restrictive covenants that, among other things, limit our ability and our existing or future subsidiaries' abilities to:

- incur liens and further negative pledges;
- incur additional indebtedness or prepay, redeem or repurchase indebtedness;
- make loans or hold investments;
- merge, dissolve, liquidate, consolidate with or into another person;
- enter into acquisition transactions;
- make capital expenditures;
- issuance of disqualified capital stock;
- sell, transfer or dispose of assets;
- pay dividends or make other distributions in respect of our capital stock or engage in stock repurchases, redemptions and other restricted payments;
- create new subsidiaries;
- permit certain restrictions affecting our subsidiaries;
- change the nature of our business, accounting policies or fiscal periods;
- enter into any transactions with affiliates other than on an arm's length basis;
- modify or waive certain material documents; and
- prepay, redeem or repurchase debt.

The New Credit Facility also requires us to achieve specified financial and operating results and maintain compliance with the following financial ratios on a consolidated basis: (1) a maximum total leverage ratio (as defined in the New Credit Facility) measured quarterly on a rolling four-quarter basis shall not exceed (a) 4.00:1.00 through February 28, 2011, (b) 3.75:1.00 from March 1, 2011 through May 31, 2011, (c) 3.50:1.00 from June 1, 2011 through August 31, 2011, (d) 3.25:1.00 from September 1, 2011 through December 31, 2011 and (e) 2.75:1.00 thereafter; and (2) a minimum interest coverage ratio (as defined in the New Credit Facility) measured quarterly on a rolling four-quarter basis shall be at least (a) 4.50:1.00 through February 28, 2011, and (b) 5.00:1.00 thereafter. As of November 30, 2010, our Consolidated Leverage Ratio as defined in the Credit Facility was 3.20:1.00 and our Consolidated Interest Coverage Ratio as defined in the Credit Facility was 6.28:1.00. On December 10, 2010, our Board of Directors approved a change in our fiscal year end from November 30<sup>th</sup> to December 31<sup>st</sup>, commencing with the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2011. The measurement periods for compliance with the financial ratios will be adjusted accordingly.

The New Credit Facility also contains customary events of default, including those relating to non-payment, breach of representations, warranties or covenants, cross-default and cross-acceleration, bankruptcy and insolvency events, invalidity or impairment of loan documentation or collateral, change of control and customary ERISA defaults.

### Cash flows

	As of and for the Year Ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(in thousands)		
Cash and cash equivalents . . . . .	\$ 226,575	\$ 176,024	\$268,077
Net cash provided by operating activities . . . . .	\$ 183,354	\$ 130,942	\$155,081
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities . . . . .	\$(892,277)	\$(308,216)	\$112,069
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities . . . . .	\$ 758,058	\$ 82,542	\$(22,952)
Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents . . . . .	\$ 1,416	\$ 2,679	\$ (9,939)

### *Cash and cash equivalents*

Cash and cash equivalents were \$226.6 million, \$176.0 million and \$268.1 million as of November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We believe that our cash flow from operations (including prepaid subscription fees), together with existing cash balances and the proceeds from the maturities of our investments, will be sufficient to meet our cash requirements for capital expenditures, interest and principal repayment obligations on outstanding borrowings under the New Credit Facility and other cash needs for ongoing business operations for at least the next 12 months and the foreseeable future.

### *Cash flows from operating activities*

In the year ended November 30, 2010, net cash provided by operating activities increased 40.0% to \$183.4 million from \$130.9 million in the same period in 2009. The change primarily reflects higher net income adjusted for non-cash items and decreased cash paid for taxes and to related parties, partially offset by the increase in trade receivables.

Our primary uses of cash from operating activities are for payment of cash compensation expenses, office rent, market data, technology costs, interest and taxes. In the near-term, we expect to meet all interest and principal repayment obligations on outstanding borrowings under the New Credit Facility from cash generated by operations. The payment of cash compensation expenses is historically at its highest level in the first quarter when we pay discretionary employee compensation related to the previous fiscal year.

### *Cash flows from investing activities*

Cash flows used in investing activities were \$892.3 million for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to \$308.2 million for the year ended November 30, 2009. The \$584.1 million change primarily reflects the cash outflows to complete our acquisitions of RiskMetrics and Measurisk offset in part by the decreased purchases and increased proceeds from the maturity of short-term investments during the year ended November 30, 2010.

### *Cash flows from financing activities*

Cash flows provided by financing activities were \$758.1 million for the year ended November 30, 2010 compared to \$82.5 million for the year ended November 30, 2009. The increase reflects the net \$1,234.6 million of proceeds from the borrowings under the new credit facility assumed to complete the acquisition of RiskMetrics, an increase of \$22.8 million in proceeds from the exercise of employee stock options, a \$4.3 million decrease to repurchase shares to be held in treasury to satisfy tax obligations related to converted share-based compensation awards and \$1.6 million in increased excess tax benefits related to the exercise of options and the conversion of restricted stock units and restricted stock awards. Partially offsetting these amounts were the increased payments made on the current outstanding debt and to retire the prior MSCI credit facility and the acquired RiskMetrics credit facility of \$472.1 million. In the year ended November 30, 2009, we received \$115.8 million of net proceeds from the public offering of our common stock we made in November 2009.



## Contractual Obligations

Our contractual obligations consist primarily of leases for office space, leases for equipment and other operating leases, obligations to vendors arising out of market data contracts and obligations arising from borrowings under the New Credit Facility. The following summarizes our contractual obligations:

As of November 30, (in thousands)	Total	Year					
		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Thereafter
Operating leases . . . . .	\$ 106,448	\$17,828	\$17,803	\$16,232	\$13,840	\$ 9,900	\$ 30,845
Vendor obligations . . . . .	25,011	21,859	2,299	853	—	—	—
Term loans . . . . .	1,268,625	56,000	2,574	2,574	2,574	2,574	1,202,329
Total contractual obligations . . .	<u>\$1,400,084</u>	<u>\$95,687</u>	<u>\$22,676</u>	<u>\$19,659</u>	<u>\$16,414</u>	<u>\$12,474</u>	<u>\$1,233,174</u>

(1) Excludes \$5.8 million of debt discount, \$1.1 million of which is carried in current maturities of long-term debt.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated entities or financial partnerships, such as entities often referred to as structured finance or special purpose entities, which would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued guidance titled, “*Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities.*” This guidance is covered under ASC Section 260-10-55, “*Earnings Per Share-Overall-Implementation Guidance and Illustrations.*” The guidance addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share under the two-class method as described by ASC Section 260-10-45, “*Earnings Per Share-Overall-Other Presentation Matters.*” Under the guidance, unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share (“EPS”) pursuant to the two-class method. The accounting guidance on whether share-based payment transactions are participating securities became effective for us on December 1, 2009. All prior-period EPS data presented have been adjusted retrospectively. Our adoption of this accounting guidance, which addresses the computation of EPS under the two-class method for share-based payment transactions that are participating securities, reduced basic EPS by \$0.01 and \$0.02 for the years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Our adoption of this accounting guidance had no effect on diluted EPS for the year ended November 30, 2009 and reduced diluted EPS by \$0.01 for the year ended November 30, 2008.

In October 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2009-13, “*Revenue Recognition (Topic 605): Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements,*” or ASU No. 2009-13. ASU No. 2009-13 addresses how to determine whether an arrangement involving multiple deliverables contains more than one unit of accounting and how the arrangement consideration should be allocated among the separate units of accounting. ASU No. 2009-13 will be effective for our fiscal year 2011. The guidance may be applied retrospectively or prospectively for new or materially modified arrangements. We are currently assessing the impact that this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-14, “*Software (Topic 985): Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements*,” or ASU No. 2009-14. ASU No. 2009-14 modifies the scope of the software revenue recognition guidance to exclude (a) non-software components of tangible products and (b) software components of tangible products that are sold, licensed or leased with tangible products when the software components and non-software components of the tangible product function together to deliver the tangible product’s essential functionality. ASC No. 2009-14 will be effective for our fiscal year 2011. The guidance may be applied retrospectively or prospectively for new or materially modified arrangements. We are currently assessing the impact that this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-9, “*Subsequent Events (Topic 855): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements*,” or ASU 2010-9. ASU 2010-9 amends disclosure requirements within Subtopic 855-10. An entity that is a U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) filer is not required to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. This change alleviates potential conflicts between Subtopic 855-10 and the SEC’s requirements. ASU 2010-9 was effective immediately for us. The adoption of ASU 2010-09 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-12, “*Accounting for Certain Tax Effects of the 2010 Health Care Reform Acts*,” or ASU 2010-12. This update clarifies questions surrounding the accounting implications of the different signing dates of the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (signed March 30, 2010) and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (signed March 23, 2010). ASU 2010-12 states that the FASB and the Office of the Chief Accountant at the SEC would not be opposed to viewing the two Acts together for accounting purposes. The adoption of ASU 2010-12 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-28, “*Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)*,” or ASU 2010-28. This ASU amends ASC Topic 350. ASU 2010-28 clarifies the requirement to test for impairment of goodwill. ASC Topic 350 has required that goodwill be tested for impairment if the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value. Under ASU 2010-28, when the carrying amount of a reporting unit is zero or negative an entity must assume that it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, perform an additional test to determine whether goodwill has been impaired and calculate the amount of that impairment. The modifications to ASC Topic 350 resulting from the issuance of ASU 2010-28 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and interim periods within those years. Early adoption is not permitted. We are currently assessing what effect, if any, the application of the amendments in ASU 2010-28 may have on our consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-29, “*Business Combinations (Topic 805)—Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations*,” or ASU 2010-29. This standard update clarifies that, when presenting comparative financial statements, SEC registrants should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the current period business combinations had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The update also expands the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. ASU 2010-29 is effective prospectively for material (either on an individual or aggregate basis) business combinations entered into in fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2010. We are currently assessing the impact that this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements.

## **Item 7A. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures About Market Risk**

### ***Foreign Currency Risk***

We are subject to foreign currency exchange fluctuation risk. Exchange rate movements can impact the U.S. dollar reported value of our revenues, expenses, assets and liabilities denominated in non-U.S. dollar currencies or where the currency of such items is different than the functional currency of the entity where these items were recorded.

A significant percentage of our revenues from our index linked investment products are based on fees earned on the value of assets invested in securities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. For all operations outside the United States where the Company has designated the local non-U.S. dollar currency as the functional currency, revenue and expenses are translated using average monthly exchange rates and assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars using month-end exchange rates. For these operations, currency translation adjustments arising from a change in the rate of exchange between the functional currency and the U.S. dollar are accumulated in a separate component of shareholders' equity. In addition, transaction gains and losses arising from a change in exchange rates for transactions denominated in a currency other than the functional currency of the entity are reflected in other non-operating expense (income).

Revenues from index-linked investment products represented approximately 16.0% and 16.3% of operating revenues for the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. While our fees for index-linked investment products are generally invoiced in U.S. dollars, the fees are based on the investment product's assets, a significant percentage of which are invested in securities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. Accordingly, declines in such other currencies against the U.S. dollar will decrease the fees payable to us under such licenses. In addition, declines in such currencies against the U.S. dollar could impact the attractiveness of such investment products resulting in net fund outflows, which would further reduce the fees payable under such licenses.

We generally invoice our clients in U.S. dollars; however, we invoice a portion of our clients in Euros, British Pounds, Japanese Yen and a limited number of other non-U.S. dollar currencies. For the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, approximately 12.8% and 12.3%, respectively, of our operating revenues were invoiced in currencies other than U.S. dollars. For the year ended November 30, 2010, 55.9% of our foreign currency revenues were in Euros, 28.2% were in Japanese Yen and 10.3% were in British Pounds. For the year ended November 30, 2009, 46.2% of our foreign currency revenues were in Euros, 39.4% were in Japanese Yen and 12.6% were in British Pounds.

We are exposed to additional foreign currency risk in certain of our operating costs. Approximately 34.2% and 35.0% of our operating expenses for the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were denominated in foreign currencies, the significant majority of which were denominated in British Pounds, Swiss Francs, Hong Kong Dollars, Hungarian Forints, Euros, Indian Rupees and Japanese Yen. Expenses incurred in foreign currency may increase as we expand our business outside the U.S.

We have certain assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than local functional amounts and when these balances were remeasured into their local functional currency, a loss resulted from the devaluation of the value of the functional currency. As a result of these positions, we recognized foreign currency exchange losses of \$3.0 million for the year ended November 30, 2010. These losses on foreign currency exchange were primarily due to the weakening of the U.S. dollar in the last six months of the fiscal year. We do not currently hedge the foreign exchange risk of assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the functional currency.

### ***Interest Rate Sensitivity***

We had unrestricted cash and cash equivalents totaling \$226.6 million at November 30, 2010 and \$176.0 million at November 30, 2009. These amounts were held primarily in checking and money market accounts in

the countries where we maintain banking relationships. The unrestricted cash and cash equivalents are held for working capital purposes. At November 30, 2010 and 2009, we had invested \$73.9 million and \$295.3 million, respectively, in debt securities with maturity dates ranging from 91 to 365 days from the date of purchase. We do not enter into investments for trading or speculative purposes. We believe we do not have any material exposure to changes in fair value as a result of changes in interest rates. Declines in interest rates, however, will reduce future interest income.

Borrowings under the New Credit Facility will bear interest at a rate equal to the sum of the greater of the London Interbank Offered Rate and 1.50%, and a margin of 3.25%, which margin will be subject to adjustment based on our leverage ratio.

On July 21, 2010, the Company entered into two interest rate swap agreements for an aggregate notional principal amount of \$446.3 million (“New Swap Agreements”) amortizing through August 2013. The New Swap Agreements were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk and qualify for hedge accounting treatment under ASC Subtopic 815-10. The effective fixed rate on the aggregate notional principal amount swapped of \$445.1 million on November 30, 2010 was 5.12%.

Changes in LIBOR will affect the interest rate on the portion of our credit facilities which have not been hedged by the interest rate swaps and, therefore, our costs under the New Credit Facility. Assuming an average of \$824.6 million of variable rate debt outstanding, a hypothetical 221 basis point increase in LIBOR for a one year period would result in approximately \$8.2 million of additional interest rate expense.

We recorded a pre-tax loss in other comprehensive income of \$3.6 million (\$2.2 million after tax) for the year ended November 30, 2010 as a result of the fair value measurement of these swaps. The fair value of these swaps is included in other accrued liabilities on our Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition.

#### **Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data***

The information required by this Item is set forth on page F-1 through F-41 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### **Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure***

None.

#### **Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures***

##### **(a). Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company’s reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”) as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management of the Company, with the participation of its CEO and CFO, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures. Based on their evaluation, as of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-K, the Company’s CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company’s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) were effective.

**(b). Management’s Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, our principal executive and principal financial officers and is affected by the Company’s board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) and includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and dispositions of our assets,
- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of our financial statements in accordance with GAAP, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the Company, and
- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, a system of internal control over financial reporting can provide only reasonable assurance and may not prevent or detect misstatements. Further, because of changes in conditions, effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting may vary over time. Our system contains self-monitoring mechanisms, and actions are taken to correct deficiencies as they are identified.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2010 based on the criteria described in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

Management excluded from its assessment the internal control over financial reporting at RiskMetrics Group, Inc., which was acquired on June 1, 2010 and whose financial statements constitute 1.5% and 5.1% of net and total assets, respectively, 23.6% of revenues, and 12.7% of net income of the consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended November 30, 2010.

Based on this assessment, management, including the Company’s CEO and CFO, concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of November 30, 2010.

Our independent registered public accounting firm has audited and issued a report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2010, which appears below.

**(c). Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) during the year ended November 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

As a result of our acquisitions of RiskMetrics and Measurisk, we are currently in the process of integrating certain business and financial reporting processes and systems. Accordingly, certain changes have been made and will continue to be made to our internal controls over financial reporting until such time as these integrations are complete.

**(d). Report of Independent Registered Accounting Firm**

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of MSCI Inc.

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of MSCI Inc. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of November 30, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. As described in Management’s Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, management excluded from its assessment the internal control

over financial reporting at RiskMetrics Group, Inc. (“RiskMetrics”), which was acquired on June 1, 2010 and whose financial statements constitute 1.5% and 5.1% of net and total assets, respectively, 23.6% of revenues, and 12.7% of net income of the consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended November 30, 2010. Accordingly, our audit did not include the internal control over financial reporting at RiskMetrics. The Company’s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management’s Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company’s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company’s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company’s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company’s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company’s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2010, based on the criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated statement of financial condition of the Company as of November 30, 2010 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders’ equity, and cash flows for the year ended November 30, 2010 and our report dated January 31, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

*/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP*  
New York, New York  
January 31, 2011

**Item 9B. *Other Information***

None.

**PART III**

**Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance***

We incorporate by reference the information responsive to this Item appearing in our Proxy Statement, which will be filed no later than 120 days after November 30, 2010.

Information regarding our Code of Ethics and Business Conduct and Corporate Governance Policy are incorporated herein by reference from our Proxy Statement, which will be filed no later than 120 days after November 30, 2010. Any amendments to, or waivers from, a provision of our Codes of Ethics that apply to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, controller, or persons performing similar functions and that relates to any element of the Code of Ethics enumerated in paragraph (b) of Item 406 of Regulation S-K shall be disclosed by posting such information on our website at [www.mscibarra.com](http://www.mscibarra.com). The information on our website is not and should not be considered a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Item 11. *Executive Compensation***

We incorporate by reference the information responsive to this Item appearing in our Proxy Statement, which will be filed no later than 120 days after November 30, 2010.

**Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters***

We incorporate by reference the information responsive to this Item appearing in our Proxy Statement, which will be filed no later than 120 days after November 30, 2010. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters And Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities" of this report is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence***

We incorporate by reference the information responsive to this Item appearing in our Proxy Statement, which will be filed no later than 120 days after November 30, 2010.

**Item 14. *Principal Accounting Fees and Services***

We incorporate by reference the information responsive to this Item appearing in our Proxy Statement, which will be filed no later than 120 days after November 30, 2010.

## PART IV

### **Item 15. *Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules***

#### **(a)(1) *Financial Statements***

See pages F-1 through F-41 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

#### **(a)(2) *Financial Statement Schedules***

No financial statement schedules are provided because the information called for is not applicable or not required or is included in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto beginning on page F-1.

#### **(a)(3) *Exhibits***

The information required by this Item is set forth on the exhibit index that follows the financial statements and notes thereto (pages F-1 through F-41) of this report.



## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Registration Statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of New York, State of New York, on the 31st day of January, 2011.

MSCI INC.

By: /s/ HENRY A. FERNANDEZ

Name: Henry A. Fernandez

Title: Chairman, CEO and President

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<u>Signature</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
/s/ HENRY A. FERNANDEZ <b>Henry A. Fernandez</b>	Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and President (principal executive officer)	January 31, 2011
/s/ DAVID M. OBSTLER <b>David M. Obstler</b>	Chief Financial Officer (principal financial officer)	January 31, 2011
/s/ BENJAMIN F. DU PONT <b>Benjamin F. duPont</b>	Director	January 31, 2011
/s/ ALICE W. HANDY <b>Alice W. Handy</b>	Director	January 31, 2011
/s/ CATHERINE R. KINNEY <b>Catherine R. Kinney</b>	Director	January 31, 2011
/s/ LINDA H. RIEFLER <b>Linda H. Riefler</b>	Director	January 31, 2011
/s/ GEORGE W. SIGULER <b>George W. Siguler</b>	Director	January 31, 2011
/s/ SCOTT M. SIPPRELLE <b>Scott M. Sipprelle</b>	Director	January 31, 2011
/s/ PATRICK TIERNEY <b>Patrick Tierney</b>	Director	January 31, 2011
/s/ RODOLPHE M. VALLEE <b>Rodolphe M. Vallee</b>	Director	January 31, 2011

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

<u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>Page</u>
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm .....	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of November 30, 2010 and 2009 .....	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 .....	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 .....	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the Years Ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 .....	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 .....	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements .....	F-8

## REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of MSCI Inc:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of financial condition of MSCI Inc. and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of November 30, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders’ equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended November 30, 2010. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of MSCI Inc. and subsidiaries as of November 30, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended November 30, 2010, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of November 30, 2010, based on the criteria established in “*Internal Control—Integrated Framework*” issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated January 31, 2011, expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company’s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ *DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP*  
New York, New York  
January 31, 2011

**MSCI INC.**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION**

	As of November 30,	
	2010	2009
	(in thousands, except per share and share data)	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents . . . . .	\$ 226,575	\$ 176,024
Short-term investments . . . . .	73,891	295,304
Trade receivables (net of allowances of \$1,013 and \$847 as of November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively) . . . . .	147,662	77,180
Deferred taxes . . . . .	47,811	24,577
Prepaid taxes . . . . .	21,010	17,602
Prepaid and other assets . . . . .	19,334	11,797
Total current assets . . . . .	536,283	602,484
Property, equipment and leasehold improvements (net of accumulated depreciation of \$58,964 and \$26,498 at November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively) . . . . .	34,368	29,381
Goodwill . . . . .	1,706,671	441,623
Intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization of \$190,311 and \$148,589 at November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively) . . . . .	716,250	120,189
Other non-current assets . . . . .	29,594	6,592
<b>Total assets</b> . . . . .	<b>\$3,023,166</b>	<b>\$1,200,269</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>Current liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable . . . . .	\$ 2,162	\$ 1,878
Accrued compensation and related benefits . . . . .	99,046	65,088
Other accrued liabilities . . . . .	39,500	30,502
Current maturities of long-term debt . . . . .	54,916	42,088
Deferred revenue . . . . .	271,300	152,944
Total current liabilities . . . . .	466,924	292,500
Long term debt, net of current maturities . . . . .	1,207,881	337,622
Deferred taxes . . . . .	240,944	40,080
Other non-current liabilities . . . . .	27,300	23,011
<b>Total liabilities</b> . . . . .	<b>1,943,049</b>	<b>693,213</b>
<b>Commitments and Contingencies (see note 7)</b>		
<b>Shareholders' Equity</b>		
Preferred stock (par value \$0.01; 100,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued) . . . . .	—	—
Common stock (par value \$0.01; 500,000,000 class A shares and 250,000,000 class B shares authorized; 120,544,551 and 105,391,919 class A shares issued and 119,522,043 and 104,781,404 class A shares outstanding at November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively; no class B shares issued and outstanding at November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively) . . . . .	1,205	1,054
Treasury shares, at cost (1,022,508 and 610,515 shares at November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively) . . . . .	(33,319)	(19,168)
Additional paid-in capital . . . . .	938,014	448,747
Retained earnings . . . . .	176,183	84,013
Accumulated other comprehensive loss . . . . .	(1,966)	(7,590)
Total shareholders' equity . . . . .	1,080,117	507,056
<b>Total liabilities and shareholders' equity</b> . . . . .	<b>\$3,023,166</b>	<b>\$1,200,269</b>

**See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.**

**MSCI INC.**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

	<b>For the years ended November 30,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(in thousands, except per share data)</b>		
<b>Operating revenues</b> .....	<u>\$662,901</u>	<u>\$442,948</u>	<u>\$430,961</u>
Cost of services .....	198,626	118,665	123,390
Selling, general and administrative .....	190,244	135,780	138,311
Restructuring .....	8,896	—	—
Amortization of intangible assets .....	41,599	25,554	28,500
Depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements .....	<u>17,413</u>	<u>11,957</u>	<u>4,970</u>
Total operating expenses .....	<u>456,778</u>	<u>291,956</u>	<u>295,171</u>
<b>Operating income</b> .....	<u>206,123</u>	<u>150,992</u>	<u>135,790</u>
Interest income .....	(993)	(1,053)	(8,142)
Interest expense .....	51,337	19,683	26,932
Other expense .....	<u>2,288</u>	<u>641</u>	<u>7,357</u>
<b>Other expense (income), net</b> .....	<u>52,632</u>	<u>19,271</u>	<u>26,147</u>
Income before provision for income taxes .....	153,491	131,721	109,643
Provision for income taxes .....	<u>61,321</u>	<u>49,920</u>	<u>41,375</u>
<b>Net income</b> .....	<u>\$ 92,170</u>	<u>\$ 81,801</u>	<u>\$ 68,268</u>
Earnings per basic common share .....	<u>\$ 0.82</u>	<u>\$ 0.80</u>	<u>\$ 0.66</u>
Earnings per diluted common share .....	<u>\$ 0.81</u>	<u>\$ 0.80</u>	<u>\$ 0.66</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding used in computing earnings per share			
Basic .....	<u>112,074</u>	<u>100,607</u>	<u>100,037</u>
Diluted .....	<u>113,357</u>	<u>100,860</u>	<u>100,281</u>

**See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.**

**MSCI INC.**

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

	<b>For the years ended November 30,</b>		
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
	(in thousands)		
Net income .....	\$92,170	\$81,801	\$68,268
Other comprehensive income (loss):			
Foreign currency translation adjustments .....	4,195	418	(3,576)
Income tax effect .....	(1,640)	(89)	1,343
	<u>2,555</u>	<u>329</u>	<u>(2,233)</u>
Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedges .....	3,607	(1,737)	(3,642)
Income tax effect .....	(1,408)	716	1,384
	<u>2,199</u>	<u>(1,021)</u>	<u>(2,258)</u>
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities .....	5	—	—
Income tax effect .....	(2)	—	—
	<u>3</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>—</u>
Periodic pension adjustment .....	1,228	823	(3,489)
Income tax effect .....	(361)	(367)	851
	<u>867</u>	<u>456</u>	<u>(2,638)</u>
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax .....	<u>5,624</u>	<u>(236)</u>	<u>(7,129)</u>
Comprehensive income .....	<u>\$97,794</u>	<u>\$81,565</u>	<u>\$61,139</u>

**See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.**

MSCI INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings (accumulated deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (loss)	Total
	(in thousands)					
Balance at December 1, 2007	\$1,000	\$ —	\$265,098	\$(65,884)	\$ (193)	\$ 200,021
Net income				68,268		68,268
Foreign currency translation adjustment					(2,233)	(2,233)
Net changes in unrealized losses on cash flow hedges					(2,258)	(2,258)
Measurement date adjustment				(172)	(32)	(204)
Pension adjustment					(2,638)	(2,638)
Common stock issued	1					1
Compensation payable in common stock and options			26,127			26,127
Common stock repurchased and held in treasury		(681)				(681)
Expenses related to initial public offering			(21)			(21)
Balance at November 30, 2008	1,001	(681)	291,204	2,212	(7,354)	286,382
Net income				81,801		81,801
Foreign currency translation adjustment					329	329
Net changes in unrealized losses on cash flow hedges					(1,021)	(1,021)
Pension adjustment					456	456
Common stock issued in offering	38		115,717			115,755
Common stock issued	15					15
Compensation payable in common stock and options			34,302			34,302
Common stock repurchased and held in treasury		(18,487)				(18,487)
Exercise of stock options			597			597
Excess tax benefits from employee stock incentive plans			6,927			6,927
Balance at November 30, 2009	1,054	(19,168)	448,747	84,013	(7,590)	507,056
Net income				92,170		92,170
Foreign currency translation adjustment					2,555	2,555
Net changes in unrealized losses on cash flow hedges					2,199	2,199
Unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities					3	3
Pension adjustment					867	867
Common stock issued	10					10
Common stock issued to acquire RiskMetrics Group, Inc.	126		371,689			371,815
Compensation payable in common stock and options			31,741			31,741
Compensation payable in common stock assumed to acquire RiskMetrics Group, Inc.			53,879			53,879
Common stock repurchased and held in treasury		(14,151)				(14,151)
Exercise of stock options	15		23,421			23,436
Excess tax benefits from employee stock incentive plans			8,537			8,537
Balance at November 30, 2010	\$1,205	\$(33,319)	\$938,014	\$176,183	\$(1,966)	\$1,080,117

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.



MSCI INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the years ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(in thousands)		
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>			
Net income	\$ 92,170	\$ 81,801	\$ 68,268
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation of property, equipment and leasehold improvements	17,413	11,957	4,970
Amortization of intangible assets	41,599	25,554	28,500
Compensation payable in common stock and options	32,285	35,161	30,338
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation	(8,537)	(6,927)	—
Amortization of discount on long-term debt	1,337	165	165
Amortization of debt origination fees	8,090	1,432	1,432
Deferred taxes	926	(14,338)	(7,178)
Loss on write down of investment in unconsolidated company	—	—	3,000
Other non-cash adjustments	3,246	1,510	3,650
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of assets acquired and liabilities assumed:			
Trade receivable	(35,851)	8,560	(7,748)
Due from related parties	—	1,765	862
Prepaid taxes	17,241	(9,177)	(7,898)
Prepaid and other assets	3,308	5,787	(1,362)
Accounts payable	(2,653)	688	272
Payable to related parties	—	(34,992)	22,292
Deferred revenue	3,980	4,618	22,783
Accrued compensation and related benefits	15,574	5,515	(2,183)
Income taxes payable	—	—	(15,582)
Other accrued liabilities	(6,523)	1,499	10,229
Other	(251)	10,364	271
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<u>183,354</u>	<u>130,942</u>	<u>155,081</u>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities</b>			
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(1,101,243)	—	—
Proceeds from the maturity of short-term investments	416,550	268,582	—
Purchase of investments	(194,416)	(563,386)	—
Cash withdrawn from related parties	—	—	137,625
Capital expenditures	(13,190)	(13,412)	(25,556)
Proceeds from the sales of capital equipment	22	—	—
<b>Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities</b>	<u>(892,277)</u>	<u>(308,216)</u>	<u>112,069</u>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>			
Proceeds from borrowing, net of discount	1,268,625	—	—
Proceeds from public offering of common stock, net of underwriting discount and other direct costs of \$1.3 million	—	115,755	—
Repayment of long-term debt	(386,875)	(22,250)	(22,250)
Repayment of acquired RiskMetrics Group, Inc. debt acquired	(107,485)	—	—
Payment of issuance costs in connection with long term debt	(34,029)	—	—
Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation	8,537	6,927	—
Expenses related to initial public offering	—	—	(21)
Repurchase of treasury shares	(14,151)	(18,487)	(681)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	23,436	597	—
<b>Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities</b>	<u>758,058</u>	<u>82,542</u>	<u>(22,952)</u>
<b>Effect of exchange rates changes</b>	<u>1,416</u>	<u>2,679</u>	<u>(9,939)</u>
<b>Net increase (decrease) in cash</b>	<u>50,551</u>	<u>(92,053)</u>	<u>234,259</u>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year</b>	<u>176,024</u>	<u>268,077</u>	<u>33,818</u>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, end of year</b>	<u>\$ 226,575</u>	<u>\$ 176,024</u>	<u>\$268,077</u>
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 40,100	\$ 18,253	\$ 25,967
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 46,190	\$ 61,385	\$ 64,363
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing activities:			
Property, equipment and leasehold improvements in other accrued liabilities	\$ 821	\$ 3,482	\$ 5,935
Acquisition of RiskMetrics Group, Inc., class A common stock issued	\$ 371,815	\$ —	\$ —
Fair value of stock options and restricted stock awards assumed in connection with acquisition of RiskMetrics Group, Inc.	\$ 53,879	\$ —	\$ —

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## MSCI INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 1. INTRODUCTION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

##### Organization

MSCI Inc. together with its wholly-owned subsidiaries (the “Company” or “MSCI”) is a global provider of investment decision support tools worldwide, including indices, portfolio risk and performance analytics and corporate governance products and services. The Company’s flagship products are its global equity indices and ESG products marketed under the MSCI brand, its portfolio risk and performance analytics covering global equity and fixed income markets marketed under the Barra brand, its market and credit risk analytics marketed under the RiskMetrics and Barra brands, its governance research and outsourced proxy voting and reporting services marketed under the ISS brand, its valuation models and risk management software for the energy and commodities markets marketed under the FEA brand and its forensic accounting risk research, legal/regulator risk assessment and due diligence products marketed under the CFRA brand.

As a result of MSCI’s acquisition of RiskMetrics, MSCI began operating as two segments, the Performance and Risk business and the Governance business. The Performance and Risk business is a global provider of investment decision support tools, including indices, portfolio risk and performance analytics, credit analytics and environmental, social and governance (“ESG”) products. The Governance business is a provider of corporate governance and specialized financial research and analysis services to institutional shareholders and corporations around the world. (See Note 14, “Segment Information,” for further information about MSCI’s operating segments.)

##### Change in Fiscal Year End

On December 8, 2010, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a change in the Company’s fiscal year end from November 30 to December 31 of each year. This change to the calendar year reporting cycle began January 1, 2011. As a result of the change, the Company will have a December 2010 fiscal month transition period, the results of which will be separately reported in the Company’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the calendar quarter ending March 31, 2011 and in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the calendar year ending December 31, 2011.

##### Basis of Presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of MSCI Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. The Company’s policy is to consolidate all entities in which it owns more than 50% of the outstanding voting stock unless it does not control the entity. It is also the Company’s policy to consolidate any variable interest entity for which the Company is the primary beneficiary, as required by the Financial Accounting Standards Board’s (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Subtopic 810-10, “*Consolidations*.” For investments in any entities in which the Company owns 50% or less of the outstanding voting stock but in which the Company has significant influence over operating and financial decisions, the Company applies the equity method of accounting. In cases where the Company’s investment is less than 20% and significant influence does not exist, such investments are carried at cost.

##### Significant Accounting Policies

###### *Basis of Financial Statements and Use of Estimates*

The Company’s consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). These accounting principles require the Company to make certain estimates and judgments that can affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the

## MSCI INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

date of the consolidated financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the periods presented. Significant estimates and assumptions made by management include the deferral and recognition of revenue, the allowance for doubtful accounts, impairment of long-lived assets, accrued compensation, accounting for income taxes and other matters that affect the consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. The Company believes that estimates used in the preparation of these consolidated financial statements are reasonable; however, actual results could differ materially from these estimates.

The Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008 reflect expense allocations for certain corporate functions previously provided by Morgan Stanley, including human resources, information technology, accounting, legal and compliance, corporate services, treasury and other services. These allocations were based on what the Company and Morgan Stanley considered reasonable reflections of the utilization levels of these services required in support of the Company's business and were based on methods that include direct time tracking, headcount, inventory metrics and corporate overhead. Morgan Stanley was the controlling shareholder of MSCI through May 22, 2009. As of May 22, 2009, Morgan Stanley no longer provided corporate functions for the Company and no additional expense allocations have been recorded by the Company since that date. (See Note 8, "Related Party Transactions," for further information).

Inter-company balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

#### ***Revenue Recognition***

Revenue related to the Company's non-software-related recurring arrangements is recognized pursuant to the requirements of ASC Subtopic 605-25, "*Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*." Under the provisions of ASC Subtopic 605-25, transactions with multiple elements should be considered separate units of accounting if all of the following criteria are met:

- The delivered item has stand-alone value to the client,
- There is objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered item(s), and
- If the arrangement includes a general right of return, delivery or performance of the undelivered items is considered probable and substantially in the control of the vendor.

The Company has signed subscription agreements with all of its clients that set forth the fees paid to the Company by the clients. Further, the Company regularly assesses the receivable balances for each client. The Company's subscription agreements for non-software-related products include provisions that, among other things, allow clients, for no additional fee, to receive updates and modifications which from time to time may be made, for the term of the agreement, typically one year. As the Company currently does not have objective and reliable evidence of the fair value of the undelivered element of the transaction, the Company does not account for the delivered item as a separate element. Accordingly, the Company recognizes revenue ratably over the term of the license agreement.

The Company's software-related recurring revenue arrangements do not require significant modification or customization of any underlying software applications being licensed. Accordingly, the Company recognizes software revenues, excluding the energy and commodity asset valuation analytics products, pursuant to the requirements of ASC Subtopic 985-605, "*Software-Revenue Recognition*." In accordance with ASC Subtopic 985-605, the Company begins to recognize revenue from subscriptions, maintenance and customer technical support, and professional services when all of the following criteria are met: (1) the Company has persuasive evidence of a legally binding arrangement, (2) delivery has occurred, (3) the client fee is deemed fixed or determinable, and (4) collection is probable.

## MSCI INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company has signed subscription agreements with all of its clients that set forth the fees paid to the Company by the clients. Further, the Company regularly assesses the receivable balances for each client. The Company's subscription agreements for software products include provisions that, among other things, allow clients to receive unspecified future software upgrades for no additional fee as well as the right to use the software products with maintenance for the term of the agreement, typically one year. As the Company does not have vendor specific objective evidence ("VSOE") for these elements (except for the support related to energy and commodity asset valuation products), the Company does not account for these elements separately. Accordingly, except for revenues related to energy and commodity asset valuation products, the Company recognizes revenue ratably over the term of the license agreement.

The Company's software license arrangements generally do not include acceptance provisions. Such provisions generally allow a client to test the software for a defined period of time before committing to license the software. If a license agreement includes an acceptance provision, the Company does not record subscription revenue until the earlier of the receipt of a written customer acceptance or, if not notified by the customer that it is cancelling the license agreement, the expiration of the acceptance period.

For the energy and commodity asset valuation analytics products, the Company uses the residual method to recognize revenue when a product agreement includes one or more elements to be delivered at a future date and VSOE if the fair value of all undelivered elements exists. In virtually all of the Company's contracts, the only element that remains undelivered at the time of delivery of the product is support. The fair value of support is determined based upon the fees paid for the support by clients who purchase support separately. Under the residual method, the fair value of the undelivered element is deferred and the remaining portion of the contract fee is recognized as product revenue. Support fees for these products are recognized ratably over the support period.

The Company applies SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, "*Revenue Recognition*" ("SAB 104"), in determining revenue recognition related to clients that use the Company's indices as the basis for certain index-linked investment products such as exchange traded funds or futures contracts. These clients commonly pay the Company a license fee for the use of its intellectual property based on the investment product's assets under management or contract volumes. These fees are calculated based upon estimated assets in the investment product or contract volumes obtained either through independent third-party sources or the most recently reported information of the client.

The Company recognizes revenue when all the following criteria are met:

- The client has signed a contract with the Company,
- The service has been rendered,
- The amount of the fee is fixed or determinable based on the terms of the contract, and
- Collectability is reasonably assured.

The Company has signed contracts with all clients that use the Company's indices as the basis for certain index-linked investment products, such as exchange traded funds or futures contracts. The contracts state the terms under which the assets under management fees are to be calculated. These fees are billed in arrears, after the fees have been earned. The fees are earned as the Company supplies the indices to the client. The Company assesses the creditworthiness of these clients prior to entering into a contract and regularly reviews the receivable balances related to them.

## MSCI INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### *Share-Based Compensation*

Certain employees of the Company have received share-based compensation under certain compensation programs. The Company's compensation expense reflects the fair value method of accounting for share-based payments under ASC Subtopic 718-10, "*Compensation-Stock Compensation*." ASC Subtopic 718-10 requires measurement of compensation cost for equity-based awards at fair value and recognition of compensation cost over the service period, net of estimated forfeitures. The fair value of MSCI restricted stock units is determined based on the number of units granted and the grant date fair value of MSCI common stock, measured as the closing price on the date of grant. The fair value of MSCI stock options is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model and the single grant life method. Under the single grant life method, option awards with graded vesting are valued using a single weighted-average expected option life. Compensation for all stock-based payment awards is recognized using the graded vesting attribution method. MSCI reserved approximately 4.3 million class A common shares for outstanding vested and unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock awards assumed as part of the acquisition of RiskMetrics Group, Inc. ("RiskMetrics") on June 1, 2010. See Note 3, "Acquisitions," for further information about MSCI's acquisition of RiskMetrics. The fair values of stock options assumed were estimated using a Hull-White Lattice option-pricing model. The Hull-White model is commonly used for estimating the fair value of in-the-money and out-of-the-money options, as it explicitly models the exercise behavior of option holders considering the amount by which each such grant is in- or out-of-the-money. The major assumptions utilized are the stock price, the remaining contractual term, the remaining time to vest, forfeiture behavior, dividend yield, the risk-free interest rate, expected volatility and the early exercise multiple.

Based on interpretive guidance related to Stock Compensation, the Company's policy is to accrue the estimated cost of share-based awards that were granted to retirement-eligible employees over the course of the current year rather than expensing the awards on the date of grant.

Pursuant to the MSCI Independent Directors' Equity Compensation Plan, directors who are not employees of the Company or Morgan Stanley are entitled to receive an annual grant of \$50,000 each in stock units which are subject to a vesting schedule. The total number of shares authorized to be awarded under the plan is 0.5 million. As of November 30, 2010, approximately 0.4 million class A shares were available for future grant under this plan. Effective on the date of the 2011 shareholders' meeting, directors who are not employees of the Company will be entitled to receive an annual grant of \$90,000 each in stock units and the lead director will be entitled to receive an additional \$25,000 in stock units, which are subject to a vesting schedule.

The MSCI Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan permits the Compensation Committee to make grants of a variety of equity based awards (such as stock, restricted stock, stock units and options) totaling up to 12.5 million shares to eligible recipients, including employees and consultants. No awards are permitted after November 2, 2017. As of November 30, 2010, approximately 7.2 million shares of MSCI's class A common stock ("Common Stock") were available for future grant under this plan.

In connection with the acquisition of RiskMetrics, MSCI filed a registration statement registering under the Securities Act the 4.3 million shares of Common Stock reserved for issuance in respect of incentive awards to officers and certain employees of RiskMetrics pursuant to the RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2000 Stock Option Plan, RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2004 Stock Option Plan, Institutional Shareholder Services Holdings, Inc. Equity Incentive Plan and RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (collectively, the "RMG Plans"). In June 2010, MSCI also filed a registration statement assuming 3.1 million shares available under the RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan. As of November 30, 2010, approximately 3.2 million shares of Common Stock were available for future grant under this plan.

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

***Allowance for Doubtful Accounts***

An allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded when it is probable and estimable that a receivable will not be collected. The allowance for doubtful accounts was approximately \$1.0 million at November 30, 2010 and \$0.8 million at November 30, 2009. Changes in the allowance for doubtful accounts from December 1, 2007 to November 30, 2010 were as follows:

	<b>Amount</b>
	<b>(in thousands)</b>
Balance as of December 1, 2007 .....	\$1,584
Recovery of bad debt .....	(817)
Amounts written off .....	(55)
Balance as of November 30, 2008 .....	\$ 712
Addition to provision .....	977
Amounts written off .....	(842)
Balance as of November 30, 2009 .....	847
Addition to provision <sup>(1)</sup> .....	931
Amounts written off .....	(765)
Balance as of November 30, 2010 .....	\$1,013

(1) Includes an allowance of \$0.3 million assumed upon the acquisition of RiskMetrics on June 1, 2010.

***Deferred Revenue***

Deferred revenues represent amounts billed or payments received from customers for services and maintenance in advance of performing the services. The Company's clients normally pay subscription fees annually or quarterly in advance. Deferred revenue is amortized ratably over the service period as revenue recognition criteria are met. Where the service period has not begun or been renewed, deferred revenues and accounts receivable are not recognized.

***Accounting for Income Taxes***

Prior to May 2, 2008, the Company was a member of the Morgan Stanley consolidated group and the Company's taxable income had been included in the consolidated U.S. federal income tax return of Morgan Stanley as well as in returns filed by Morgan Stanley with certain state and local taxing jurisdictions. After May 2, 2008, upon the disposition by Morgan Stanley of some of its equity interest in MSCI, the Company was no longer eligible to join in the filing of a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return with Morgan Stanley, and the Company has filed and will continue to file its U.S. consolidated federal income tax return as a taxable group separate from Morgan Stanley. The Company's foreign income tax returns have been and continue to be filed on a separate company basis. The Company's federal and foreign income tax liability has been computed and presented as if it were a separate taxpaying entity in the periods presented. However, the state and local tax liability presented in these statements reflects the fact that prior to May 22, 2009, the date on which Morgan Stanley disposed of its remaining equity interest in MSCI, the Company was included in certain state consolidated, combined or unitary filings of Morgan Stanley, and that its tax liability was affected by the attributes of the Morgan Stanley combined group. After May 22, 2009, the Company was no longer eligible for inclusion in any state or local consolidated, combined, or unitary return filed by Morgan Stanley and, from that date forward, the Company has been filing the relevant state income tax returns as a separate taxable group. Where the Company files as a stand-alone taxpayer, the Company's state and local tax filings will reflect its

## MSCI INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

separate filing attributes. Federal income taxes incurred prior to May 2, 2008 and state income taxes incurred prior to May 22, 2009 are remitted to Morgan Stanley pursuant to a tax sharing agreement between the companies.

Income tax expense is provided for using the asset and liability method, under which deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are determined based on the temporary differences between the financial statement and income tax bases of assets and liabilities using currently enacted tax rates.

#### ***Research and Development and Software Capitalization***

The Company accounts for research and development (“R&D”) costs in accordance with several accounting pronouncements, including ASC Subtopic 730-10, “*Research and Development*” and ASC Subtopic 985-730, “*Software-Research and Development*.” ASC Subtopic 730-10 requires that R&D generally be expensed as incurred. ASC Subtopic 985-730 specifies that costs incurred internally in researching and developing a computer software product should be charged to expense until technological feasibility has been established for the product. Once technological feasibility is established, all software costs should be capitalized until the product is available for general release to clients. Judgment is required in determining when technological feasibility of a product is established. Costs incurred after technological feasibility is established have not been material, and accordingly, the Company has expensed all research and development costs when incurred. Research and development costs for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were approximately \$73.2 million, \$53.3 million and \$56.5 million, respectively, and are included in cost of services in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

#### ***Foreign Currency Translation***

Assets and liabilities of operations having non-U.S. dollar functional currencies are translated at year-end exchange rates, and income statement accounts are translated at weighted average exchange rates for the year. Gains or losses resulting from translating foreign currency financial statements, net of related tax effects, are reflected in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), a separate component of shareholders’ equity. Gains or losses resulting from foreign currency transactions incurred in currencies other than the local functional currency are included in other expense (income) on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

#### ***Hedging Instruments***

The Company uses swaps to hedge certain interest rate exposures. It does not use derivatives for speculative purposes. The Company applies ASC Subtopic 815-10, “*Derivatives and Hedging*,” which establishes accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments and hedging activities. ASC Subtopic 815-10 requires MSCI to recognize all derivatives as either assets or liabilities in its Consolidated Statements of Financial Position and measure those instruments at fair value. The changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps are assessed in accordance with ASC Subtopic 815-10 and reflected in the carrying value of the interest rate swaps on the balance sheet. The estimated fair value is based primarily on projected future swap rates.

The Company applies cash flow hedge accounting to interest rate swaps designated as hedges of the variability of future cash flows from floating rate liabilities due to the benchmark interest rate. The Company uses regression analysis to perform an ongoing prospective and retrospective assessment of the effectiveness of these hedging relationships. Changes in fair value of these interest rate swaps are recorded to “net change in cash flow hedges” as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in Shareholders’ equity, to the extent they are effective. Amounts recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) are then reclassified to interest expense as interest on the hedged borrowings is recognized. Any ineffective portion of the change in fair value of these instruments is recorded to interest expense.

## MSCI INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### *Treasury Stock*

MSCI holds repurchased shares of its common stock as treasury stock. The Company accounts for treasury stock under the cost method and includes treasury stock as a component of stockholders' equity.

#### *Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)*

Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) includes certain changes in equity that are excluded from net income (loss). Accumulated other comprehensive loss totaled approximately \$2.0 million and \$7.6 million as of November 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, resulting primarily from cumulative foreign currency translation, fair value calculations of the Company's interest rate swaps, unrealized gains on the Company's available-for-sale securities and pension adjustments. Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) has been reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity.

#### *Cash and Cash Equivalents*

Cash and cash equivalents consist of demand deposits and money market and debt security investments of 90 days or less from the date of purchase.

#### *Short-term Investments*

Short-term investments include U.S. Treasury and state and municipal securities with maturity dates ranging from 91 to 365 days from the date of purchase.

As a result of a change in its intent subsequent to November 30, 2009, the Company classifies its short-term investments as available-for-sale. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value, with the unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity. Fair value is determined based on quoted market rates. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific-identification method. Realized gains and losses and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary on available-for-sale securities are included as a component of interest income (expense). Interest on securities classified as available-for-sale is included as a component of interest income.

Prior to December 1, 2009, the Company had the intent and ability to hold the investments to maturity and classified these investments as held-to-maturity and stated them at amortized cost plus accrued interest. The changes in the value of these securities were not reported on the consolidated financial statements.

#### *Property, Equipment and Leasehold Improvements*

Property, equipment and leasehold improvements are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation of furniture and fixtures and computer and communications equipment are provided principally by the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset. Estimates of useful lives are as follows: furniture & fixtures – five years; computer and related equipment – three to five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the lesser of the estimated useful life of the asset or, where applicable, the remaining term of the lease, but not exceeding 15 years.

#### *Goodwill*

Goodwill is recorded as part of the Company's acquisitions of businesses when the purchase price exceeds the fair value of the net tangible and separately identifiable intangible assets acquired. The carrying amount of the Company's goodwill is \$1,706.7 million primarily relating to the acquisitions of Barra, RiskMetrics and



## MSCI INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Measurisk. The Company's goodwill is not amortized, but rather is subject to an impairment test each year, or more often if conditions indicate impairment may have occurred, pursuant to ASC Section 350, "*Goodwill and Other Intangible.*" For the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, goodwill impairment was determined by comparing the fair value of the reporting unit with its book value. If the estimated fair value exceeds the book value, goodwill is not deemed to be impaired. If the estimated fair value is below book value, however, further analysis is required to determine the amount of the impairment. As the acquisitions of RiskMetrics and Measurisk occurred during the year ended November 30, 2010, no impairment test was performed related to the goodwill associated with these acquisitions. The fair value of the reporting units exceeded the book value in the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and, as a result, no impairment of the goodwill was recorded.

#### *Fair Value of Financial Instruments*

The Company's financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, cash on deposit with related parties, trade receivables, receivables from related parties, prepaid expenses and certain accrued liabilities and deferred revenue. The carrying value of these financial instruments approximates fair value given their short-term nature.

At November 30, 2010, the fair market value of the Company's debt obligations was \$1,275.0 million. The fair market value was estimated based on bid quotes available in the over the counter markets. The carrying value of this debt was \$1,262.8 million.

#### *Intangible Assets*

Intangible assets consist of those definite-lived intangibles from the acquisitions of Barra in June 2004, RiskMetrics in June 2010 and Measurisk in July 2010. The Company amortizes definite-lived intangible assets over their estimated useful lives. Definite-lived intangible assets are tested for impairment annually or when impairment indicators are present, and, if impaired, written down to fair value based on either discounted cash flows or appraised values. No impairment of intangible assets has been identified during any of the periods presented. The Company has no indefinite-lived intangibles. The intangible assets have useful lives ranging from one to 20 years.

#### *Concentration of Credit Risk*

The Company licenses its products and services to investment managers primarily in the United States, Europe and Asia (primarily Hong Kong and Japan). The Company evaluates the credit of its customers and does not require collateral. The Company maintains reserves on customer accounts where estimated losses may result from the inability of its customers to make required payments.

Financial instruments that may potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash deposits and short-term investments. At November 30, 2010 and 2009, cash and cash equivalent amounts were \$226.6 million and \$176.0 million, respectively. At November 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company had invested \$73.9 million and \$295.3 million, respectively, in U.S. Treasury Securities with maturity dates ranging from 91 to 365 days from the date of purchase. The Company receives interest at prevailing money market fund rates on its cash deposits.

For the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009, no single customer accounted for 10.0% or more of the Company's operating revenues. For the year ended November 30, 2008, Barclays PLC and its affiliates accounted for 11.0% of the Company's operating revenues.

## MSCI INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### 2. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued guidance titled, “*Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities.*” This guidance is covered under ASC Section 260-10-55, “*Earnings Per Share-Overall-Implementation Guidance and Illustrations.*” The guidance addresses whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities prior to vesting and, therefore, need to be included in the earnings allocation in computing earnings per share under the two-class method as described by ASC Section 260-10-45, “*Earnings Per Share-Overall-Other Presentation Matters.*” Under the guidance, unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share (“EPS”) pursuant to the two-class method. The accounting guidance on whether share-based payment transactions are participating securities became effective for the Company on December 1, 2009. All prior-period EPS data presented have been adjusted retrospectively. The Company’s adoption of this accounting guidance, which addresses the computation of EPS under the two-class method for share-based payment transactions that are participating securities, reduced basic EPS by \$0.01 and \$0.02 for the years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company’s adoption of this accounting guidance had no effect on diluted EPS for the year ended November 30, 2009 and reduced diluted EPS by \$0.01 for the year ended November 30, 2008.

In October 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) No. 2009-13, “*Revenue Recognition (Topic 605): Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements,*” or ASU No. 2009-13. ASU No. 2009-13 addresses how to determine whether an arrangement involving multiple deliverables contains more than one unit of accounting and how the arrangement consideration should be allocated among the separate units of accounting. ASU No. 2009-13 will be effective for the Company’s fiscal year 2011. The guidance may be applied retrospectively or prospectively for new or materially modified arrangements. The Company is currently assessing the impact that this guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-14, “*Software (Topic 985): Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements,*” or ASU No. 2009-14. ASU No. 2009-14 modifies the scope of the software revenue recognition guidance to exclude (a) non-software components of tangible products and (b) software components of tangible products that are sold, licensed or leased with tangible products when the software components and non-software components of the tangible product function together to deliver the tangible product’s essential functionality. ASC No. 2009-14 will be effective for the Company’s fiscal year 2011. The guidance may be applied retrospectively or prospectively for new or materially modified arrangements. The Company is currently assessing the impact that this guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-9, “*Subsequent Events (Topic 855): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements,*” or ASU 2010-9. ASU 2010-9 amends disclosure requirements within Subtopic 855-10. An entity that is a U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) filer is not required to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated. This change alleviates potential conflicts between Subtopic 855-10 and the SEC’s requirements. ASU 2010-9 was effective immediately for the Company. The adoption of ASU 2010-09 did not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-12, “*Accounting for Certain Tax Effects of the 2010 Health Care Reform Acts,*” or ASU 2010-12. This update clarifies questions surrounding the accounting implications of the different signing dates of the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (signed March 30, 2010) and the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (signed March 23, 2010). ASU 2010-12 states that the FASB and the

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Office of the Chief Accountant at the SEC would not be opposed to viewing the two Acts together for accounting purposes. The adoption of ASU 2010-12 did not have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-28, “*Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350)*,” or ASU 2010-28. This ASU amends ASC Topic 350. ASU 2010-28 clarifies the requirement to test for impairment of goodwill. ASC Topic 350 has required that goodwill be tested for impairment if the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value. Under ASU 2010-28, when the carrying amount of a reporting unit is zero or negative an entity must assume that it is more likely than not that a goodwill impairment exists, perform an additional test to determine whether goodwill has been impaired and calculate the amount of that impairment. The modifications to ASC Topic 350 resulting from the issuance of ASU 2010-28 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010 and interim periods within those years. Early adoption is not permitted. The Company is currently assessing what effect, if any, the application of the amendments in ASU 2010-28 may have on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-29, “*Business Combinations (Topic 805)—Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations*,” or ASU 2010-29. This standard update clarifies that, when presenting comparative financial statements, SEC registrants should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the current period business combinations had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The update also expands the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. ASU 2010-29 is effective prospectively for material (either on an individual or aggregate basis) business combinations entered into in fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The Company is currently assessing the impact that this guidance will have on its consolidated financial statements.

**3. ACQUISITIONS**

***Acquisition of RiskMetrics***

On June 1, 2010, MSCI acquired RiskMetrics Group, Inc. (“RiskMetrics”). Under the terms of the Agreement and Plan of Merger dated as of February 28, 2010 by and among MSCI, Crossway Inc. (“Merger Sub”), a wholly owned subsidiary of MSCI, and RiskMetrics, Merger Sub merged with and into RiskMetrics, with RiskMetrics continuing as the surviving corporation and a wholly owned subsidiary of MSCI. MSCI and RiskMetrics began joint operations immediately after the merger became effective. MSCI acquired RiskMetrics to, among other things, offer clients a more expansive portfolio of investment decision support tools that will enable clients to understand risk across their entire investment processes as well as broaden the concentration of the Company’s client base beyond asset owners, asset managers and broker dealers to include a greater number of hedge fund, mutual fund and bank clients.

The total purchase price for RiskMetrics was approximately \$1,572.4 million and was comprised of:

(in thousands)

Cash .....	\$1,146,702
MSCI class A common stock valued using the New York Stock Exchange closing price on June 1, 2010 .....	371,815
Fair value of outstanding vested and unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock awards assumed .....	<u>53,879</u>
Total purchase price .....	<u><u>\$1,572,396</u></u>

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

MSCI issued approximately 12.6 million shares of Common Stock and reserved approximately 4.3 million shares of Common Stock for outstanding vested and unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock awards assumed as part of the acquisition of RiskMetrics.

The fair values of stock options assumed were estimated using a Hull-White Lattice option-pricing model. The fair value of the unearned portion of the unvested RiskMetrics stock options and restricted stock awards will be recorded as operating expense over the remaining service periods, while the fair values of the earned portion of the vested and unvested stock options and unvested restricted stock awards are included in the total purchase price.

For the year ended November 30, 2010, MSCI incurred approximately \$21.2 million in transaction related costs related to the acquisition of RiskMetrics. These costs are reflected in “selling, general and administrative” on the Consolidated Statements of Income.

***Purchase Price Allocation***

The acquisition method of accounting is based on ASC Subtopic 805-10, “*Business Combinations*,” and uses the fair value concepts defined in ASC Subtopic 820-10, “*Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*,” which MSCI has adopted as required. The total purchase price for RiskMetrics was allocated to the net tangible and intangible assets based upon their fair values as of June 1, 2010 as set forth below. The excess of the purchase price over the fair values of the net tangible assets and intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. The allocation of the purchase price was based upon a valuation and the estimates and assumptions are subject to change within the measurement period. The primary areas of the purchase price allocation that are not yet finalized relate to the fair values of certain liabilities assumed, certain legal matters, income and non-income based taxes and residual goodwill. MSCI expects to continue to obtain information to assist it in determining the fair value of the net assets acquired at the acquisition date during the measurement period. The purchase price allocation for RiskMetrics is as follows:

**(in thousands)**

Cash and cash equivalents . . . . .	\$ 76,459
Trade receivables . . . . .	33,577
Other assets . . . . .	32,272
Goodwill . . . . .	1,243,948
Intangible assets . . . . .	628,120
Accounts payable and other liabilities . . . . .	(46,490)
Debt . . . . .	(107,485)
Deferred revenues . . . . .	(114,686)
Deferred tax liabilities, net . . . . .	(173,319)
Total purchase price . . . . .	<u>\$1,572,396</u>

MSCI generally does not expect the goodwill recognized to be deductible for income tax purposes. Approximately \$1,014.5 million and \$229.4 million of the preliminary goodwill was allocated to the Performance and Risk and the Governance segments, respectively.

MSCI INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

*Valuations of Intangible Assets Acquired*

The following table sets forth the components of finite-lived intangible assets acquired in connection with the RiskMetrics acquisition:

	<u>Estimated Fair Value</u>	<u>Estimated Useful Life</u>
	(in thousands)	
Customer relationships .....	\$428,600	14 to 15 years
Technology/Software .....	52,640	3 to 7 years
Proprietary processes .....	3,800	6 years
Trademarks/trade names .....	140,300	10 to 20 years
Non-compete agreements .....	2,780	1.5 years
Total .....	<u>\$628,120</u>	

*Pre-Acquisition Contingencies Assumed*

MSCI has evaluated and continues to evaluate pre-acquisition contingencies relating to RiskMetrics that existed as of the acquisition date. MSCI has determined that certain of these pre-acquisition contingencies are probable in nature and estimable as of the acquisition date and, accordingly, have recorded the best estimates for these contingencies as a part of the purchase price allocation for RiskMetrics. MSCI continues to gather information for, and evaluate substantially all, pre-acquisition contingencies that have been assumed from RiskMetrics. If MSCI makes changes to the amounts recorded or identifies additional pre-acquisition contingencies during the remainder of the measurement period, such amounts recorded will be included in the purchase price allocation during the measurement period and, subsequently, in MSCI's results of operations.

*Impact of RiskMetrics Acquisition*

The following table presents information for RiskMetrics from the June 1, 2010 acquisition date that is included in MSCI's Consolidated Statement of Income for the year ended November 30, 2010.

**RiskMetrics' Operations Included in MSCI's Results for the Year Ended November 30, 2010**

<u>(in thousands)</u>	
Total revenues .....	<u>\$152,301</u>
Net income .....	<u>\$ 10,080</u>

*Other Fiscal 2010 Acquisition*

On July 30, 2010, MSCI acquired Measurisk, LLC ("Measurisk") to expand its product offerings to hedge fund investors. This was not deemed to be an individually significant acquisition. MSCI has accounted for this acquisition in accordance with the ASC Subtopic 805-10 and has included the financial results of Measurisk in its consolidated results from the July 30, 2010 acquisition date. For the year ended November 30, 2010, Measurisk contributed approximately \$4.4 million to MSCI's revenue and \$1.6 million to MSCI's net income. The purchase price allocations for this acquisition were \$2.3 million for other assets, \$9.5 million for identifiable intangible assets, \$1.9 million for other liabilities and \$21.1 million for goodwill based upon a valuation and those estimates and assumptions are subject to change as MSCI obtains additional information during the applicable measurement period.

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

***Unaudited Pro Forma Financial Information***

The unaudited pro forma financial information in the table below summarizes the combined results of operations for MSCI and RiskMetrics as though the companies were combined as of December 1, 2008. The pro forma financial information for all periods presented also includes the business combination accounting effects resulting from the acquisition including the amortization charges from acquired intangible assets (certain of which are preliminary), adjustments to interest income for lower average cash balances, interest expense for borrowings and the amortization of deferred financing fees, debt discounts and prepaid agency fees and the related tax effects as though the aforementioned companies were combined as of December 1, 2008. No adjustments have been made for the Measurisk acquisition because it was not deemed to be an individually significant acquisition. The pro forma financial information as presented below is for informational purposes only and is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if the acquisitions and any borrowings undertaken to finance the RiskMetrics acquisition had taken place at December 1, 2008.

The unaudited pro forma financial information for the year ended November 30, 2010 combined the historical results of MSCI for the year ended November 30, 2010, the historical results of RiskMetrics for the three month-period ended March 31, 2010 and the historical results of RiskMetrics for the three month-period ended December 31, 2009 (due to differences in reporting periods). The unaudited pro forma financial information for the year ended November 30, 2009 combined the historical results of MSCI for the year ended November 30, 2009 and the historical results of RiskMetrics for the year ended December 31, 2009 (due to differences in reporting periods).

The unaudited pro forma financial information and the effects of the pro forma adjustments listed above were as follows for the years ended November 30, 2010 and 2009:

<u>(in thousands)</u>	<b>Year Ended November 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Operating revenues</b> .....	\$816,419	\$746,309
Cost of services .....	272,939	258,955
Selling, general and administrative .....	199,290	201,425
Restructuring .....	8,896	—
Amortization of intangible assets .....	64,477	73,164
Depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements .....	21,660	20,306
Total operating expenses .....	<u>567,262</u>	<u>553,850</u>
<b>Operating income</b> .....	249,157	192,459
<b>Other expense (income), net</b> .....	<u>71,430</u>	<u>67,744</u>
Income before provision for income taxes .....	177,727	124,715
Provision for income taxes .....	<u>66,896</u>	<u>44,863</u>
<b>Net income</b> .....	<u>\$110,831</u>	<u>\$ 79,852</u>
Earnings per diluted common share .....	<u>\$ 0.91</u>	<u>\$ 0.68</u>

MSCI INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The unaudited pro forma financial information by MSCI's operating segments' and the effects of the pro forma adjustments listed above are presented in the table below (See Note 14, "Segment Information," for further information about MSCI's operating segments):

(in thousands)	Year Ended November 30, 2010			Year Ended November 30, 2009		
	(unaudited)					
	Performance and Risk	Governance	Total	Performance and Risk	Governance	Total
<b>Operating revenues</b> . . . . .	\$693,178	\$123,241	\$816,419	\$613,890	\$132,419	\$746,309
Cost of services . . . . .	205,582	67,357	272,939	191,346	67,609	258,955
Selling, general and administrative . . . . .	175,679	23,611	199,290	169,966	31,459	201,425
Restructuring . . . . .	6,673	2,223	8,896	—	—	—
Amortization of intangible assets . . .	51,137	13,340	64,477	59,764	13,400	73,164
Depreciation expense . . . . .	18,224	3,436	21,660	16,393	3,913	20,306
<b>Total operating expenses</b> . . . . .	<u>457,295</u>	<u>109,967</u>	<u>567,262</u>	<u>437,469</u>	<u>116,381</u>	<u>553,850</u>
<b>Operating income</b> . . . . .	235,883	13,274	249,157	176,421	16,038	192,459
<b>Other expense (income), net</b> . . . . .			<u>71,430</u>			<u>67,744</u>
<b>Income before provision for income taxes</b> . . . . .			177,727			124,715
Provision for income taxes . . . . .			<u>66,896</u>			<u>44,863</u>
<b>Net income</b> . . . . .			<u>\$110,831</u>			<u>\$ 79,852</u>

(in thousands)	Three months Ended November 30, 2010			Three months Ended November 30, 2009		
	(unaudited)					
	Performance and Risk	Governance	Total	Performance and Risk	Governance	Total
<b>Operating revenues</b> . . . . .	\$185,032	\$28,286	\$213,318	\$162,886	\$32,376	\$195,262
Cost of services . . . . .	53,907	15,224	69,131	51,744	16,817	68,561
Selling, general and administrative . . . . .	44,600	4,700	49,300	41,392	6,969	48,361
Restructuring . . . . .	641	1,302	1,943	—	—	—
Amortization of intangible assets . . .	12,910	3,320	16,230	14,821	3,350	18,171
Depreciation expense . . . . .	4,797	733	5,530	4,148	1,013	5,161
<b>Total operating expenses</b> . . . . .	<u>116,855</u>	<u>25,279</u>	<u>142,134</u>	<u>112,105</u>	<u>28,149</u>	<u>140,254</u>
<b>Operating income</b> . . . . .	68,177	3,007	71,184	50,781	4,227	55,008
<b>Other expense (income), net</b> . . . . .			<u>19,340</u>			<u>17,553</u>
<b>Income before provision for income taxes</b> . . . . .			51,844			37,455
Provision for income taxes . . . . .			<u>21,287</u>			<u>13,752</u>
<b>Net income</b> . . . . .			<u>\$ 30,577</u>			<u>\$ 23,703</u>

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**4. RESTRUCTURING**

During the year ended November 30, 2010, MSCI's management approved, committed to and initiated a plan to restructure the Company's operations due to its acquisition of RiskMetrics (the "Restructuring Plan") in order to eliminate overlapping positions, eliminate duplicative occupancy costs, terminate overlapping vendor contracts and discontinue the planned integration of a product into RiskMetrics' standard product offering suite. The Company accounts for restructuring costs in accordance with ASC Subtopic 420-10, "Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations." The restructuring costs will be recorded to the "Restructuring expense" line item within the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income as they are recognized. The Company currently estimates restructuring costs associated with the exit of certain leases will range from \$2 million to \$3 million and anticipates that these costs will be recognized in the year ended November 30, 2011. The Company is continuing to develop plans for the efficient transitions related to its restructuring activities and evaluate other options to continue the optimization of its operations. The Company expects to incur additional future restructuring costs over the next 13 months.

The Company recorded \$8.9 million of restructuring expenses in connection with the Restructuring Plan during the year ended November 30, 2010. Of this amount, approximately \$3.0 million was related to the accelerated vesting of share-based compensation awards triggered by the elimination of overlapping positions. This amount is not accounted for as a restructuring liability under the line item "Other accrued liabilities" but is instead recorded under the line item "Additional paid-in capital" in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. Approximately \$6.7 million of the restructuring expenses were recorded under the Company's Performance and Risk operating segment and \$2.2 million were recorded under the Company's Governance operating segment. Any changes to the estimates in connection with executing the Restructuring Plan will be reflected in the Company's future results of operations.

The table below summarizes the accrual and charges incurred with respect to the Company's Restructuring Plan that are included in the line items "Other accrued liabilities" in the Company's Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition as of November 30, 2010:

<u>(in thousands)</u>	<b>MSCI Restructuring Plan</b>			
	<b>Severance</b>	<b>Lease termination</b>	<b>Other<sup>(1)</sup></b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Accrued Balance, December 1, 2009</b> .....	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Initial costs .....	3,601	1,333	922	5,856
Cash payments .....	(2,515)	(63)	(922)	(3,500)
Other .....	1	27	—	28
<b>Accrued Balance, November 30, 2010</b> .....	<b>\$ 1,087</b>	<b>\$1,297</b>	<b>\$ —</b>	<b>\$ 2,384</b>

(1) Represents the costs associated with discontinuance of the planned integration of a product into RiskMetrics' standard product offering suite.

**5. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE**

Basic EPS is computed by dividing income available to MSCI common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Common shares outstanding include common stock and vested restricted stock unit awards where recipients have satisfied either the explicit vesting terms or retirement-eligible requirements. Diluted EPS reflects the assumed conversion of all dilutive securities. There were 209,931, 519,085 and 519,220 stock options excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, because of their anti-dilutive effect.



**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The Company computes EPS using the two-class method and determines whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities. The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted EPS:

	For the year ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
Net income .....	\$ 92,170	\$ 81,801	\$ 68,268
Less: Allocations of earnings to unvested restricted stock units .....	(742)	(1,246)	(1,830)
Earnings available to MSCI common shareholders .....	\$ 91,428	\$ 80,555	\$ 66,438
Basic weighted average common stock outstanding .....	112,074	100,607	100,037
Basic weighted average common stock outstanding .....	112,074	100,607	100,037
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options .....	1,283	253	244
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding .....	113,357	100,860	100,281
<b>Earnings per basic common share</b> .....	<b>\$ 0.82</b>	<b>\$ 0.80</b>	<b>\$ 0.66</b>
<b>Earnings per diluted common share</b> .....	<b>\$ 0.81</b>	<b>\$ 0.80</b>	<b>\$ 0.66</b>

**6. SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS**

Short-term investments include U.S. Treasury and state and municipal securities with maturity dates ranging from 91 to 365 days from the date of purchase.

As a result of a change in intent, the Company classifies its short-term investments as available-for-sale in the year ended November 30, 2010. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value, with the unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, reported as a separate component of shareholders' equity. Fair value is determined based on observable quoted prices in active markets for identical assets. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific-identification method. Realized gains and losses and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary on available-for-sale securities are included as a component of interest income (expense). Interest on securities classified as available-for-sale is included as a component of interest income.

In the year ended November 30, 2009, the Company had the intent and ability to hold its investments to maturity and, thus, classified these investments as held-to-maturity and stated them at amortized cost plus accrued interest. The changes in the value of these securities, other than impairment charges, are not reported on the consolidated financial statements.

The fair value and gross unrealized gains and losses of securities available-for-sale at November 30, 2010 were as follows:

(in thousands)	Amortized Cost plus Accrued Interest	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Estimated Fair value
<b>Debt securities available-for-sale</b>				
U.S. Treasury securities .....	\$66,924	\$3	\$—	\$66,927
Commercial paper .....	5,350	1	—	5,351
State and municipal securities .....	1,612	1	—	1,613
Total .....	\$73,886	\$5	\$—	\$73,891

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The net carrying value and fair value of securities held-to-maturity at November 30, 2009 were as follows:

<u>(in thousands)</u>	<u>Net Carrying Value</u>	<u>Gross unrecognized gains</u>	<u>Gross unrecognized losses</u>	<u>Estimated Fair value</u>
<b>Debt securities held-to-maturity</b>				
U.S. Treasury securities . . . . .	\$295,304	\$264	\$—	\$295,568
State and municipal securities . . . . .	—	—	—	—
Total . . . . .	<u>\$295,304</u>	<u>\$264</u>	<u>\$—</u>	<u>\$295,568</u>

*Unrealized Losses on Investments*

The Company had no investments with continuous unrealized losses for less than 12 months and for 12 months or greater at November 30, 2010. None of the Company's investments in held-to-maturity securities had been in an unrealized loss position at November 30, 2009.

*Evaluating Investments for Other-than-Temporary Impairments*

If the fair values of the Company's debt security investments are less than the amortized costs at the balance sheet date, the Company assesses whether the impairments are other than temporary. As the Company currently invests only in U.S. Treasury and state and municipal securities with a short duration (one year or less), it would take a significant decline in fair value and U.S. economic conditions for the Company to determine that these investments are other than temporarily impaired.

Additionally, management assesses whether it intends to sell or would more-likely-than-not not be required to sell the investment before the expected recovery of the cost basis. Management has asserted that it believes it is more-likely-than-not that it will not be required to sell the investment before recovery of the cost basis.

As of November 30, 2010, no other-than-temporary impairment had been recorded on any of the Company's investments.

**7. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES**

*Legal matters.* From time to time, the Company is party to various litigation matters incidental to the conduct of its business. The Company is not presently party to any legal proceedings the resolution of which the Company believes would have a material adverse effect on its business, operating results, financial condition or cash flows.

*Leases.* The Company leases facilities under non-cancelable operating lease agreements. The terms of certain lease agreements provide for rental payments on a graduated basis. The Company recognizes rent expense on the straight-line basis over the lease period and has accrued for rent expense incurred but not paid. Rent expense for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$15.4 million, \$10.4 million, and \$10.7 million, respectively.

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The Company leases facilities under non-cancelable operating lease agreements. Future minimum commitments for these operating leases in place as of November 30, 2010 are as follows:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Amount</u> <u>(in thousands)</u>
2011 .....	\$ 17,828
2012 .....	17,803
2013 .....	16,232
2014 .....	13,840
2015 .....	9,900
Thereafter .....	<u>30,845</u>
Total .....	<u>\$106,448</u>

*Long-term debt.* On November 14, 2007, the Company entered into a secured \$500.0 million credit facility consisted of a \$425.0 million term loan facility and a \$75.0 million revolving credit facility (the “2007 Credit Facility”). As of November 30, 2009, current maturities of long term debt was \$42.1 million, net of \$0.2 million discount and long term debt, net of current maturities was \$337.6 million, net of \$0.6 million discount. On April 1, 2010 and April 15, 2010, the Company prepaid principal balances on its term loan facility portion of the 2007 Credit Facility of approximately \$147.0 million and \$150.0 million, respectively. On June 1, 2010, the Company paid \$70.9 million to retire the 2007 Credit Facility. As a result of the prepayments, the Company fully amortized \$5.1 million of unamortized capitalized origination fees associated with the 2007 Credit Facility in interest expense during the year ended November 30, 2010.

On June 1, 2010, the Company entered into a new senior secured credit agreement with Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as administrative agent, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, as collateral agent, and the other lenders party thereto, which is comprised of (i) a \$1,275.0 million six-year term loan facility and (ii) a \$100.0 million five-year revolving credit facility, which includes a \$25.0 million letter of credit subfacility and a \$10.0 million swingline loan subfacility (the “New Credit Facility”). The Company is required to repay 1.00% of the principal of the term loan facility per year in quarterly installments. The New Credit Facility also contains a number of mandatory prepayment requirements, including a requirement to repay a specified amount of the term loan facility annually from a portion of the Company’s excess cash flows (as defined in the New Credit Facility, which varies based on the Company’s leverage ratio). Any remaining principal of the term loan facility will be payable on the final maturity date of the facility.

The senior secured term loan facility matures in June 2016. The revolving credit facility matures in June 2015 and is available to fund the Company’s working capital requirements and for other general corporate purposes. The Company borrowed the full amount of the \$1,275.0 million senior secured term loan facility on June 1, 2010 and used the \$1,268.6 million of proceeds after the issuance discount to fund in part the \$1,146.7 million cash consideration for its acquisition of RiskMetrics, repay the outstanding credit facilities of MSCI and RiskMetrics and pay related fees and expenses.

Borrowings under the New Credit Facility will bear interest at a rate equal to the sum of the greater of the London Interbank Offered Rate and 1.50%, and a margin of 3.25%, which margin will be subject to adjustment based on our leverage ratio after we deliver our first quarterly compliance certificate (as defined in the New Credit Facility). For the unused credit, the Company pays an annual 0.75% non-usage fee.

The obligations under the New Credit Facility are guaranteed by each of our direct and indirect wholly-owned domestic subsidiaries, subject to limited exceptions. The obligations under the New Credit Facility are

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

secured by a lien on substantially all of the equity interests of MSCI's present and future domestic subsidiaries, up to 65% of the equity interests of MSCI's first-tier foreign subsidiaries, and substantially all of MSCI's and MSCI's domestic subsidiaries' present and future property and assets, subject to certain exceptions.

In connection with entering into the New Credit Facility, the Company capitalized origination fees of \$34.0 million which are being amortized over five to six years. The Company amortized \$3.0 million of the capitalized origination fees associated with the New Credit Facility in interest expense during the year ended November 30, 2010. At November 30, 2010, \$31.1 million of the capitalized origination fees remain unamortized, \$5.9 million of which is included in "prepaid and other assets" and \$25.2 million of which is included in "other non-current assets" on the Company's Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition.

Current maturities of long-term debt at November 30, 2010 was \$54.9 million, net of a \$1.1 million discount. Long term debt, net of current maturities at November 30, 2010 was \$1,207.9 million, net of a \$4.7 million discount. For the year ended November 30, 2010, approximately \$0.5 million of the debt discount associated with the New Credit Facility was amortized. For the year ended November 30, 2010, \$0.8 million of the debt discount associated with the 2007 Credit Facility was amortized. For the year ended November 30, 2009, \$0.2 million of the debt discount associated with the 2007 Credit Facility was amortized.

The aggregate amount of all long-term debt to be repaid for the years following November 30, 2010, is as follows:

<u>For the year ended November 30,</u>	<u>Amount</u> <u>(in thousands)</u>
2011 .....	\$ 56,000
2012 .....	2,574
2013 .....	2,574
2014 .....	2,574
2015 .....	2,574
Thereafter .....	1,202,329
Total .....	<u>\$1,268,625</u>

During first quarter 2011, we will be required to make a payment under the excess cash flow provision of the New Credit Facility of approximately \$56.0 million.

*Interest Rate Swaps and Derivative Instruments.*

The Company is exposed to certain risk arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company's derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company's known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to the Company's investments and borrowings.

The Company's objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, the Company primarily uses

MSCI INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

interest rate swaps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. During the twelve months ending November 30, 2010, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable-rate debt. As of November 30, 2010, the Company had two outstanding interest rate derivatives with a combined notional principal amount of \$445.1 million that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk. The effective fixed rate on the aggregate notional principal amount as of November 30, 2010 was 5.12%.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive income related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are made on the Company's variable-rate debt. During the next twelve months, the Company estimates that an additional \$1.6 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense. During the year ended November 30, 2010, the Company accelerated the reclassification of amounts in other comprehensive income to earnings as a result of the hedged forecasted transactions becoming probable not to occur. The accelerated amounts were a loss of \$3.1 million.

The following table presents the fair values of the Company's derivative instruments and the location in which they are presented on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition:

<u>(In thousands)</u>	<u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition Location</u>	<u>As of November 30, 2010</u>	<u>As of November 30, 2009</u>
Liability derivatives:			
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments			
Interest rate swaps . . . . .	Other accrued liabilities	\$(1,772)	\$(5,379)

The following table presents the effect of the Company's financial derivatives and the location in which they are presented on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition and Consolidated Statements of Income:

<u>Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships</u> <u>(In thousands)</u>	<u>Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income on Derivative (Effective Portion) for the Years Ended November 30,</u>			<u>Location of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income into Income (Effective Portion)</u>	<u>Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income into Income (Effective Portion) for the Years Ended November 30,</u>			<u>Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing)</u>	<u>Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative (Ineffective Portion and Amount Excluded from Effectiveness Testing) for the Years Ended November 30,</u>		
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>		<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>		<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Interest Rate Products . . .	\$(2,628)	\$(5,959)	\$(3,715)	Interest expense	\$(3,072)	\$(4,221)	\$(74)	Interest expense	\$(3,088)	\$—	\$—

*Credit-risk-related contingent features.* The Company has agreements with each of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if the Company defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Company could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations. As of November 30, 2010, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, which includes accrued interest but excludes any adjustment for

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$1.9 million. As of November 30, 2010, the Company has not posted any collateral related to these agreements. If the Company had breached any of these provisions, it could have been required to settle its obligations under the agreements at their termination value.

**8. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

Prior to May 22, 2009, Morgan Stanley owned a controlling interest in the Company and, as such, was treated as a related party. On May 22, 2009, Morgan Stanley sold all of its remaining shares of the Company's stock. At that time, Morgan Stanley ceased to be a related party and all subsequent transactions between Morgan Stanley and MSCI are accounted for, and presented as, third party transactions.

Morgan Stanley or its affiliates subscribe to, in the normal course of business, certain of the Company's products. Amounts recognized as related party revenues by the Company from subscription to the Company's products by Morgan Stanley for the year ended November 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$5.3 million and \$12.4 million, respectively.

Morgan Stanley affiliates had invoiced administrative expenses to the Company primarily relating to staff services. The amounts invoiced by Morgan Stanley affiliates for staff services for the year ended November 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$1.7 million and \$18.3 million, respectively. Interest income earned on cash on deposit with Morgan Stanley and related party receivables for the year ended November 30, 2008 was \$5.3 million. The Company did not earn interest from Morgan Stanley during the year ended November 30, 2009.

Interest expense incurred on payables to Morgan Stanley for both of the years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$0.4 million.

**9. PROPERTY, EQUIPMENT AND LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS**

Property, equipment and leasehold improvements at November 30, 2010 and 2009 consisted of the following:

	As of November 30,	
	2010	2009
	(in thousands)	
Computer & related equipment . . . . .	\$ 62,627	\$ 38,773
Furniture & fixtures . . . . .	6,006	3,004
Leasehold improvements . . . . .	23,798	13,947
Work-in-process . . . . .	901	155
Subtotal . . . . .	93,332	55,879
Accumulated depreciation and amortization . . . . .	(58,964)	(26,498)
Property, equipment and leasehold improvements, net . . . . .	\$ 34,368	\$ 29,381

Depreciation and amortization expense of property, equipment and leasehold improvements was \$17.4 million, \$12.0 million and \$5.0 million for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

**10. INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

Intangible assets consist of those definite-lived intangibles from the acquisitions of Barra in June 2004, RiskMetrics in June 2010 and Measurisk in July 2010. The Company amortizes definite-lived intangible assets

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

over their estimated useful lives. Amortizable intangible assets are tested for impairment when impairment indicators are present, and, if impaired, written down to fair value based on either discounted cash flows or appraised values. No impairment of intangible assets has been identified during any of the periods presented. The Company has no indefinite-lived intangibles.

Amortization expense related to intangible assets for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009, and 2008 was \$41.6 million, \$25.6 million and \$28.5 million, respectively.

The gross carrying amounts and accumulated amortization totals related to the Company's identifiable intangible assets are as follows:

	<b>Gross Carrying Value</b>	<b>Accumulated Amortization</b>	<b>Net Carrying Value</b>
		(in thousands)	
As of November 30, 2010			
Customer relationships .....	\$461,690	\$ (29,500)	\$432,190
Trademarks/trade names .....	243,440	(35,381)	208,059
Technology/software .....	194,131	(123,824)	70,307
Proprietary process .....	3,800	(317)	3,483
Non-compete agreements .....	2,780	(929)	1,851
Transition agreements .....	720	(360)	360
Total .....	<u>\$906,561</u>	<u>(190,311)</u>	<u>716,250</u>
As of November 30, 2009			
Technology/software .....	\$140,678	\$(109,090)	\$ 31,588
Trademarks .....	102,220	(26,611)	75,609
Customer relationships .....	25,880	(12,888)	12,992
Non-competes .....	—	—	—
Total .....	<u>\$268,778</u>	<u>\$(148,589)</u>	<u>\$120,189</u>

Estimated amortization expense for succeeding years is presented below:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Amortization Expense</u>
	(in thousands)
2011 .....	\$ 66,050
2012 .....	63,835
2013 .....	53,124
2014 .....	52,864
2015 .....	52,803
Thereafter .....	427,574
Total .....	<u>\$716,250</u>

**11. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS**

The Company sponsors a 401(k) plan for eligible U.S. employees and defined contribution and defined benefit pension plans that cover substantially all of its non-U.S. employees. For the years ended November 30,

## MSCI INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2010, 2009 and 2008, costs relating to 401(k), pension and post-retirement benefit expenses were \$8.8 million, \$7.0 million and \$5.9 million, respectively. Amounts included in cost of services were \$5.2 million, \$4.3 million and \$3.4 million for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Amounts included in selling, general and administrative expense related to these pension and post-retirement expenses for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$3.6 million, \$2.7 million and \$2.4 million, respectively.

*401(k) and Other Defined Contribution Plans.* Eligible employees may participate in the MSCI 401(k) plan (or any other regional defined contribution plan sponsored by MSCI) immediately upon hire. Eligible employees receive 401(k) and other defined contribution plan matching contributions and, in the case of the MSCI 401(k) plan, an additional Company contribution of 3% of the employees' cash compensation, which is subject to vesting and certain other limitations. Legacy RiskMetrics employees participate in the legacy RiskMetrics 401(k) plan (or any other regional defined contribution plan sponsored) and receive 401(k) and other defined contribution plan matching contributions. The Company's expenses associated with the 401(k) plan and other defined contribution plans for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 were \$6.8 million, \$5.7 million and \$3.3 million, respectively.

*Net Periodic Benefit Expense.* Net periodic benefit expense incurred by the Company related to defined benefit pension plans was \$2.0 million, \$1.3 million and \$2.6 million for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The Company uses a measurement date of November 30 to calculate obligations under its pension and postretirement plans. As of November 30, 2010, the fair value of the defined benefit plan assets was \$16.0 million and the Company carried a \$4.8 million liability related to its future pension obligations.

## 12. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

### *MSCI Share-based Compensation Awards*

On November 6, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors approved the award of founders grants to its employees in the form of restricted stock units and/or options ("Founders Grant Award"). The aggregate value of the grants, which were made on November 14, 2007, was approximately \$68.0 million. The restricted stock units and options vest over a four year period, with 50% vesting on the second anniversary of the grant date and 25% vesting on each of the third and fourth anniversary of the grant date. The options have an exercise price per share of \$18.00 and have a term of 10 years, subject to earlier cancellation in certain circumstances. The aggregate value of the options was calculated using the Black-Scholes valuation method consistent with ASC Subtopic 718-10, "Compensation-Stock Compensation."

On December 16, 2008, the Company, as a component of the 2008 annual bonus, awarded a portion of its employees with a grant in the form of restricted stock units ("2008 Bonus Award"). The aggregate value of the grants was approximately \$9.5 million of restricted stock units. The restricted stock units vest over a three year period, with one-third vesting on January 8, 2010, January 10, 2011 and January 9, 2012, respectively. Approximately \$4.2 million of this grant was awarded to retirement-eligible employees under the award terms. Based on interpretive guidance related to ASC Subtopic 718-10, the Company accrues the estimated cost of these awards over the course of the fiscal year in which the award is earned. As such, the Company accrued the estimated cost of the 2008 Bonus Award granted to retirement-eligible employees over the 2008 fiscal year rather than expensing the awards on the date of grant.

On December 16, 2009, the Company, as a component of the 2009 annual bonus, awarded certain of its employees with a grant in the form of restricted stock units ("2009 Bonus Award"). The aggregate value of the



**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

grants was approximately \$13.2 million of restricted stock units. The restricted stock units vest over a three year period, with one-third vesting on December 20, 2010, December 19, 2011 and December 17, 2012, respectively. Approximately \$5.1 million of this grant was awarded to retirement-eligible employees under the award terms. The Company accrued the estimated cost of the 2009 Bonus Award granted to retirement-eligible employees over the 2009 fiscal year.

On June 1, 2010, the Company reserved approximately 4.2 million class A common shares for outstanding vested and unvested stock options and 0.1 million class A common shares for outstanding unvested restricted stock awards assumed as part of the acquisition of RiskMetrics. Over an approximate three and a half year period from the date assumed, \$16.7 million is expected to be expensed for unvested stock options and \$1.3 million for unvested restricted stock awards.

On June 1, 2010, the Company awarded certain of its employees with a grant in the form of restricted stock units (“Performance Award”). The Performance Award will performance-vest based upon the Company achieving specific performance targets over a measurement period ending on the fiscal year end 2012 and time-vest over a 31 month period, with one-half time-vesting on December 1, 2011 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The aggregate value of the grants was approximately \$15.9 million.

In December 2010, the Company, as a component of the 2010 annual bonus, awarded a portion of its employees with a grant in the form of restricted stock units. The total number of units granted was 513,888. The aggregate value of the grants was approximately \$18.9 million. Approximately \$6.2 million was awarded to retirement eligible employees under the award terms, \$5.7 million of which had been expensed in the year ended November 30, 2010. On December 10, 2010, the Compensation Committee (the “Committee”) of the Board of Directors of the Company approved the grant of a special one-time price and time vested stock option award of 208,175 units to the Company’s Chief Executive Officer. The award was valued using a Monte Carlo simulation based on the closing price of the Company’s class A common stock at the close of business on December 13, 2010.

For the Founders Grant Award and the Performance Award, all or a portion of the award may be cancelled in certain limited situations, including termination for cause, if employment is terminated before the end of the relevant restriction period. For the remainder of the awards granted by the Company, all or a portion may be cancelled if employment is terminated for certain reasons before the end of the relevant restriction period for non-retirement-eligible employees.

In connection with awards under its equity-based compensation and benefit plans, the Company is authorized to issue shares of its common stock held in treasury or newly issued shares.

The components of share-based compensation expense related to the awards to Company employees and directors who are not employees of the Company or Morgan Stanley of restricted stock units and restricted stock awards (representing shares of MSCI common stock) and options to purchase MSCI common stock, as applicable, are presented below (in thousands):

<u>For the years ended</u>	<u>November 30, 2010</u>	<u>November 30, 2009</u>	<u>November 30, 2008</u>
Deferred stock .....	\$24,632	\$28,987	\$24,318
Stock options .....	7,653	6,174	6,020
Total .....	<u>\$32,285</u>	<u>\$35,161</u>	<u>\$30,338</u>

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The amount of this expense included in cost of services for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$12.0 million, \$12.2 million and \$10.0 million, respectively. The amount of this expense included in selling, general and administrative expense for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$17.3 million, \$23.0 million and \$20.4 million, respectively. The amount of this expense included in restructuring expense for the year ended November 30, 2010 was \$3.0 million.

The tax benefits for share-based compensation expense related to deferred stock and stock options granted to Company employees and to directors who are not employees of the Company or Morgan Stanley were \$8.5 million for the year ended November 30, 2010. The tax benefits for share-based compensation expense related to deferred stock and stock options granted to Company employees and to directors who are not employees of the Company or Morgan Stanley were \$6.9 million for the year ended November 30, 2009. No tax benefits for share-based compensation expense were recognized for the years ended November 30, 2008.

As of November 30, 2010, approximately \$31.6 million of compensation cost related to MSCI unvested share-based awards granted to the Company's employees and to directors who are not employees of the Company or Morgan Stanley had not yet been recognized. The unrecognized compensation cost relating to unvested stock-based awards expected to vest will be recognized primarily over the next one to three years.

In connection with awards under its equity-based compensation and benefit plans, the Company is authorized to issue shares of its class a common stock. As of November 30, 2010, approximately 10.8 million shares of Common Stock were available for future grant under these plans.

*Deferred Stock Awards.* Certain Company employees have been granted deferred stock awards pursuant to its share-based compensation plan. The plan provides for the deferral of a portion of certain employees' discretionary compensation with awards made in the form of the right to receive restricted stock units and restricted stock awards. Recipients of deferred stock generally have rights to receive dividend equivalents that are not subject to vesting.

The following table sets forth activity concerning the Company's vested and unvested deferred stock awards applicable to its employees (share data in thousands):

<b><u>For the Year Ended November 30, 2010</u></b>	<b><u>Number of Shares</u></b>	<b><u>Weighted Average Price</u></b>
Deferred stock awards at beginning of year . . . . .	1,887	\$17.36
Granted or assumed . . . . .	1,109	\$31.26
Conversion to common stock . . . . .	(1,010)	\$19.17
Canceled . . . . .	<u>(104)</u>	\$20.88
Deferred stock awards at end of year <sup>(1)</sup> . . . . .	<u>1,882</u>	\$24.38

(1) As of November 30, 2010, approximately 1,861 million restricted stock units and restricted stock awards, with a weighted average price of \$24.41, were vested or expected to vest.

The total fair value of restricted stock units and restricted stock awards held by the Company's employees converted or vested to MSCI common stock during the year ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was \$34.3 million, 45.3 million and \$1.9 million, respectively.

MSCI INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table sets forth activity concerning the Company's unvested deferred stock awards related to its employees (share data in thousands):

<u>For the Year Ended November 30, 2010</u>	<u>Number of Shares</u>	<u>Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value</u>
Unvested deferred stock awards at beginning of year .....	1,625	\$17.60
Granted or assumed .....	1,109	\$31.26
Vested .....	(1,081)	\$21.46
Canceled .....	(104)	\$20.88
Unvested deferred stock awards at end of year <sup>(1)</sup> .....	<u>1,549</u>	\$24.48
Expected to vest .....	1,528	\$24.49

(1) Unvested deferred stock awards represent awards where recipients have yet to satisfy either the explicit vesting terms or retirement-eligibility requirements.

*Stock Option Awards.* MSCI reserved approximately 4.2 million shares of Common Stock for outstanding vested and unvested stock options assumed as part of the acquisition of RiskMetrics. The fair values of stock options assumed were estimated using a Hull-White Lattice option-pricing model. No additional stock options were issued by the Company during the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

The weighted average fair value of MSCI stock options assumed by the Company in the year ended November 30, 2010 was \$16.58, utilizing the following assumptions:

	<u>For the year ended November 30, 2010</u>
Risk free interest rate range .....	0.35% to 3.21 %
Expected forfeiture rate .....	7.0 %
Expected stock price volatility range .....	28.8 to 56.8 %
Suboptimal exercise factor .....	2.14
Expected dividend yield .....	—

The expected stock price volatility assumption was determined using the historical volatility of the Company and of MSCI's peers. Because the Company did not have sufficient share price history to calculate the historical volatility of MSCI Common Stock, the Company believes that the combination of its own and its peers' historical volatility is the most reliable data for the purposes of estimating the expected volatility.

MSCI INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table sets forth activity concerning MSCI stock options granted to the Company's employees for the year ended November 30, 2010 (option data and dollar values in thousands, except exercise price):

<b>For the Year Ended November 30, 2010</b>	<b>Number of Options</b>	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>	<b>Weighted Average Remaining Life (Years)</b>	<b>Aggregated Intrinsic Value</b>
Options outstanding at beginning of year . . . . .	2,017	\$18.00	7.96	N/A
Granted or assumed . . . . .	4,175	\$16.67	N/A	N/A
Forfeited . . . . .	(138)	\$20.35	N/A	N/A
Conversion to common stock . . . . .	(1,497)	\$15.48	N/A	N/A
Options outstanding at end of year . . . . .	<u>4,557</u>	\$17.54	6.47	\$75,301
Options exercisable at year end . . . . .	<u>2,683</u>	\$15.23	5.65	\$50,519
Options vested or expected to vest . . . . .	<u>4,459</u>	\$17.45	6.40	\$74,087

The following table presents information relating to the Company's stock options outstanding as of November 30, 2010 (number of options outstanding and aggregate intrinsic value data in thousands):

<b>At November 30, 2010</b>	<b>Options Outstanding</b>			
<b>Range of Exercise Prices</b>	<b>Number Outstanding</b>	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>	<b>Average Remaining Life (Years)</b>	<b>Aggregate Intrinsic Value</b>
\$1.00 to \$7.00 . . . . .	612	\$ 4.83	3.33	\$17,893
\$7.01 to \$14.00 . . . . .	267	\$ 9.79	5.15	\$ 6,492
\$14.01 to \$21.00 . . . . .	2,025	\$17.68	6.94	\$33,170
\$21.01 to \$28.00 . . . . .	<u>1,653</u>	\$23.32	7.27	<u>\$17,746</u>
Total . . . . .	<u>4,557</u>			<u>\$75,301</u>

The following table presents information relating to the Company's stock options exercisable as of November 30, 2010 (number of options outstanding and aggregate intrinsic value data in thousands):

<b>At November 30, 2010</b>	<b>Options Exercisable</b>			
<b>Range of Exercise Prices</b>	<b>Number Outstanding</b>	<b>Weighted Average Exercise Price</b>	<b>Average Remaining Life (Years)</b>	<b>Aggregate Intrinsic Value</b>
\$1.00 to \$7.00 . . . . .	612	\$ 4.83	3.33	\$17,893
\$7.01 to \$14.00 . . . . .	268	\$ 9.79	5.15	\$ 6,492
\$14.01 to \$21.00 . . . . .	1,152	\$17.94	6.47	\$18,566
\$21.01 to \$28.00 . . . . .	<u>651</u>	\$22.44	6.58	<u>\$ 7,568</u>
Total . . . . .	<u>2,683</u>			<u>\$50,519</u>

The intrinsic value of the stock options exercised by the Company's employees during the year ended November 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$17.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. No stock options were exercised by the Company's employees during the year ended November 30, 2008.

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

*Morgan Stanley Share-based Compensation Awards*

Certain employees of the Company had received share-based compensation under Morgan Stanley's executive compensation programs. The fair value of Morgan Stanley-related restricted stock units was determined based on the number of units granted and the grant date fair value of Morgan Stanley common stock, measured as the volume-weighted average price on the date of grant. The fair value of Morgan Stanley-related stock options was determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model and the single grant life method. Under the single grant life method, option awards with graded vesting are valued using a single weighted-average expected option life.

The components of share-based compensation expense (net of cancellations) related to Company employees allocated to the Company are presented below:

	For the years ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
	(in thousands)		
Deferred stock .....	\$—	\$602	\$1,594
Stock options .....	—	128	61
Total .....	\$—	\$730	\$1,655

No expense related to Morgan Stanley share-based compensation awards was recognized in either cost of services or selling, general and administrative expense in the year ended November 30, 2010. The amount of expense included in cost of services in the years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$0.1 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. The amount of expense included in selling, general and administrative expense in the years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$0.6 million and \$0.9 million, respectively.

No Morgan Stanley stock options were exercised by the Company's employees during the years ended November 30, 2010 or 2009. The intrinsic value of the Morgan Stanley stock options exercised by the Company's employees during the year ended November 30, 2008 was immaterial.

**13. INCOME TAXES**

The provision for income taxes (benefits) consisted of (in thousands):

	For the years ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
<b>Current</b>			
U.S. federal .....	\$36,386	\$ 45,957	\$36,394
U.S. state and local .....	9,452	10,714	7,586
Non U.S. ....	14,557	7,587	4,573
	60,395	64,258	48,553
<b>Deferred</b>			
U.S. federal .....	4,091	(12,940)	(4,631)
U.S. state and local .....	(1,954)	(919)	(1,241)
Non U.S. ....	(1,211)	(479)	(1,306)
	926	(14,338)	(7,178)
Provision for income taxes .....	\$61,321	\$ 49,920	\$41,375

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following table reconciles the provision to the U.S. federal statutory income tax rate:

	<b>For the fiscal year ended November 30,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
U.S. federal statutory income tax rate . . . . .	35.00%	35.00%	35.00%
U.S. state and local income taxes, net of U.S. federal income tax benefits . .	3.13%	3.63%	3.76%
Change in tax rates applicable to non-U.S. earnings . . . . .	0.33%	(0.64%)	(0.58%)
Domestic tax credits . . . . .	— %	(0.96%)	(1.85%)
Other . . . . .	<u>1.49%</u>	<u>0.87%</u>	<u>1.41%</u>
Effective income tax rate . . . . .	<u>39.95%</u>	<u>37.90%</u>	<u>37.74%</u>

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the enacted tax rates and laws that will be in effect when such differences are expected to reverse. Significant components of the Company's deferred tax assets and liabilities at November 30, 2010 and November 30, 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

	<b>As of November 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Deferred tax assets</b>		
Employee compensation and benefit plans . . . . .	\$ 25,995	\$ 16,587
Property, equipment and leasehold improvements, net . . . . .	4,462	4,575
State taxes . . . . .	2,192	2,824
Interest rate swap . . . . .	692	2,100
Foreign taxes . . . . .	—	1,970
Foreign currency translation . . . . .	1,256	1,927
Pension . . . . .	122	484
Unearned revenue . . . . .	2,146	—
NOL carryforward – current . . . . .	11,906	—
NOL carryforward – non-current . . . . .	22,873	—
Other . . . . .	<u>4,453</u>	<u>1,499</u>
Subtotal . . . . .	76,097	31,966
Less: valuation allowance . . . . .	<u>(1,003)</u>	<u>—</u>
Total deferred tax assets . . . . .	<u>\$ 75,094</u>	<u>\$ 31,966</u>
<b>Deferred tax liabilities</b>		
Intangible assets . . . . .	\$(267,277)	\$(44,655)
Other . . . . .	<u>(950)</u>	<u>(2,814)</u>
Total deferred tax liabilities . . . . .	<u>\$(268,227)</u>	<u>\$(47,469)</u>
Net deferred tax liabilities . . . . .	<u>\$(193,133)</u>	<u>\$(15,503)</u>
Net current deferred tax asset . . . . .	\$ 47,811	\$ 24,577
Net non-current deferred tax liabilities . . . . .	<u>(240,944)</u>	<u>(40,080)</u>
Net deferred tax liabilities . . . . .	<u>\$(193,133)</u>	<u>\$(15,503)</u>

Earnings attributable to foreign subsidiaries were approximately \$48.6 million, \$25.1 million and \$18.4 million for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. No provisions for income tax that

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

could occur upon repatriation have been recorded on these earnings. Except to the extent such earnings can be repatriated tax efficiently, they are permanently invested abroad. It is not practicable to determine the amount of income taxes payable in the event all such foreign earnings are repatriated.

The Company regularly assesses the likelihood of additional assessments in each of the taxing jurisdictions in which it files income tax returns. The Company has established unrecognized tax benefits that the Company believes are adequate in relation to the potential for additional assessments. Once established, the Company adjusts unrecognized tax benefits only when more information is available or when an event occurs necessitating a change. As part of the Company's periodic review of unrecognized tax benefits and based on new information regarding the status of federal and state examinations, the Company's unrecognized tax benefits were remeasured. It is reasonably possible that significant changes in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits may occur within the next 12 months. At this time, however, it is not possible to reasonably estimate the expected change to the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits and the impact on the effective tax rate over the next 12 months.

The Company believes the resolution of tax matters will not have a material effect on the Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition of the Company, although a resolution could have a material impact on the Company's Consolidated Statement of Income for a particular future period and on the Company's effective tax rate for any period in which such resolution occurs.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of the gross unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties, for the year ended November 30, 2010:

	For the Years Ended November 30,		
	2010	2009	2008
<b>Gross unrecognized tax benefits</b>			
<b>(amounts in thousands)</b>			
Beginning balance as of December 1 . . . . .	\$10,974	\$ 2,625	\$1,609
Increases based on tax positions related to the current period . . . . .	1,516	466	689
Decreases based on tax positions related to current period . . . . .	—	—	—
Increases based on tax positions related to prior periods <sup>(1)</sup> . . . . .	3,773	8,796	327
Decreases based on tax positions related to prior periods . . . . .	(317)	(349)	—
Increases/ (Decreases) related to settlements with taxing authorities . . . . .	(887)	(564)	—
Increases/(Decreases) related to a lapse of applicable statute of limitations . . . . .	(1,970)	—	—
Ending balance as of November 30 . . . . .	<u>\$13,089</u>	<u>\$10,974</u>	<u>\$2,625</u>

(1) Includes \$3.8 million assumed upon the acquisition of RiskMetrics on June 1, 2010.

The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits was approximately \$10.5 million, net of federal benefit of state issues, competent authority and foreign tax credit offsets, as of November 30, 2010, which, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective tax rate in future periods. The Company recognizes the accrual of interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in the Provision for Income Taxes in the Consolidated Statements of Income. For the year ended November 30, 2010, the Company recognized \$0.9 million of interest and \$0.1 million of penalties in the Consolidated Statements of Income.

The Company is under examination by the Internal Revenue Service ("the IRS") and other tax authorities in certain countries, such as Japan and the United Kingdom, and states in which the Company has significant business operations, such as New York and California. The tax years currently under examination vary by

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

jurisdiction. During 2010, the IRS concluded the field work portion of their examination on issues related to tax years 1999-2005.

Subsequent to the tax year ended November 30, 2010, Morgan Stanley reached a preliminary settlement with the New York State and New York City tax authorities on issues relating to years 2002 -2006. However, no additional assessments have yet been issued. The Company expects to settle by sometime in the second quarter of calendar 2011 and to indemnify Morgan Stanley for any additional assessments deemed to be due in accordance with the Tax Sharing Agreement.

The following table summarizes the major taxing jurisdictions in which the Company and its affiliates operate and the open tax years for each major jurisdiction:

<u>Tax Jurisdiction</u>	<u>Open Tax Years</u>
United States . . . . .	1999 – 2009
California . . . . .	2004 – 2008
New York State and City . . . . .	2002 – 2008
Hong Kong . . . . .	2003 – 2009
United Kingdom . . . . .	2007 – 2008
Canada . . . . .	2005 – 2009
Japan . . . . .	2009

**14. SEGMENT INFORMATION**

ASC Subtopic 280-10, “*Segment Reporting*,” establishes standards for reporting information about operating segments. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. MSCI’s Chief Executive Officer, who is considered to be its chief operating decision maker, or CODM, reviews financial information presented on an operating segment basis for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. Prior to June 1, 2010, the Company assessed that it operated in a single business segment based on its historical integration and management strategies. As a result of MSCI’s acquisition of RiskMetrics, MSCI began operating as two segments, the Performance and Risk business and the Governance business. These designations have been made as the discrete operating results of these segments are reviewed by the Company’s CODM for purposes of making operating decisions and assessing financial performance.

The Performance and Risk business is a leading global provider of investment decision support tools, including indices, portfolio risk and performance analytics, credit analytics and ESG products. The business provides clients with a broad suite of products and services to assist them with managing equity, fixed income and multi-asset class portfolios. The products are used in many areas of the investment process, including portfolio construction and rebalancing, performance benchmarking and attribution, risk management and analysis, index-linked invest product creation, asset allocation, assessment of social responsibility, environmental stewardship and the effects of climate change on investments, investment manager selection and investment research.

The Governance business is a leading provider of corporate governance products and specialized financial research and analysis services to institutional shareholders and corporations around the world. Among other things, the Governance business facilitates the voting of proxies by institutional investors and provides in-depth



**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

research and analysis to help inform their voting decisions and identify issuer-specific risk. It offers both global security coverage and fully integrated products and services, including proxy voting, policy creation, research, vote recommendations, vote execution, post-vote disclosure and reporting and analytical tools. Within a firewall, a separate unit of the Governance business also provides products and services to corporate clients who may use those products and services to learn about and improve their governance and executive compensation practices.

The CODM does not review any information regarding total assets on an operating segment basis. Operating segments do not record intersegment revenue, and, accordingly, there is none to be reported. The accounting policies for segment reporting are the same as for MSCI as a whole.

The following table presents MSCI's operating segments' results for the years ended November 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008:

(in thousands)	<b>Years Ended November 30,</b>		
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>Operating revenues</b>			
Performance and Risk .....	\$604,307	\$442,948	\$430,961
Governance .....	58,594	—	—
Consolidated .....	\$662,901	\$442,948	\$430,961
<b>Amortization of intangible assets and depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements</b>			
Performance and Risk .....	\$ 51,028	\$ 37,511	\$ 33,470
Governance .....	7,984	—	—
Consolidated .....	\$ 59,012	\$ 37,511	\$ 33,470
<b>Operating income</b>			
Performance and Risk .....	\$200,369	\$150,992	\$135,790
Governance .....	5,754	—	—
Consolidated .....	\$206,123	\$150,992	\$135,790

Revenue by geography is based on the shipping address of the customer.

Long-lived assets consist of property, equipment, leasehold improvements, goodwill and intangible assets, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization.

**MSCI INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The following table sets forth revenue and long-lived assets by geographic area (in thousands):

	2010		2009		2008	
	Revenues	Long-lived Assets	Revenues	Long-lived Assets	Revenues	Long-lived Assets
Americas:						
United States .....	\$329,773	2,435,914	\$212,763	\$571,052	\$208,884	\$597,254
Other .....	23,546	2,424	14,425	672	13,048	320
Total Americas .....	353,319	2,438,338	227,188	571,724	221,932	597,574
EMEA:						
United Kingdom .....	86,136	4,740	56,232	1,488	55,858	1,572
Other .....	128,934	7,826	83,922	11,997	85,564	11,722
Total EMEA .....	215,070	12,566	140,154	13,485	141,422	13,294
Asia & Australia:						
Japan .....	46,872	452	41,805	503	36,890	483
Other .....	47,640	5,933	33,801	5,481	30,717	4,626
Total Asia & Australia .....	94,512	6,385	75,606	5,984	67,607	5,109
Total .....	\$662,901	\$2,457,289	\$442,948	\$591,193	\$430,961	\$615,977

MSCI INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

15. QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (unaudited):

	2010				2009			
	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter <sup>(1)</sup>	Fourth Quarter <sup>(1)</sup>	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
	(in thousands)				(in thousands)			
Operating revenues . . . . .	\$121,680	\$125,170	\$202,733	\$213,318	\$105,915	\$109,375	\$108,868	\$118,790
Cost of services . . . . .	29,291	30,463	69,741	69,131	28,935	29,269	28,247	32,214
Selling, general and administrative . . . . .	37,461	40,177	63,306	49,300	34,716	34,052	33,525	33,487
Restructuring . . . . .	—	—	6,953	1,943	—	—	—	—
Amortization of intangible assets . . . . .	4,278	4,277	16,350	16,694	6,429	6,428	6,429	6,268
Depreciation and amortization of property, equipment and leasehold improvements . . . . .	3,393	3,556	4,934	5,530	3,051	2,972	2,869	3,065
Total operating expenses . . . . .	74,423	78,473	161,284	142,598	73,131	72,721	71,070	75,034
Operating income . . . . .	47,257	46,697	41,449	70,720	32,784	36,654	37,798	43,756
Interest income . . . . .	(408)	(343)	(114)	(128)	(121)	(220)	(373)	(339)
Interest expense . . . . .	4,436	8,991	20,415	17,495	5,638	4,904	4,628	4,513
Other expense (income) . . . . .	(608)	98	524	2,274	882	(2)	(168)	(71)
Other expense (income), net . . . . .	3,420	8,746	20,825	19,641	6,399	4,682	4,087	4,103
Income before provision for income taxes . . . . .	43,837	37,951	20,624	51,079	26,385	31,972	33,711	39,653
Provision for income taxes . . . . .	16,319	13,884	10,305	20,813	9,661	12,354	12,787	15,118
Net income . . . . .	<u>\$ 27,518</u>	<u>\$ 24,067</u>	<u>\$ 10,319</u>	<u>\$ 30,266</u>	<u>\$ 16,724</u>	<u>\$ 19,618</u>	<u>\$ 20,924</u>	<u>\$ 24,535</u>
Earnings per basic common share . . . . .	<u>\$ 0.26</u>	<u>\$ 0.23</u>	<u>\$ 0.09</u>	<u>\$ 0.25</u>	<u>\$ 0.16</u>	<u>\$ 0.19</u>	<u>\$ 0.20</u>	<u>\$ 0.24</u>
Earnings per diluted common share . . . . .	<u>\$ 0.26</u>	<u>\$ 0.22</u>	<u>\$ 0.08</u>	<u>\$ 0.25</u>	<u>\$ 0.16</u>	<u>\$ 0.19</u>	<u>\$ 0.20</u>	<u>\$ 0.24</u>
Weighted average shares outstanding used in computing per share data								
Basic . . . . .	<u>105,235</u>	<u>105,345</u>	<u>118,339</u>	<u>119,309</u>	<u>100,286</u>	<u>100,359</u>	<u>100,402</u>	<u>101,383</u>
Diluted . . . . .	<u>105,844</u>	<u>106,003</u>	<u>120,341</u>	<u>121,172</u>	<u>100,286</u>	<u>100,371</u>	<u>100,833</u>	<u>101,952</u>

(1) Includes the results of RiskMetrics and Measurisk as of the June 1, 2010 and July 30, 2010 acquisition dates, respectively.

16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Management of the Company evaluated subsequent events from November 30, 2010 through the filing date of this Form 10-K.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
2.1	Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of February 28, 2010 among MSCI Inc., RiskMetrics Group, Inc. and Crossway Inc. (filed as Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on March 1, 2010 and incorporate by reference herein)
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
3.2	Amended and Restated By-laws (filed as Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
4.1	Form of Senior Debt Indenture (filed as Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-159311), filed with the SEC on May 18, 2009 and incorporated by reference herein)
4.2	Form of Subordinated Debt Indenture (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3 (File No. 333-159311) filed with the SEC on May 18, 2009 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.1#†	Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of March 18, 2000, between Morgan Stanley Capital International and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (Replaces exhibit filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007)
10.2#†	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds between Morgan Stanley Capital International and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (Replaces exhibit filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007)
10.3#†	Letter Agreement to Amend MSCI-BGI Fund Index License Agreement, dated as of June 21, 2001, between Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (Replaces exhibit filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007)
10.4†	Addendum to the Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of September 18, 2002, between Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.5†	Amendment to the Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of December 3, 2004 between Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on October 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.6†	Amendment to the Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of May 1, 2005 between Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.7†	Amendment to the Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of July 1, 2006, between Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), with the SEC on October 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)

Exhibit Number	Description
10.8#†	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of June 5, 2007, between Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (Replaces exhibit filed as Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007)
10.9†	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of November 7, 2008, between MSCI Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2008 (File No.001-33812), filed with the SEC on January 29, 2009 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.10†	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of December 9, 2008, between MSCI Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2009 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on January 29, 2010) This exhibit has been replaced by Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended May 31, 2010 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on July 2, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.11	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of April 1, 2009, between MSCI Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2009 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on January 29, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.12†	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of May 21, 2009, between MSCI Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2009 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on January 29, 2010) This exhibit has been replaced by Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended May 31, 2010 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on July 2, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.13	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of September 30, 2009, between MSCI Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2009 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on January 29, 2010) Due to a change in the confidential treatment of certain information contained in this filing, it has been superseded by Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended May 31, 2010 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on July 2, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.14	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of October 6, 2009, between MSCI Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2009 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on January 29, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.15#†	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of October 27, 2009, between MSCI Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A. (Replaces exhibit filed as Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2009 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on January 29, 2010, as updated by Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended May 31, 2010 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on July 2, 2010)
10.16	Trademark License Agreement, dated as of March 18, 2002, between Morgan Stanley Dean Witter & Co. and Morgan Stanley Capital International Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on September 26, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)

Exhibit Number	Description
10.17	Amendment No. 1 to Trademark License Agreement, dated July 21, 2008, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to the Company's Form 10-Q for the period ended August 31, 2008 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on October 6, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.18	Intellectual Property Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2007, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.19	Amendment No. 1 to Intellectual Property Agreement, dated as of July 21, 2008 between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to the company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended August 31, 2008 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on October 6, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.20	Services Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2007, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.21	Amendment No. 1 to Services Agreement, dated as of July 21, 2008, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended August 31, 2008 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on October 6, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.22	Letter Agreement to Services Agreement, dated as of May 22, 2009, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Form 8-K (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on May 22, 2009 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.23	Tax Sharing Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2007, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.24	Shareholder Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2007, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.25	Amended and Restated Shareholder Agreement, dated as of July 21, 2008, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended August 31, 2008 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on October 6, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.26	Credit Agreement, dated as of November 20, 2007, among MSCI Inc., Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., Bank of America, N.A. and the other lenders party thereto (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein) repaid, retired and replaced by the Credit Agreement, dated as of June 1, 2010 among MSCI Inc., as the Borrower, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as Administrative Agent, Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated, as Collateral Agent, Morgan Stanley Senior Funding, Inc., as Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer and the other lenders party thereto (filed as Exhibit 2.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on June 7, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein)

Exhibit Number	Description
10.27	Asset Purchase Agreement, dated July 22, 2008, between MSCI Inc. and Morgan Stanley (filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended August 31, 2008 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on October 6, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.28	Separation Agreement, dated as of May 22, 2009, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Form 8-K (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on May 22, 2009 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.29	Employee Matters Agreement, dated as of May 22, 2009, between Morgan Stanley and MSCI Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Form 8-K (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on May 22, 2009 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.30*	MSCI Inc. Amended and Restated 2007 Equity Incentive Compensation Plan (filed as Annex B to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 (File No. 001-33812) and incorporated by reference herein)
10.31*	MSCI Independent Directors' Equity Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein) as amended and restated by Exhibit 10.39 filed herewith
10.32*	MSCI Inc. Performance Formula and Incentive Plan (filed as Annex C to the Company's Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 (File No. 001-33812) and incorporated by reference herein)
10.33*	MSCI Equity Incentive Compensation Plan 2007 Founders Grant Award Certificates for Stock Units (filed as Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.34*	MSCI Equity Incentive Compensation Plan 2007 Founders Grant Award Certificates for Stock Units for Named Executive Officers (filed as Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.35*	MSCI Equity Incentive Compensation Plan 2007 Founders Grant Award Certificate for Stock Options (filed as Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.36*	MSCI Independent Directors' Equity Incentive Compensation Plan 2007 Award Certificate for Stock Units (filed as Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2007 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on February 28, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.37*	Summary of Relocation and Expatriate Benefits for C.D. Baer Pettit (filed as Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, as amended (File No. 333-144975), filed with the SEC on November 6, 2007 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.38*	MSCI Equity Incentive Compensation Plan Form of Award Certificate for Stock Units for Executive Officers and the General Counsel (filed as Exhibit 10.30 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 30, 2008 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on January 29, 2009 and incorporated by reference herein.
10.39#*	MSCI Independent Directors' Equity Compensation Plan as amended and restated on January 12, 2011



Exhibit Number	Description
10.40*	RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2000 Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-165888), filed with the SEC on June 3, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.41*	RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2004 Stock Option Plan (filed as Exhibit 99.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-165888), filed with the SEC on June 3, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.42*	Institutional Shareholder Services Holdings, Inc. Equity Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 99.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-165888), filed with the SEC on June 3, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.43*	RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 99.4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-165888), filed with the SEC on June 3, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.44#*	Form of Performance Award for Restricted Stock Units for Named Executive Officers under the MSCI Inc. 2007 Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Compensation Plan
10.45#	Form of Performance Award for Restricted Stock Units for Employees under the MSCI Inc. 2007 Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Compensation Plan
10.46#	Form of Award Agreement for Restricted Stock Units for Employees under the MSCI Inc. 2007 Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Compensation Plan
10.47#*	Form of Award Agreement for Restricted Stock Units for Named Executive Officers under the MSCI Inc. 2007 Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Compensation Plan
10.48#	Form of Award Agreement for Restricted Stock Units for Employees under the RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan
10.49#*	Form of Award Agreement for Restricted Stock Units for Named Executive Officers under the RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan
10.50#	Form of Performance Award Agreement for Performance Stock Units for Employees under the MSCI Inc. 2007 Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Compensation Plan
10.51#*	Form of Performance Award Agreement for Performance Stock Units for Named Executive Officers under the MSCI Inc. 2007 Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Compensation Plan
10.52#	Form of Performance Award Agreement for Performance Stock Units for Employees under the RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan
10.53#*	Form of Performance Award Agreement for Performance Stock Units for Named Executive Officers under the RiskMetrics Group, Inc. 2007 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan
10.54#*	Form of Award Agreement for 2010 Price Vested Stock Option Award for the Chief Executive Officer under the MSCI Inc. 2007 Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Compensation Plan
10.55	Datafeed License Agreement, dated October 27, 2003, between ISS and ADP Investor Communications Services, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended May 31, 2010 (File No. 001-33812), filed with the SEC on July 2, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein)
10.56	First Amendment to Datafeed License Agreement, dated as of January 3, 2005, between ISS and ADP Investor Communications Services, Inc. (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended May 31, 2010 (File No. 001-33812) filed with the SEC on July 2, 2010 and incorporated by reference herein.
10.57#††	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of December 15, 2009, between MSCI Inc. and Blackrock Institutional Trust Company, N.A.

Exhibit Number	Description
10.58#††	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of May 24, 2010, between MSCI Inc. and BlackRock Institutional Trust Company, N.A.
10.59#	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of May 20, 2010
10.60#††	Schedule No. 11043 to the Master Index License Agreement for Index Based Funds between MSCI Inc. and BlackRock Institutional Trust Company, N.A. (formerly, Barclays Global Investors, N.A.), dated as of March 18, 2000
10.61#††	Amendment to Index License Agreement for Funds, dated as of November 19, 2010 between MSCI Inc. and Barclays Global Investors, N.A.
21.1#	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
23.1#	Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP
24.1#	Powers of Attorney
31.1**	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2**	Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1**	Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer
101.INS***	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH***	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.
101.CAL***	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.
101.LAB***	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.
101.PRE***	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.
101.DEF***	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.

# Filed herewith.

\* Indicates a management compensation plan, contract or arrangement.

\*\* Furnished herewith.

\*\*\* As provided in Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

† Confidential treatment has been granted for a portion of this exhibit.

†† Confidential treatment requested.

**Subsidiaries of MSCI Inc.**

<u>NAME</u>	<u>Jurisdiction of Incorporation/Organization</u>
Barra Inc. ....	Delaware
MSCI Limited ....	United Kingdom
MSCI Australia Pty Limited ....	Australia
MSCI Barra Financial Information Consultancy (Shanghai) Limited ....	Shanghai
MSCI Barra SA ....	Switzerland
MSCI Services Private Limited ....	India
MSCI KFT ....	Hungary
MSCI Holdings LLC ....	Delaware
MSCI S. de R.L. de C.V. ....	Mexico

**Subsidiaries of Barra, Inc.**

<u>NAME</u>	<u>Jurisdiction of Incorporation/Organization</u>
Barra International Ltd. ....	Delaware
Barra Japan K.K. ....	Japan
Financial Engineering Associates Inc. ....	California

**Subsidiaries of Barra International, Ltd.**

<u>NAME</u>	<u>Jurisdiction of Incorporation/Organization</u>
Investment Performance Objects Pty Limited ....	Australia
BarraConsult, Ltda. ....	Brazil

**Subsidiaries of RiskMetrics Group**

<u>NAME</u>	<u>Jurisdiction of Incorporation/Organization</u>
KLD Research & Analytics, Inc. ....	Massachusetts
KLD Research Limited ....	United Kingdom
1 Corporate Governance Pty Ltd. ....	Australia
Institutional Shareholder Services Canada Corp. ....	Nova Scotia
Institutional Shareholder Services Europe S.A. ....	Belgium
Institutional Shareholder Services France S.A.S. ....	France
Institutional Shareholder Services, Inc. ....	Delaware
Institutional Shareholder Services Japan K.K. ....	Japan
ISS Corporate Services, Inc. ....	Delaware
ISS Europe Ltd. ....	United Kingdom
Investor Responsibility Research Center, Inc. ....	Delaware
Research Recommendations and Electronic Voting Ltd. ....	United Kingdom
RiskMetrics Group Holdings, LLC ....	Delaware
RiskMetrics Group K.K. ....	Japan
RiskMetrics (Australia) Pty Ltd. ....	Australia
RiskMetrics (Singapore) Pty Ltd. ....	Singapore
RiskMetrics Solutions, Inc. ....	Delaware
RiskMetrics (U.K.) Ltd. ....	United Kingdom

**NAME**

**Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation/Organization**

---

Securities Class Action Services, LLC .....	Delaware
Innovest France S.A.R.L. ....	France
Innovest Strategic Value Advisors, Pty. Ltd. ....	Australia
Innovest Strategic Value Advisors, Inc. ....	Delaware
Measurisk LLC .....	Delaware

**CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement No. 333-147540, No. 333-165888 and No. 333-167624 on Form S-8 and the Registration Statement No. 333-159311 on Form S-3 of our reports dated January 31, 2011, relating to the consolidated financial statements of MSCI Inc. and the effectiveness of MSCI Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting, appearing in this Annual Report on Form 10-K of MSCI Inc. for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2010.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP  
New York, New York  
January 31, 2011

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, Henry A. Fernandez, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of MSCI Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f) for the registrant and have:
  - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors or (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: January 31, 2011

/s/ Henry A. Fernandez  
Henry A. Fernandez  
Chairman, CEO and President  
(Principal Executive Officer)

SECTION 302 CERTIFICATION

I, David M. Obstler, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of MSCI Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15(d)-15(f) for the registrant and have:
  - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors or (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: January 31, 2011

/s/ David M. Obstler

David M. Obstler  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)



**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO  
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In accordance with 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, Henry A. Fernandez, Chairman, CEO and President of MSCI Inc. (the "Registrant") and David O. Obstler, the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant, each hereby certifies that, to the best of his knowledge:

1. The Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended November 30, 2010, to which this Certification is attached as Exhibit 32.1 (the "Periodic Report"), fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and
2. The information contained in the Periodic Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition of the Registrant at the end of the period covered by the Periodic Report and results of operations of the Registrant for the periods covered by the Periodic Report.

Date: January 31, 2011

/s/ Henry A. Fernandez

Henry A. Fernandez  
Chairman, CEO and President  
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ David M. Obstler

David M. Obstler  
Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## Corporate Information

### BOARD OF DIRECTORS

**Henry A. Fernandez**  
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President,  
MSCI Inc.

**Benjamin F. duPont<sup>(1)(2)</sup>**  
Co-Founder and President, yet2.com

**Alice W. Handy<sup>(3)</sup>**  
Founder and Chief Executive Officer, Investure

**Catherine R. Kinney<sup>(2)(3)</sup>**  
Former President, New York Stock Exchange

**Linda H. Riefner**  
Global Head of Research, Morgan Stanley

**George W. Siguler<sup>(3)</sup>**  
Co-Founder, Siguler Guff & Company

**Scott M. Sipprelle<sup>(1)</sup>**  
President, Westland Ventures, LLC

**Patrick Tierney<sup>(1)</sup>**  
Former CEO, Harcourt Education Division of  
Reed Elsevier

**Rodolphe M. Vallee<sup>(1)(2)(3)(4)</sup>**  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer,  
R.L. Vallee, Inc.

<sup>(1)</sup> Member of the Audit Committee

<sup>(2)</sup> Member of the Compensation Committee

<sup>(3)</sup> Member of the Nominating and Corporate  
Governance Committee

<sup>(4)</sup> Lead Director

### EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

**Henry A. Fernandez**  
Chairman, Chief Executive Officer and President

**David M. Obstler**  
Chief Financial Officer

**David C. Brierwood**  
Chief Operating Officer

**C.D. Baer Pettit**  
Head of Client Coverage and Marketing

**Gary Retelny**  
Head of Strategy and Business Development,  
Chief Administrative Officer and Corporate  
Secretary

### CORPORATE ADDRESS

Street and Mailing Address:  
One Chase Manhattan Plaza, 44th Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
United States

#### Contact Us

Telephone: +1.212.804.3900  
Facsimile: +1.212.804.2919

### REGISTRAR AND TRANSFER AGENT

BNY Mellon  
480 Washington Boulevard  
Jersey City, New Jersey 07310-1900  
Telephone: +1.877.277.9936  
Website:  
[www.bnymellon.com/shareowner/equityaccess](http://www.bnymellon.com/shareowner/equityaccess)

Inquiries regarding stock transfers or  
address changes should be directed to  
the above transfer agent.

### AUDITORS

Deloitte & Touche LLP  
New York, NY

### STOCK SYMBOL

MSCI Inc.'s stock is traded on the  
New York Stock Exchange under  
the symbol MSCI.

### ANNUAL MEETING

The annual meeting of the  
shareholders of MSCI Inc. will be  
held at One Chase Manhattan  
Plaza, 28th Floor  
New York, NY 10005  
May 5, 2011 at 2:30 PM (local time).

### FORM 10-K / INVESTOR CONTACT

A copy of the MSCI Inc. Form 10-K,  
as filed with the Securities and  
Exchange Commission, is included  
in this Annual Report and is also  
available without charge to shareholders  
upon written request. Requests should  
be directed to Investor Relations at  
the corporate address or by email to  
[investor.relations@msci.com](mailto:investor.relations@msci.com).

This Annual Report, as well as  
Forms 10-K, 10-Q and 8-K and  
earnings and other news  
releases can be viewed  
and printed at <http://ir.msci.com>.

### INVESTOR RELATIONS

Visit <http://ir.msci.com>  
or call +1.866.447.7874



---

[msci.com](https://www.msci.com)